

# Small $x$ QCD and Multigluon States: $N_c$ Dependence in a Toy Model

Gian Paolo Vacca

INFN Bologna  
University of Bologna



# Outline

Framework: Small  $x$  perturbative QCD in the LLA and in the weak field limit

Let us consider the **linear** evolution of composite states of reggeized gluons. It is in this system that integrability in QCD (in the large  $N_c$  limit) was first observed.

L.N. Lipatov  
Faddeev, Korchemsky

**Question**: What about the  $N_c$  dependence in the spectrum? It is a difficult problem.  
Why not to play with a toy model?

- BFKL kernel and BKP kernels.
- The 4 gluon case: color structure
- A simple toy model
- Its spectrum dependence on  $N_c$

# Regge Kinematics in QCD and BFKL kernel in LLA

Elastic scattering :  $a+b \rightarrow a'+b'$ .

The amplitude  $A(s, t)$  is related to the total “a+b”-cross section through unitarity of the S-matrix (optical theorem)

$$\sigma_{tot} = \frac{1}{s} \text{Im}_s A(s, t = 0)$$

Multi Regge Kinematics (MRK)+Perturbative analysis with Feynman diagrams:

$$A = \sum_n a_n^{LL} (\alpha_s \ln s)^n + \sum_n a_n^{NLL} \alpha_s (\alpha_s \ln s)^n + \dots$$

the leading contribution to the total cross section in the Regge limit comes essentially from ladder diagrams with gluons (spin 1) exchanged in the  $t$ -channel.

High energy factorization: impact factors  $\Phi$  and resummed Green's functions  $G$

Evolution in rapidity: dynamics in the transverse space

Complex notation for 2D vectors  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_i \rightarrow p = p_x + i p_y$

$$H_{12} = \ln |p_1|^2 + \ln |p_2|^2 + \frac{1}{p_1 p_2^*} \ln |\rho_{12}|^2 p_1 p_2^* + \frac{1}{p_1^* p_2} \ln |\rho_{12}|^2 p_1^* p_2 - 4\Psi(1)$$

LL BFKL kernel

In order to construct the Green's function  $G$  one has to study the Schrödinger like equation. The physical amplitudes are constructed from matrix elements between colorless impact factors

$$A = \langle \Phi_A | G | \Phi_B \rangle = \langle \Phi_A | e^{y H_{12}} | \Phi_B \rangle$$

# BFKL kernel in LLA in Möbius representation

Convenient representation in the Möbius space.

- On such a space  $H_{12} = h_{12} + h_{12}^*$ , i.e. it is **holomorphic separable**, with  

$$h_{12} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \left( \ln p_r + \frac{1}{p_r} \ln(\rho_{12}) p_r - \Psi(1) \right)$$
- this operator **commutes** with the generators of the global conformal group  $SL(2, C)$   

$$M_r^3 = \rho_r \partial_r, \quad M_r^+ = \partial_r, \quad M_r^- = -\rho_r^2 \partial_r \quad \rightarrow \quad [h_{12}, M_r^k] = 0$$
- The eigenstates of the Casimir  $M^2$  and  $h_{12}$  are

$$\psi_h(\rho_{10}, \rho_{20}) = \left( \frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{10} \rho_{20}} \right)^h = \langle 0 | \phi(\rho_1) \phi(\rho_2) O_h(\rho_0) | 0 \rangle$$

where the composite operator  $O_h$  depend on the conformal weight

$$h = \frac{1}{2} + i\nu + \frac{n}{2}$$

which labels the principal series of unitary representations.

The fields  $\phi$  are associated to reggeized gluons with 0 weight.

$$M^2 \psi_h = h(h-1) \psi_h \text{ and } H_{12} \phi_h = \chi_h \phi_h, \quad \chi_h = \psi(h) + \psi(1-h) - 2\psi(1)$$

- the full eigenstate of  $H_{12}$  is  $E_{h\bar{h}}^M = \psi_h(\rho_{10}, \rho_{20}) \psi_{\bar{h}}(\rho_{10}^*, \rho_{20}^*)$  where  $\bar{h} = 1/2 + i\nu - n/2$
- Clearly in this basis one can write a **spectral representation** for the kernel (Hamiltonian) and for the corresponding Green's function.

# Colorless multigluon states in the LLA

- $n$  reggeized gluon states: they evolve in rapidity according to the BKP Green's function constructed from the BKP Hamiltonian  $H_n$ , containing the informations about the full spectrum, before projection on the particular space of impact factors chosen:

$$H_n = -\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{i < j} T_i^a T_j^a H_{ij}$$

- In the same way as for  $H_2$ ,  $H_n$  is conformal invariant.
- For  $N_c \rightarrow \infty$  (one cylinder topology):

$$H_n = \frac{1}{2} (H_{12} + H_{23} + \cdots + H_{n1})$$

- Holomorphic separability in Möbius space:  
 $H_n = \frac{1}{2} (h_n + h_n^*)$  with  $h_n = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,i+1}$ .
- **Integrability** in the Möbius space in the large  $N_c$  limit due to  $n - 1$  integral of motions generated by the transfer matrix of an integrable non compact spin XXX model.

$$q_r = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq n} \rho_{i_1 i_2} \rho_{i_2 i_3} \cdots \rho_{i_r i_1} p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_r}, \quad [q_r, q_s] = 0, \quad [q_r, h] = 0$$

- **duality** symmetry  $D_n$ :  $(D_n)^2 = R_n$  with  $R_n$  generating the rotation on the cylinder.  
 $D_n$  is a kind of supersymmetry!

# Colorless 4 gluon states.

Color states of 4 gluons in a total colorless state can be classified looking at any 2 gluon subchannel.

- Let us consider the decomposition for a generic  $SU(N_c)$  in terms of projectors  $P[R_i]$  onto irreducible representations:

$$(N_c^2 - 1) \times (N_c^2 - 1) : 1 = P_1 + P_{8A} + P_{8S} + P_{10+\bar{1}0} + P_{27} + P_0 = \sum_i P[R_i], \quad \text{Tr} P[R_i] = d_i$$

- Choosing the projectors of the subchannel (12) as the basis of a vector space we have

$$v^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} = \sum_i v^i (P[R_i]_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3 a_4}) = \sum_i v^i P_{12}[R_i]$$

Let us write the color operators  $T_i T_j = \sum_a T_i^a T_j^a$  on this basis.

- The color interaction in the “diagonal channel” is  $T_i T_j = - \sum_k a_k P_{ij}[R_k]$  where

$$a_k = (N_c, \frac{N_c}{2}, \frac{N_c}{2}, 0, -1, 1)$$

We can now write the action of these operators on a generic color state  $v$  in the basis  $\{P_{12}[R_i]\}$ .

The components transform according to

$$(T_1 T_2 v)^j = -a_j v^j = -(\textcolor{red}{A} v)^j$$

$$(T_1 T_3 v)^j = - \sum_i \left( \sum_k C_k^j a_k C_i^k \right) v^i = -(\textcolor{red}{C} \textcolor{red}{A} \textcolor{red}{C} v)^j$$

$$(T_1 T_4 v)^j = - \sum_i \left( \sum_k (-1)^{s_j} C_k^j a_k C_i^k (-1)^{s_i} \right) v^i = -(\textcolor{red}{S} \textcolor{red}{C} \textcolor{red}{A} \textcolor{red}{C} \textcolor{red}{S} v)^j$$

where the crossing matrices  $\textcolor{red}{C}$  are defined by the  $6j$  symbols and  $\textcolor{red}{S}$  is the matrix associated to the parity of each representation.

# More on the crossing matrix.

Using the definitions

$$\begin{array}{c} | \\ | \\ | \\ \hline i \quad j \end{array} = -\sum_k a_k \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ | \quad | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ i \quad j \end{array} \quad v = \sum_i v^i \begin{array}{c} 3 \quad 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array}$$

let us compute the first non trivial crossing case

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} | \\ | \\ | \\ \hline 1 \quad 3 \end{array} v &= -\sum_k a_k \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ | \quad | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} \sum_i v^i \begin{array}{c} 3 \quad 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} = -\sum_i \sum_k (-1)^{s_k} a_k v^i \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad k \quad s \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 3 \quad r \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ i \quad 2 \end{array} = -\sum_i \sum_k a_k v^i C_i^k \begin{array}{c} 3 \quad 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \\ &= -\sum_i \sum_k a_k v^i C_i^k \left( \sum_j \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ | \quad | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3 \quad k \quad 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \right) = -\sum_j \left[ \sum_i v^i \left( \sum_k C_i^k a_k C_k^j \right) \right] \begin{array}{c} 3 \quad 4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

where the crossing matrix (essentially 6j symbols) can be written as

$$C_i^k = \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ i \quad k \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \end{array}}$$

It is convenient to perform a similarity transformation to work with a symmetric crossing matrix. It is sufficient to introduce the matrix  $\Delta = \text{diag}(d_i)$  and define the new symmetric matrix

$$C \rightarrow \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} C \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ which acts on the vectors with components } v^i \rightarrow \left( \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} v \right)^i$$

Cvitanovic- Nikolaev, Schäfer, Zakharov - Dokshitzer, Marchesini- Kovner, Lublinsky

# 4 Gluon Kernel

With the previous definitions the kernel becomes

$$H_4 = \frac{1}{N_c} [A (H_{12} + H_{34}) + CAC (H_{13} + H_{24}) + SCACS (H_{14} + H_{23})]$$

Note that replacing  $H_{ij}$  with the unity operator one has  $H_n = -\frac{1}{N_c} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_i T_i^2 = \frac{n}{2} 1$

and indeed  $A + CAC + SCACS = N_c 1$

Let us look also directly at the large  $N_c$  limit of the kernel. This limit depends on the impact factors.

- **one cylinder topology:**  $T_i T_j \rightarrow -\frac{N_c}{2} \delta_{i+1,j}$  which correspond to  $H_4 = H_{12} + H_{34} + H_{14} + H_{23}$   
The corresponding intercept has been computed using the Baxter-Sklyanin methods for integrable models.  
De Vega, Lipatov - Derkachov et al.
- **two cylinder topology:** 3 possible singlet pairs corresponding to  $H_4 = H_{12} + H_{34}$  and by their cyclic permutations.  
In this case the intercept is trivially twice the one of the BFKL pomeron.

Note that in the “real” world, corresponding to  $N_c = 3$ , the representation  $R_0$  is absent (then we decompose the color space in 5-dimensional vectors) while this is not true when we consider the large  $N_c$  limit (and 6 dimensional vectors are used).



# A Toy Model

To study some features of the color dependence we replace the interaction on the configuration space  $H_{ij}$  which depends on the Casimir  $L^2$  of  $SL(2, C)$  non compact spin. Here we consider the zero conformal spin ( $n = 0$ ) subsector. In this case such dependence is given by

$$H_2 = 2\Re\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right) - 2\psi(1) = 2\Re\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + L^2}\right) - 2\psi(1)$$

Let us consider a toy model based on the following assumptions

- degrees of freedom: **non compact spin**  $SL(2, C) \rightarrow$  **compact spin**  $SU(2)$  with the

replacement  $\frac{1}{4} + L_{ij}^2 \rightarrow -\alpha S_{ij}^2$  where  $\alpha$  is a free parameter.

- In order to use the same framework as the one seen for  $SU(N_c)$  let us consider the discrete “configuration” degrees of freedom corresponding to spin one states and let us also consider a globally spin singlet state  $S = \sum_i S_i = 0$

- From  $S_{ij}^2 = 4 + 2S_i S_j$  and the previous definitions we have the substitution

$$H_{ij} \rightarrow f(S_i S_j)$$

- It is again convenient to use a basis of projectors  $Q_{12}[R_i] = (Q_1, Q_3, Q_5)$  on the irreducible representations of the (12) channel. Defining  $b_k = (2, 1, -1)$  one has  $S_i S_j = -\sum_k b_k Q_{ij}[R_k]$  so that we write the spectral representation

$$f(S_1 S_2) = \sum_k f(-b_k) Q_k$$

# A Toy Model: 2

Introducing suitable crossing matrix  $D$  and symmetry matrix  $S'$  we can write the action of the toy model operators on the spin part:

- $(f(S_1 S_2)u)^j = f(-b_j)v^j = (\textcolor{red}{B}u)^j$

- $(f(S_1 S_3)u)^j = \sum_i \left( \sum_k D_k^j f(-b_k) D_i^k \right) u^i = (\textcolor{red}{D} \textcolor{red}{B} \textcolor{red}{D} u)^j$

- $(f(S_1 S_4)u)^j = \sum_i \left( \sum_k (-1)^{s'_j} D_k^j f(-b_k) D_i^k (-1)^{s'_i} \right) u^i = (\textcolor{red}{S}' \textcolor{red}{D} \textcolor{red}{B} \textcolor{red}{D} \textcolor{red}{S}' u)^j$

We can now construct the toy model Hamiltonian:

$$H_4 = \frac{2}{N_c} (A \otimes B + C A C \otimes D B D + S C A C S \otimes S' D B D S')$$

We shall analyze its spectrum dependence in  $N_c$ .

In the large  $N_c$  the eigenvalues flow to match the two following cases:

The one cylinder topology (1CT) corresponds to the simpler spin Hamiltonian

$$H_4^{1cyl} = (B + S' D B D S')$$

The two cylinder topology (2CT) corresponds simply to

$$H_4^{2cyl} = 2B$$

# A Toy Model: 3

Eigenvalues in the large  $N_c$  limit:

- 2CT: the max. eigenvalue  $E = -2\chi_{BFKL} = 5.54518$
- 1CT: the max. eigenvalue depends on the parameter  $\alpha$ .  
Let us fix it to match the leading eigenvalue corresponding to conformal spin  $n = 0$  of the integrable XXX non compact spin chain. ( $E = 0.67416$ )

Eigenvalues:

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc} N_c = 3 & \\ 7.04193 & (\times 1) \\ 5.51899 & (\times 2) \\ 1.12269 & (\times 2) \\ -3.89328 & (\times 2) \\ -4.04744 & (\times 1) \\ -4.27838 & (\times 1) \\ -7.81242 & (\times 1) \\ -9.18576 & (\times 2) \\ -12.6743 & (\times 2) \\ -14.1005 & (\times 1) \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{cc} N_c = \infty & \\ 5.54518 & (\times 3) \text{ 2CT} \\ 0.67416 & (\times 3) \text{ 1CT} \\ -4.27838 & (\times 3) \text{ 1CT} \\ -7.81242 & (\times 3) \text{ 2CT} \\ -8.67983 & (\times 3) \text{ 1CT} \\ -10.0168 & (\times 3) \text{ 2CT} \end{array} \right)$$

To trace all the eigenstates at  $N_c = \infty$  in the flow from finite  $N_c$  the  $SU(N_c)$  basis containing the  $P_0$  states should be used (with three more eigenstates).

The flow with  $N_c$  of the leading eigenvalue is given by  $E_0(N_c) = E_0(\infty) \left( 1 + \frac{2.465}{N_c^2} \right)$

Its large  $N_c$  approximation corresponds to an error of 27%.

# A Toy Model: 4

It is interesting to look at the color content of the eigenstates.

Let us consider as an example the maximal eigenvalue  $E_0(N_c)$ .

At  $N_c = 3$  the eigenvector is  $v_0$  and at  $N_c = \infty$  the eigenvectors are the  $w_i$ . In the basis  $P_i Q_j$  they are

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.589824 & P_1 Q_1 \\ 0.0853017 & P_1 Q_5 \\ 0.343819 & P_{8A} Q_3 \\ 0.198919 & P_{8S} Q_1 \\ 0.198893 & P_{8S} Q_5 \\ 0.29322 & P_{10+10} Q_3 \\ 0.178796 & P_{27} Q_1 \\ 0.574061 & P_{27} Q_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_1 Q_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & P_{10+10} Q_1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} & P_{10+10} Q_5 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & P_{27} Q_3 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & P_0 Q_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & P_{10+10} Q_3 \\ \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & P_{27} Q_1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3\sqrt{2}} & P_{27} Q_5 \\ \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & P_0 Q_1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3\sqrt{2}} & P_0 Q_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

For this specific model we note that in the large  $N_c$  limit the eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalues of the 2CT are actually independent on the form of  $f$  which defines the pomeron eigenvalues, but depends only on the spin structure.

The part associated to the 1CT is dependent on the function  $f$ .

# Conclusions

- Since the spectrum of the BKP Hamiltonian is difficult to analyze at fixed  $N_c$  we have considered the case of 4 gluons and after rewriting in a specific basis the color part of the interaction we have considered a discrete toy model for the configuration part. The compact  $SU(2)$  structure was chosen. Adjoint representation and null total spin were considered.
- A mapping in accord to pomeron spectrum and which fixes the large  $N_c$  eigenvalues of zero conformal spin was chosen.
- One can see in the leading eigenvalue large (30%) corrections comparing the finite to the infinite number of color cases.
- The dependence on  $N_c$  of the eigenvectors is also interesting.
- Question: how to analyze related quantum system with a different “spin” structure: spin of the single particle, different total spin? And what about another group or more complicated dynamics?
- It might be interesting to analyze similar toy models but with the property of being integrable in the large  $N_c$  limit.