Measurement of the cross section and the single transverse spin asymmetry in very forward neutron production from polarized *pp* collisions at RHIC

Manabu Togawa for the PHENIX collaboration.



RIKEN Nishina Center / RBRC Special Postdoctoral Researcher

Outline

- Physics motivation
- Measurement of forward neutron at PHENIX
 - Experimental setup
 - Analysis procedure
- Result of the cross section
 - Very forward case
- Results of the single transverse spin asymmetry
 - $\, \varphi$ and $x_{\text{F}}\text{-dependence}$
 - Comparing tagged w/ or w/o charged particles
- Charged particles tagged with forward neutron
- Summary

Motivation 1 : Discovery of large A_N in forward neutron production at RHIC.

Polarized pp collision in sqrt(s) = 200GeV : IP12 Collision Point



Motivation 1 : Discovery of large A_N in forward neutron production at RHIC.

Polarized pp collision in sqrt(s) = 200GeV : IP12 Collision Point



Motivation 1 : Discovery of large A_N in forward neutron production at RHIC.

Polarized pp collision in sqrt(s) = 200GeV : IP12 Collision Point



Motivation 2 : Cross section measurements.

- ISR experiment
 - pp sqrt(s)=30~63GeV.
 - cross section of forward neutron production has peak at high x_{F.}
 - Scaling by x_F , not sqrt(s).
- H1 and ZEUS experiments
 - ep sqrt(s)=300 GeV.
 - Also have forward peak
 - Pion structure fluctuation in proton.
 - → Well described by One Pion Exchange (OPE) model.







Does Feynman scaling hold when going to RHIC energy?

- From the ISR result, C.S. are described well by x_F scaling at 30<sqrt(s)<63 GeV.
- Forward neutron C.S. has peak structure and it is well described by pion exchange model.
- What is the mechanism of neutron asymmetry ?
 - pion exchange model
 - Asymmetry can appear due to the interference of spin flip.
 - Twist-3 model, Siverse effect,
 Collins effect ...



→ PHENIX measurement

Does Feynman scaling hold when going to RHIC energy?

From the ISR result, C.S. are described well by x_F scaling at 30<sqrt(s)<63 GeV.

- Forward neutron C.S. has peak structure and it is well described by pion exchange model.
- What is the mechanism of neutron asymmetry ?

– pion exchange model

- Asymmetry can appear due to the interference of spin flip.
- Twist-3 model, Sivers effect,
 Collins effect ...

Forward neutron measurement @ RHIC-PHENIX





Pol. direction beam $1 : \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \ldots$ Pol. direction beam $2 : \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \ldots$ Polarization : RUN5 : 46% RUN6 : 62%



PHENIX

Determination of the polarized gluon distribution function is main motivation of spin physics

- Central Arms
 - |η| < 0.35, Δφ ~ π
 - γ , π^0 , e, π^{+} identified
- Muon Arms
 - 1.2 < |η| < 2.4
 - μ identified
- BBC, ZDC
 - Luminosity monitor
 - Minbias trigger (BBC)

Interesting physics in forward area !

Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

- 18 D .

- From the pythia simulation, Main backgrounds are gamma and proton. 10 S S

Collision point ^{1800 cm}

BBC

Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

- From the pythia simulation, Main

backgrounds are gamma and proton.



Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

- From the pythia simulation, Main backgrounds are gamma and proton.



Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

- From the pythia simulation, Main backgrounds are gamma and proton.



ZDC (Zero Degree Calorimeter)

> 10*10cm → 2.8 mrad

3 module 150Χ₀ 5.1λ_ι

Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

- From the pythia simulation, Main backgrounds are gamma and proton.



10*10cm → 2.8 mrad

3 module $150X_0 5.1\lambda_1$

SMD (Shower Max Detector)



Neutron position can be decided by centroid method.



Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

- From the pythia simulation, Main backgrounds are gamma and proton.







ZDC (Zero Degree Calorimeter)

10*10cm → 2.8 mrad

3 module 150X₀ 5.1λ_I

SMD (**S**hower **M**ax **D**etector)



Neutron position can be decided by centroid method.

Schematic view from simulation.

- GEANT3 (Geisha)

Proton shower event

(In case of proton mom. = 50GeV/c)

- From the pythia simulation, Main backgrounds are gamma and proton.



ZDC (Zero Degree Calorimeter)

> 10*10cm → 2.8 mrad

3 module $150X_0 5.1\lambda_1$

Same detector is in opposite side.

→ Measure forward and backward.

Forward counter



veto

SMD (Shower Max Detector)



Neutron position can be decided by centroid method.

Analysis outline

Data set

- In this analysis, sqrt(s)=200 GeV data from 2005 is used.
 The data is collected by single neutron trigger w/ or w/o Min.
 Bias. trigger.
 - Min. Bias. is defined as detecting charged particles in both BBC's (Beam-Beam-Counter : $3.0{<}|\eta|{<}3.9)$

Analysis outline

Data set

- In this analysis, sqrt(s)=200 GeV data from 2005 is used.
 The data is collected by single neutron trigger w/ or w/o Min.
 Bias. trigger.
 - Min. Bias. is defined as detecting charged particles in both BBC's (Beam-Beam-Counter : $3.0{<}|\eta|{<}3.9)$
- Calibration
 - ZDC : Look at the single-neutron peak at heavy ion data.
 - SMD : Relative gain correction is done by cosmic-ray data.

Analysis outline

Data set

- In this analysis, sqrt(s)=200 GeV data from 2005 is used.
 The data is collected by single neutron trigger w/ or w/o Min.
 Bias. trigger.
 - Min. Bias. is defined as detecting charged particles in both BBC's (Beam-Beam-Counter : $3.0{<}|\eta|{<}3.9)$
- Calibration
 - ZDC : Look at the single-neutron peak at heavy ion data.
 - SMD : Relative gain correction is done by cosmic-ray data.
- Simulation (GEANT3 with pythia v.6220 or single particle generator)
 - Background and efficiency after neutron ID cut.
 - Unfold the neutron energy.
 - Smearing effect of asymmetry caused by position cut and resolution.

p_⊤ distribution is assuming ISR result





Integrated p_T area : $0 < p_T < 0.11 x_F$ GeV/c in each point.

Cross section

Cross section result is consistent with ISR data. No evidence for violation of x_F scaling at higher energy.





x_F -dependence of A_N

- Smearing effect is evaluated by simulation.
- For asymmetry calculation, square root formula is used.

$$A_{N} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\uparrow} - \sigma_{\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow}} \approx \frac{1}{Pol.} \frac{\sqrt{N_{L}^{\uparrow} N_{R}^{\downarrow}} - \sqrt{N_{L}^{\downarrow} N_{R}^{\uparrow}}}{\sqrt{N_{L}^{\uparrow} N_{R}^{\downarrow}} + \sqrt{N_{L}^{\downarrow} N_{R}^{\uparrow}}}$$



Neutron asymmetry x_F distribution with single neutron trigger



x_F -dependence of A_N

- Smearing effect is evaluated by simulation.
- For asymmetry calculation, square root formula is used.

$$A_{N} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\uparrow} - \sigma_{\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow}} \approx \frac{1}{Pol.} \frac{\sqrt{N_{L}^{\uparrow} N_{R}^{\downarrow}} - \sqrt{N_{L}^{\downarrow} N_{R}^{\uparrow}}}{\sqrt{N_{L}^{\uparrow} N_{R}^{\downarrow}} + \sqrt{N_{L}^{\downarrow} N_{R}^{\uparrow}}}$$



Neutron asymmetry x_F distribution with neutron trigger & MinBias



How about charged particles in BBC ?

- 2 identical parts
 - BBC-north and -south
- Quartz Cherenkov counter
 Count charged particles.
- 64 segments each.





A_N of charged particles.

- Asymmetry is 0 if data is selected by BBC only.
- Finite asymmetries are shown tagged with forward neutron. 4σ
 - Opposite direction as neutron $(2.28 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.10)$ *10⁻²
 - Same direction as neutron (-4.50 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.22)*10⁻² 9 σ PHENIX preliminary

→ Big correlation between forward charged particles and neutron !













Compare with pion exchange model



☺ Forward peak

③ Neutron asymmetry (pion exchange)

③ N.of charged particles in BBC

Forward < Backward

(Forward energy will be lower)

? Charged particles asymmetry in BBC $(N^*(\Delta^*) \rightarrow n+X)$



Compare with pion exchange model



☺ Forward peak

- © Neutron asymmetry (pion exchange)
- ☺ N.of charged particles in BBC

Forward < Backward

(Forward energy will be lower)

? Charged particles asymmetry in BBC

 $(N^*(\Delta^*) \rightarrow n+X)$

Deck model (Phys.Rev.D15:1903,1977)

Summary

- The cross section and the single transverse spin asymmetries of forward neutron production in sqrt(s)=200 GeV polarized *pp* collision are measured at RHIC-PHENIX.
- Cross section (Very forward, 0<p_T<0.11x_F GeV/c)
 - It is consistent with ISR data at $p_T \sim 0$ (GeV/c).
 - No evidence for violation of x_F scaling.
- A_N of forward neutron
 - Analyzing power of forward neutron tagged w/ charged particles is lager than w/o them.
 - Negative asymmetry, flat x_F -dependence.

← Theoretical calculation is very welcome.

- A_N of charged particles
 - Finite value if forward neutron is tagged.
 - Forward BBC multiplicity is lower if forward neutron is tagged.

Future analysis

- p_T-dependence
 - Important parameter same as x_F (of course t for the OPE model)
 - It will be studied by r-dependence.



Other correlation tagged with forward neutron.

 $-A_N$ of charged particles tagged with forward neutron.

We can see finite asymmetry in other energy RUNs !



backup

Energy resolution

Energy response estimated by simulation



Energy resolution estimated by simulation



Position resolution





Smearing effect for ϕ -asymmetry



Event ratio.

- For Left-Right asymmetry (RUN#178606), after neutron ID,
 - BBC hits & ZDC hit : 3167520
 - ZDC hit : 16576168
 - \rightarrow ~5 times higher for neutron detection.

Beam gas study.

Counts for each crossing after applying neutron ID and acceptance cut.





Shower Shape study

Shower shape

Fill(scinti.pos[i] – ypos,



- Looking by each energy and N of scintillator hits.
- PF (peak fraction)

 Defined as smd_ene[peak] /Sumy

Shower Shape for x



Shower Shape for y



Peak fraction for x



Peak fraction for y



After the smearing.



Cross section calculation

- The total cross section with PHENIX neutron cut. is estimated.
 - $-p_{T}$ is not evaluated from our data. As simulation input, ISR result is assumed.
 - In this analysis, radius<2cm from the collision point (1800cm) is calculated. \rightarrow 1.1 mrad : 0<p_T<0.11x_F GeV/c_o



Input p_T shape

 $0.3 \le X \le 0.4$

-4.8 p

10.0

1.0

ISR data is converted to current cut. ($0 < p_T < 0.11 x_F$ GeV/c)

$$E\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{d^{3}p} = d\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\left(\frac{x_{F}}{dx_{F}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{p_{T}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{dp_{T}}\right) \rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{dx_{F}} = \left(2\pi\right)\left(\frac{1}{x_{F}}\right)\int_{Acc.} E\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{d^{3}p}p_{T}dp_{T}$$





Systematic error for C.S.

- Systematic error in calibration
 - Energy calibration ~5%
 - SMD relative calibration (it is including in SMD cut eff)
 - Trigger bias correction. < 10%
- Systematic error in simulation
 - Acceptance few % from pt distribution by SMD pos cut.
 - SMD cut eff. ~3%
 - Neutron ID
 - SMD shape match. ~ 3%
 - Forward counter match. ~5%
 - Background estimation. apply 100% error for BG ratio.
 - Mainly from K0 and proton
 - For cross section 8%
 - 2 particle in 1 event ~ 5%
- Systematic error in luminosity
 - Cross section error 13% (22.9 mb * 0.591) for NoVtxCut
- In total
 - For shape after cut : ~11.6% For scaling of cross section : 17.1%

Systematic error for phi-asym.

- Measurement : 1%
 - Bunch shuffling result is ~2% of statistics, RUN5 local pol analysis note. (AN462)
 - Center scan ~ 1%
 - Beam gas BG at ZDCN|S trigger : 0.2%
- Simulation uncertainty 4.1%
 - Simulation stat 2%
 - pT match : few%
 - BG estimation 3%
 - Center cut scan 2%
- Total : 4.2%
- Online polarization 20% \rightarrow scaling error.

Systematic error for x_F-asym.

- Estimation from measurement : 2%
 - $\sim 4\%$ of statistics, by bunch shuffling.
 - Center scan ~ 2%
 - Beam gas BG at ZDCN|S trigger : 0.2%
 - Unfolding : calibration (bin by bin \rightarrow 18p)
 - x_F : 0.4~0.6 : 2.1% 0.6~0.8 : 0.3% 0.8~1.0 : 0.3%
- Simulation uncertainty 7.8%
 - BG estimation 3%
 - Position smearing estimated by center cut scan (Differences between PHENIX and flat x_F inputs) 7.2%
 - Unfolding : linearity (bin by bin \rightarrow 17p)
 - x_F : 0.4~0.6 : 12.1% 0.6~0.8 : 2.1% 0.8~1.0 : 2.1%
- Total : 8.1% of asymmetry value (not including blue term)

Calibration (ZDC)

Neutron cainbration is done by 1 neutron peak from heavy ion



Calibration (SMD)

Relative gain of each channels are matched by cosmic ray data.



Compared with simulation data. See simulation term.

nID study using pythia input.



Black : sum Green : gamma Blue : neutron Red : proton

pid		pid	
1	Gamma	10	K0 long
2	Positron	11	K+
3	Electron	12	K-
5	Mu+	13	Neutron
6	Mu-	14	Proton
8	Pi+	15	Antiproton
9	Pi-	25	Antineutron

Correction of BG (estimation)

 With SMD cut and center cut (r<0.5), BG are mainly K0 and proton

- From ISR paper,

The K⁰ background, which was subtracted, was assumed to be the average of K[±] production [10]. This background amounts to about 10% at x = 0.2 and less than 4% for x > 0.4.

~		i yum
E (GeV)	K0 (%)	Proton (%)
10-20	16.67	11.11
20-30	5.97	2.99
30-40	4.69	2.34
40-50	1.75	3.59
50-60	1.78	4.14
60-70	1.50	5.00
70-80	1.18	1.76
80-90	0	2.14
90-100	0	9.71

Pythia out.

Pythia out is agree K0 ration with ISR data. I used BG distribution after cut from the pythia. Error will be added 100% of this value

Neutron measurement stability



Stability = 7.65762e-06/4.76148e-03*sqrt(315.5/95) = 0.3 %.

BBCLL1 bias study.

RUN5 pp MB looking 22.9 \pm 9.7mb For neutron C.S. correct BBCLL1 cut efficiency.



0.591 \pm 0.05 (for maximum error) \rightarrow 8.46%

Stability of neutron peak after cut



Radius distribution for calculating asymmetry

Center cut by r>0.5cm



Cut radius	0.5	1.0	2.0
Real data	0.0308	0.0320 (1.039)	0.0341 (1.107)
sim (center)	0.0829	0.0853 (1.029)	0.0922 (1.112)
sim (flat)	0.0814	0.0829 (1.018)	0.0884 (1.086)

* () means ration to cut radius = 0.5.

Differences of ratio will be systematic error : 2%

前方中性子測定



One pion exchange model

Eur.Phys.J.A7:109-119,2000





ZEUS results



S. Chekanov et al. physics Letters B 590 (2004) 143–160





- Central Arms
 - $|\eta| < 0.35$, $\Delta \phi \sim \pi$
 - γ , π^0 , e, π^{+-} identified
- Muon Arms
 - 1.2 < |η| < 2.4
 - μ identified
- → Polarized gluon structure function is studied for spin physics.

