Indirect detection as a probe of the spectrum of primordial perturbations on small scales

Pat Scott

Department of Physics, McGill University

With: Torsten Bringmann & Yashar Akrami

Based on Bringmann, PS, Akrami, in prep. PS & Sivertsson, Phys Rev Lett 103:211301 (arXiv:0908.4082) Slides available from http://www.physics.mcgill.ca/~patscott



イロト 不得 トイヨト 不良 ト ほ

What is an ultracompact minihalo?

- Small-scale, large amplitude density perturbations in the early Universe create ultracompact minihalos (UCMHs) Ricotti & Gould (2009), Berezinsky et al. (multiple earlier papers)
- 'Failed primordial black holes'
- Very dense dark matter minihalos
- Enhanced perturbations produced by e.g. inflaton potential or phase transitions
- Non-baryonic, diffuse MACHOs
- Also excellent indirect detection targets



문제 제품에 도법을

UCMH formation

- Formed (or at least 'seeded') well before matter-radiation equality
- Full gravitational collapse before *z* = 1000
- Requires $\delta \gtrsim O(10^{-3})$ (compare with normal inflationary perturbations $\delta \sim 10^{-5}$)
- — much more likely than PBH formation ($\delta \gtrsim 0.3$)
- UCMH mass is set by horizon scale at time of transition
- \implies specific UCMH mass \equiv specific cosmological scale
- \implies limit on abundance of specific mass halo \equiv limit on power on specific scale *k*

(Recent limits from Josan & Green (2010), improved analysis here)



・ロト < 同ト < 目ト < 目ト < 目と < のへの

Gamma-ray fluxes from UCMHs

- Take e.g. *d* = 100 pc
- Minihalos produced at e.g. time of the e⁺e⁻ annihilation epoch should be visible today by *Fermi* or HESS, or even in *EGRET* data
- Strongly constrains spectrum of perturbations formed at time of e⁺e⁻ annihilation phase
- Gravitational contraction of UCMHs makes little difference



Limits on present-day UCMH abundance with Fermi

- 1-year, 5σ upper limits
- Based on public Fermi point source sensitivity
- Proper statistical treatment of observable limit
- Rather conservative assumptions:
 - 100% bb
 - $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 3 .\, 10^{-26} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
 - $m_{\chi} = 5 \,\text{TeV}$
 - no DM minihalo detected
- Limits essentially identical for e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$ with B = 100



Scale-free (power law) spectrum

$$\sigma_{\chi,H}^{2}(R) \approx \delta_{H}^{2}(t_{k_{0}}) \left(\frac{k}{k_{0}}\right)^{n-1} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n+2} T_{\chi}^{2}(x) W_{\text{TH}}^{2}(x) / T_{\chi}^{2}(1) dx}_{\text{UCMH}} (1)$$

$$= \text{Improved } \sigma_{\chi,H}^{2} \text{ using top hat window function}$$

$$= \text{Explicit calculation of } \delta_{\min} \text{ (Solution to linear growth eqs; } \delta = 1.686 \text{ during matter domination in linear approx} \\ \Rightarrow \delta \to \infty \text{ in non-linear regime)}$$

10 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{4} 10^{5} 10^{6}

 $k \; (Mpc^{-1})$

ID as a probe of primordial perturbations - Pat Scott June 14 - Hamburg ID Workshop 2011

> 5 4

> > $10 \quad 10^2 \quad 10^3 \quad 10^4 \quad 10^5 \quad 10^6 \quad 10^7$

Spectrum with a step

$$\alpha_{\chi}^{2} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n+2} T_{\chi}^{2}(x) W_{\text{TH}}^{2}(x) / T_{\chi}^{2}(1) \left\{ \theta\left(\frac{k_{s}}{k} - x\right) + p^{2}\theta\left(x - \frac{k_{s}}{k}\right) \right\} dx$$

$$(2)$$

 $k_{s} \, ({\rm Mpc}^{-1})$

E ► ★ E ► E E

< 🗇

590

ID as a probe of primordial perturbations – Pat Scott June 14 – Hamburg ID Workshop 2011

General spectrum and curvature perturbation limits



ID as a probe of primordial perturbations – Pat Scott June 14 – Hamburg ID Workshop 2011

Summary

- Ultracompact minihalos are promising indirect detection targets
- Could be visible by Fermi/VERITAS/HESS/CTA
- Assuming DM annihilates, non-observation places limits on primordial perturbations at small scales
- Derived limits are much tighter than existing ones from primordial black holes
- ... but weakened slightly by careful treatment of mass variance, radial profile and minimum density contrast required for formation



<ロ> (四) (四) (四) (四) (四) (四) (四)

UCMH density profiles

- Initially single UCMH per horizon, minimal initial angular momentum
- \implies UCMHs form via radial infall
- \implies Very steep radial density profile

$$\rho_{\chi}(r) = \frac{3f_{\chi}M_{\rm UCMH}}{16\pi R_{\rm UCMH}^{\frac{3}{4}}r^{\frac{9}{4}}},$$
(4)

 Truncated at self-annihilation radius r_{SA}, and radius r_{AM} where gas angular momentum violates radial infall approx.

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}_{SA}) = \frac{m_{\chi}}{\langle \sigma \mathbf{v} \rangle (t - t_{i})}, \qquad \mathbf{r}_{AM} = \frac{\sigma_{DM}^{\frac{8}{7}} R_{UCMH}^{\frac{11}{7}}}{G^{\frac{4}{7}} M_{UCMH}^{\frac{4}{7}}} \tag{5}$$

UCMH relic density calculation

• For some distribution of perturbations $pdf(\delta)$

$$f_{\rm UCMH} = \left(\frac{1+z_{\rm eq}}{1+z_{\rm stop}}\right) \int_{\delta_{\rm min}}^{\delta_{\rm PBH}} pdf(\delta) \, d\delta \tag{6}$$

• For Gaussian perturbations,

$$pdf(\delta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\chi,H}^2(z_X,R)} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{2\sigma_{\chi,H}^2(z_X,R)^2}\right)$$
(7)

- Improved $\sigma_{\chi,H}^2$ using top hat window function
- Explicit calculation of δ_{min}



Backup Slides

Best scale for limits on step spectrum

$$\alpha_{\chi}^{2} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n+2} T_{\chi}^{2}(x) W_{\text{TH}}^{2}(x) / T_{\chi}^{2}(1) \left\{ \theta\left(\frac{k_{s}}{k} - x\right) + p^{2} \theta\left(x - \frac{k_{s}}{k}\right) \right\} \, \mathrm{d}x$$



ID as a probe of primordial perturbations – Pat Scott June 14 – Hamburg ID Workshop 2011