

# Non-Minimal SUSY — Computation of observables and fit to experimental data

Dominik Stöckinger + Peter Athron, Alexander Voigt

Dresden

Fittino Workshop, 24th November 2010, DESY

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Discuss research plan

# Outline

1 Introduction

2 Motivation

3 Discuss research plan

- This is not a normal talk
- Start with motivating non-minimal SUSY
- Then outline our research plans
- Aim: discuss what is necessary/possible, how should we proceed to make utmost use of `Fittino`, should `Fittino` be changed somehow, should we provide interfaces?

# Outline

1 Introduction

**2 Motivation**

3 Discuss research plan

# Motivation for SUSY in general

- Fundamental new symmetry, unique extension of Poincaré
- Relation to gravity, string theory

# Motivation for SUSY in general

- Fundamental new symmetry, unique extension of Poincaré
- Relation to gravity, string theory
- Fine tuning problem/stabilization of EW scale
- Unification of gauge couplings
- Dynamic generation of mexican hat potential
- Dark matter

# Motivation for SUSY in general

- Fundamental new symmetry, unique extension of Poincaré
- Relation to gravity, string theory
- Fine tuning problem/stabilization of EW scale
- Unification of gauge couplings
- Dynamic generation of mexican hat potential
- Dark matter
- **Minimality was never an argument! These motivations hold equally well in minimal and non-minimal SUSY!**



# Why is it difficult to realize SUSY at the EW scale?

- No spontaneous SUSY breaking possible at EW scale
- Need hidden sector, leads to explicit (soft) SUSY breaking
- Many parameters, in general too much Flavour- and CP-violation
- Need organizing principles/assumptions such as mSugra
- Typically, some discrete symmetry needed to prevent rapid proton decay

# Properties/problems of the MSSM

- Minimal particle content  $\rightarrow$  nevertheless extra Higgs doublet predicted
- $\mu$ -problem
  - $\mu H_u H_d$  = dimensionful parameter in superpotential
  - unrelated to SUSY breaking — why should  $\mu$  be  $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ ?
  - however, this is necessary for phenomenology
- lightest Higgs mass predicted, but very (too?) small
- little hierarchy problem?

# Non-minimal SUSY models

- Should improve the  $\mu_b$ -problem and/or other properties
- Possibilities
  - More Higgs fields
  - More matter fields
  - More gauge fields — larger gauge group

# Examples

- NMSSM,  $E_6$ SSM, USSM, MSSM+ $Z'$ , ...

# Non-minimal SUSY models and grand unification

Assume grand unification with  $SU(5)$  or larger group

- Matter should comprise complete  $SU(5)$  multiplets
  - known quarks and leptons do that — new exotic matter should consist of new full multiplets
  - gauge coupling unification is not affected by the new exotic matter (and its mass scale)
- Higgs fields should comprise complete  $SU(5)$  multiplets
  - two MSSM Higgs doublets don't do that — new Higgs fields must also comprise incomplete multiplets
  - gauge coupling unification depends on the mass of the extra Higgs fields — generally:
  - **either incomplete multiplets at the TeV scale or no gauge coupling unification**

# Conclusion

- We have no single, “completely nice” realisation of TeV-scale SUSY
- Experiment needs to guide us!
- We should remain as open as possible on **how SUSY is realized**
- There could be manifestations of non-minimal SUSY at the TeV-scale:
  - new exotic matter/Higgs fields, which might or might not form complete SU(5) multiplets
  - new gauge bosons
  - these are not just a bunch of new particles but they satisfy SUSY relations for masses, quantum numbers and interactions!
- Need to identify how/which SUSY model is realized
- $\Rightarrow$  learn about the mechanism of EWSB
- potentially learn about GUT scale physics and the mechanism of SUSY breaking

# Outline

1 Introduction

2 Motivation

**3 Discuss research plan**

# Questions and Goals

- 1 If the LHC experiments find manifestations of SUSY, how can we make sure whether SUSY is realized in the minimal or a non-minimal version?
- 2 How can we identify which — if any — non-minimal SUSY model is realized in Nature?
- 3 Assuming a specific model such as the  $E_6$ SSM is realized, how can we measure the appropriate model parameters?



# Questions and Goals

- 1 If the LHC experiments find manifestations of SUSY, how can we make sure whether SUSY is realized in the minimal or a non-minimal version?
- 2 How can we identify which — if any — non-minimal SUSY model is realized in Nature?
- 3 Assuming a specific model such as the  $E_6$ SSM is realized, how can we measure the appropriate model parameters?

## General goals:

- Answer these questions!
- Ideal situation:
  - automatically generate Feynman rules, calculate all observables in all competing models
  - automatically generate calculator libraries which are linked to `Fittino`
  - `Fittino` scans over model space and finds best fit points for each model and finds the best fitting model!

# General tasks in detail

- 1 compute observables in competing non-minimal models
  - either for each model, derive Feynman rules, figure out which observables are interesting and compute them
  - or, ideally: Feynman rule generator, automatic observable calculator which outputs a library **with well-defined interface**
- 2 fit to experimental data using `Fittino`
  - either for each model, define interface and write dedicated `Fittino` version
  - or, ideally: automatic generation of `Fittino` version for all models, **`Fittino` might even be able to carry out fits over model space**

# Our Dresden plans — Fittino viewpoint

Peter Athron, Alexander Voigt, DS + Xavier Prudent + ???

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- Provide necessary tools, computations, analyses
- start with  $E_6$ SSM, then generalize
- technical subgoal: complete and coherent framework that allows to easily test and compare different SUSY models to data.

# Our Dresden plans — Fittino viewpoint

Peter Athron, Alexander Voigt, DS + Xavier Prudent + ???

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- Provide necessary tools, computations, analyses
- start with  $E_6$ SSM, then generalize
- technical subgoal: complete and coherent framework that allows to easily test and compare different SUSY models to data.
- **Ultimately: link code libraries to Fittino, use this extended framework for coherent tests of SUSY models, to test different models in parallel, discriminate between them, and to determine model parameters within each candidate model.**

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- Precise mass spectrum

- additional, exotic states, spectrum of MSSM-particles altered (light gluino)
- $e_6$ SSM vs CMSSM: different high-scale input: no  $m_0$ ,  $m_{1/2}$ !  
(reason:  $\mu$ ,  $B\mu$  not independent!) → technical obstacle for spectrum generator
- currently, 2L RGE, 1L threshold corrections, but no 1L pole masses
- what precision should we aim for?  $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ GeV})$  needed/feasible?

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- EWPO and low-energy observables
  - contributions to  $\mu$ -decay,  $M_W$ , etc could be significant (two sources: exotic matter and  $Z'$ ) — unknown
  - $g - 2$  probably small — check
  - public code for these observables useful?

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- LHC production and decay observables in the  $E_6$ SSM
  - NB: different mass hierarchies compared to MSSM
  - idea: library that coherently predicts all relevant production/decay patterns (not the same precision as e.g. Prospino possible — sufficient?) Which observables are most important?
  - automatic Feynman rule generator would be very handy

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- General  $Z'$  models:

- MSSM plus extra U(1) gauge group  $\rightarrow Z'$  and  $\tilde{Z}'$  (extra neutralino),  $Z'$  mass  $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$
- e.g USSM: extra U(1) and extra Higgs singlet  $\rightarrow$  no  $\mu$ -problem
- unspecified  $Z'$  couplings, unspecified extra matter



# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- Suppose, a  $Z'$  exists and is found at LHC
- then, we need new tools to study SUSY  $Z'$  models
  - $Z'$  effects (D-terms) in mass spectrum computation
  - $Z'$  effects in EWPOs
  - goal: library that predicts the  $Z'$  mass and other observables, *corrected for effects from the  $Z'$  and its superpartner* as function of  $Z'$  charges and other SUSY parameters — feasible/required interface?

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- General non-minimal models

- highly automated framework where all relevant observables are computed fast and to low-order precision
- sufficient for a comparison of different models to experimental data (?)
- automatic generation of Feynman rules, computation of mass eigenvalues, mixing angles, etc
- automatic generation of RGEs, spectrum generator
- need to define format for models, parameters, particles, etc
- automatic computation of observables (NB  $M_h$  very model-dependent)

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- Interface to `Fittino` and global analyses of SUSY models
  - coherent and complete tests of general supersymmetric models
  - test/falsify/discriminate between different models and determine model parameters

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- General Fittino requirements

- different/more model parameters than in MSSM possible? (develop interface with Xavier?)
- non-MSSM observables need to be usable
- “first-step” tree-level approx. required?
- speed — how critical?

# Individual steps

	Masses	EWPO & LE	LHC	model-file generator	Fittino
$E_6$ SSM	1A	1B	1C	3A	4A
SUSY $Z'$	2A		2B	3A	4B
general	3B			3A	4C

- Fittino usage:
  - $E_6$  version: regular global  $E_6$ SSM analyses
  - $Z'$  version: regular global  $Z'$  analyses
  - general: regular global analyses of general SUSY models
- $\Rightarrow$  find out how SUSY is realized!