

# ML Feedback for HI Jena Laser plasma accelerators


Alexander Sävert

Helmholtz Institute Jena

Jena, 09.09.22


# Acceleration electron bunches to GeV level

Electron bunch spectrum



Optimization parameter for particle beams


- peak energy
- bandwidth
- charge
- pointing



- GeV beams with ultra low beam divergence  $< 0.5 \text{ mrad}^2$
- pointing fluctuations on same order as divergence


**Online laser diagnostic & control**

**Experiment**



**PIC simulations**

# Cryo cooled power amplifier



- 100 W pumping power @532 nm
- target temperature 90 K to reduce the thermal lens of the Ti:Sapphire crystal
- Helium expander cryo head

# New Cryo head (installation summer 2022)



- no vibrations anymore

# recent LWFA experiments beam pointing

- LWFA with ionization injection (95% helium, 5% nitrogen)
- super sonic gas jet

Jitter for electron bunches is **mrad** while for the laser beam is  **$\mu$ mrad**.

horizontal direction

old CRYO jitter: 6.5 mrad (rms)


new CRYO jitter: 4.7 mrad (rms)

vertical direction


old CRYO jitter: 8.4 mrad (rms)

new CRYO jitter: 4.6 mrad (rms)



Electron beam pointing (horizontal)




Electron beam pointing (vertical)



# Comparison CRYO cooler (vertical direction)



Focal spot diameter:  $\sim 10 \mu\text{rad}$   
old CRYO jitter:  $30 \mu\text{rad}$  (rms)  
new CRYO jitter:  $4 \mu\text{rad}$  (rms)



**Long term drift only depends on environment.  
(temperature, humidity, air pressure)**

**Data from old CRYO too erratic for ML. Need for higher sampling rate.**

# Active beam stabilization




For online measurement: Use transmitted light through high reflective mirrors and focus the beam on the CCD (already used for data logging)

# Data recording



- using 4Q Diode for high temporal resolution (10 kHz) instead of CCD camera (100 Hz)
- no post evaluation necessary

# Data recording




Much better training data but much computation time increases significantly.  
Long term prediction (> 1 seconds) gets difficult.

# ML algorithm

Switching from first demonstration with selfwritten Labview program to python and tensorflow. Computational acceleration by GPUs.

Good news: It works!



Bad news: prediction not accurate for different times of the day (morning vs. afternoon)

# Outlook for 2022/23

- Online measurement of beam fluctuations with  $> 10$  kHz
- establish reliable model for beam prediction