# LUXE: A new experiment to study non-perturbative QED

Ruth Jacobs (DESY)

DPG Frühjahrstagung Dresden 22.03.2023

DESY.

HELMHOLTZ

#### **Overview**



#### What is the LUXE experiment?

- proposed new experiment at DESY Hamburg & Eu.XFEL
- collisions between XFEL electron beam and high-intensity laser
   → probe (strong-field) QED in uncharted regime

#### What will be covered today?

- 1) What is strong-field QED and why is it interesting?
- 2) What does LUXE add to SFQED experiment landscape?
- 3) What are key technologies to reach LUXE's physics goals?



# **Strong-Field QED (SFQED)**

- QED is one of the most well-tested theories in physics  $\rightarrow$  based on perturbative calculations
- LUXE will probe QED in non-perturbative strong-field regime
- strong external field: work by field over Compton wavelength > rest mass of virtual particle
   → Schwinger-Limit
   m<sup>2</sup> e<sup>3</sup>
- Schwinger critical field:  $\mathcal{E}_{cr} = \frac{m_e^2 c^3}{e\hbar}$  (e.g. for electrical field:  $\mathcal{E}_{cr} = 1.32 \cdot 10^{18} V/m$ )



- Schwinger effect: creation of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair from vacuum in constant field
  - $\rightarrow$  existing fields orders of magnitude too small compared to  $\mathcal{E}_{cr}$ , effect unobservable... but:

Non-linear quantum effects accessible in fields below  $\mathcal{E}_{cr}$  with relativistic probe particles  $\rightarrow$  fields  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{cr})$  in particle rest frame!

# **Non-linear Compton scattering**



in strong fields, electron obtains larger effective mass  $m_* = m_e \sqrt{1 + \xi^2}$ ٠

Photon energy (GeV)

 $\rightarrow$ Compton edge shifts with laser intensity parameter  $\xi$  $\rightarrow n$ -th order harmonics (interaction with n laser photons)

- Note: Non-linear Compton scattering has a classical limit ٠  $\rightarrow$  deviation between non-linear QED and non-linear classical Compton: quantum non-linearity parameter  $\chi$
- Parameters  $\xi$  and  $\chi$  determined by laser intensity and electron beam energy ٠

#### Different combinations of $\xi$ and $\chi$ result in different types of non-linear behavior!

#### **Breit-Wheeler pair production**



- initial photon from Compton scattering or secondary beam
- Note: this process has no classical limit (energy threshold)!  $\rightarrow$  purely quantum, requires  $\chi \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ !



#### LUXE: first experiment to measure Breit-Wheeler pair production with real photons!

#### LUXE experimental setup(s)



Unique in LUXE!

#### **The LUXE laser**



LUXE basic Laser parameters	
active medium	Ti:Sa
wavelength (energy)	800nm (1.55eV)
crossing angle	17.2°
pulse length	30fs
spot size	≥3µm
power	40TW / 350TW
peak intensity [10 <sup>19</sup> W/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	13.3 / 120

Laser intensity:  $I = \frac{E_L}{\Delta t \pi d^2}$ E<sub>L</sub>: laser energy (J)

 $\Delta t$ : pulse length (s)  $\pi d^2$ : focus area (m<sup>2</sup>)

- high-intensity Ti:Sa laser system (chirped pulse amplification)
- LUXE Phase-0: existing 40TW system (JETI40, Jena) or custom laser, Phase-1: upgrade to 350TW
   → scan SFQED parameter space: variable laser spot size
- electron boost: current state-of-the-art in laser intensity is sufficient
   → need exceptional shot-by-shot stability!

#### **LUXE** beamline

DESY.



- LUXE uses high-quality 16.5 GeV EuXFEL electron beam before undulators
- experiment location exists: annex for future second EuXFEL fan(~2030's+)  $\rightarrow$  unique possibility to build and operate LUXE before that!
- extract 1 bunch (out of 2700 bunches) per XFEL train for LUXE  $\rightarrow$  design goal: transparent to XFEL photon science!

XFEL e <sup>-</sup> Beam Properties important for LUXE	
Energy	16.5 GeV
#electrons/bunch	1.5·10 <sup>9</sup>
repetition rate	10 Hz

# **LUXE in Strong-Field QED Parameter Space**



- experimental reach in SF QED parameter space  $(\xi, \chi)$  $\rightarrow$  mainly determined by: particle beam energy, LASER intensity
- predecessor: E144 (SLAC e-laser collisions, 1990's) reached power-law regime, but not departure
   → LUXE: three orders of magnitude more powerful laser
- LUXE unique ability: continuous data-taking with variable laser spot size
   → precision mapping of SFQED parameter space in transition regime

# **Laser Diagnostics**



- LASER characterization quantities: energy, pulse length, spot size
- many (partially redundant) measurements planned
- LASER intensity uncertainty has a large impact on sensitivity!
- goal: ≤ 5% absolute uncertainty on LASER intensity, ≤ 1% shot-to-shot uncertainty



#### **LUXE Particle Detectors**



- goal: detection of electrons, positrons and photon fluxes and energy spectra
- particle fluxes vary between ~0.001 e<sup>+</sup> and  $10^9$  (e<sup>-</sup> and  $\gamma$ ) per laser shot!
- · use technologies adapted to respective fluxes of signal and background

#### **LUXE IP Detectors**



Two complementary detector technologies per measurement  $\rightarrow$  cross-calibration, reduction of systematic uncertainties

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

LUXE: interesting near-term application for new detector technologies

DESY.

٠

#### arXiv:2107.13554

# **Bonus: LUXE BSM Searches (LUXE-NPOD)**

- LUXE will produce a high-intensity photon beam through Compton Scattering
  - $\rightarrow$  produce e.g. axion-like (ALPs) in photon beam-dump
  - $\rightarrow$  detect via new particle decay to two photons
- production of new particles also possible in primary electron/LASER interaction

target/dump

ALP decay

to two photons

conceptual design of photon detector with pointing capabilities ongoing
 → similar technologies applicable as e.g. in SHiP

 $\gamma_{\rm C}$ 

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_8.jpeg)

LUXE-NPOD sensitivity to sub-GeV ALPs competitive with other experiments ongoing and in planning

detector

 $\phi^p/\phi^S$ 

**Primakoff** 

process

# **LUXE Status & Planning**

- LUXE initiated in 2017
- 2023: international collaboration of ~20 institutional members,
   → significant contributions by external partners
- approval process at DESY and EuXFEL is progressing
- experiment funding: pursuing several options
- foresee staged construction in EuXFEL shutdowns:
   → depending on approval time scale, earliest data-taking could start in 2026
- extensive material on technical design and planning available
   → consolidation into TDR ongoing

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **Summary**

- LUXE will explore QED in uncharted regime
  - observe transition from perturbative to non-perturbative QED
  - directly observe pair production from real photons
  - complementary approach to other ongoing SFQED experiments
  - search for BSM physics with photon beam dump
- goal: installation starting in 2025 during extended shutdown planned for European XFEL
  - very diverse detector technologies, optimized for LUXE physics goals
- ideal testbed for new technologies e.g. for future colliders

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

More documentation? LUXE CDR: <u>arXiv:2102.02032</u> LUXE website: <u>https://luxe.desy.de</u>

LUXE: exciting window of opportunity for a near-term new particle physics experiment Open to new collaborators!

•

#### Contact

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY Ruth Jacobs FHR ruth.magdalena.jacobs@desy.de

www.desy.de

# Backup

# **SFQED** parameters

Intensity parameter:  

$$\xi = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_L}{\omega_L m_e}\right) = \frac{m_e \mathcal{E}_L}{\omega_L \mathcal{E}_{cr}}$$

.

٠

- measure of coupling between probe and Background (laser) field (also: square root of laser intensity)
- $\xi \ge 1$ : non-perturbative regime

#### Note:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}_L: \text{Laser field} \\ \mathcal{E}_{cr}: \text{Schwinger critical field} \\ \theta: \text{Laser - probe crossing angle} \\ \omega_L: \text{Laser frequency} \\ E_{e/\gamma}: \text{probe electron (photon)} \\ & \text{energy} \end{array}$ 

Quantum parameters:  

$$\chi_e = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{E_e}{m_e} \frac{\mathcal{E}_L}{\mathcal{E}_{cr}}$$

$$\chi_{\gamma} = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{E_{\gamma}}{m_e} \frac{\mathcal{E}_L}{\mathcal{E}_{cr}}$$

- ratio of background laser field and Schwinger critical field
  - $\chi \ge 1$ : non-linear quantum effects become probable (e.g. pair production)

Energy Parameter  $\eta = \frac{\chi}{\xi} = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{\omega_L E_{e/\gamma}}{m_e^2}$ 

 (dimensionless) energy of collision between probe particle and background

#### Different combinations of $\xi$ and $\chi$ result in different types of non-linear behavior!

# **The Furry picture**

- How to do calculations? Solve equations of motion (Dirac equation) in field background → analytical solutions exist in plane wave background ("Volkov wave functions")
- derive Feynman rules for "dressed" states ("Furry expansion")  $\rightarrow$  treat background exactly, particle scattering perturbatively ( $\alpha \ll 1$ )

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Compton scattering in strong fields**

• Consider Compton scattering in plane-wave background field:  $A(x) = A_0 \sin(k \cdot x)$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Strong field ( $\xi \ge 1$ ): Need to take into account all order diagrams!

Link to QU lecture by Ben King Review paper: A. Fedotov et al, <u>2203.00019 [arXiv:hep-ph]</u>

# **Ritus-Naroshny Conjecture**

- Ritus-Naroshny Conjecture: in the vicinity of sufficiently strong fields, the Furry expansion breaks down  $\rightarrow$  perturbative QED coupling  $\alpha$  is modified by the field strength:  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \chi^{2/3}$
- Conjecture interpreted to hold for any "locally constant" background (field constant over formation length scale of physics process)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

# How does LUXE relate to LHC light-by-light scattering?

- LHC: photon-photon interaction in ultra-peripheral heavy-ion collisions (UPC) → e.g. yy→yy, yy→µµ
- UPC: fields above the Schwinger limit can be reached in the lab
- main difference to LUXE: in UPC, EM field is extremely short-lived, cannot travel over macroscopic distances
- this regime is still covered by linear perturbative QED

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figures from: arXiv:2010.07855v3 (Also a nice review to read, if you want to know more!)

# E144 experiment at SLAC

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

- E144: SLAC experiment in 1990's using 46.6 GeV electron beam (e+LASER only!)
- reached  $\chi \le 0.25, \xi < 0.4$
- observed process  $e^- + n\gamma_L \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-$
- observed start of the  $\xi^{2n}$  power law, but not departure

#### LUXE : Three orders of magnitude more powerful laser than E144, will enter non-perturbative regime

#### **E-320 experiment at SLAC**

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

- E320: ongoing SF-QED experiment at SLAC using 13 GeV electron beam (FACET-II) and 16 TW optical Laser
- first electron-LASER collisions in 2022
- By design: similar parameter reach as LUXE (after Laser and Detector upgrades)
- Main differences to LUXE:
  - · electron-Laser collision mode only
  - E-320 data-taking time limited due to other users of FACET-II

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

- pitch size (27 x 29 µm), 5 µm resolution
- tracking:  $\varepsilon > 98\%$ ,  $\frac{\delta p}{n} \approx 0.3\%$
- very small background (<0.1 event / bunch crossing)</li>

#### GaAs – Si High-granularity Calorimeter:

- 20-layer sampling calorimeter high granularity: independent energy measurement through shower and position
- shower medium: 3.5mm Tungsten plates  $(1X_0)$ , active medium: Silicon sensors  $(5x5cm^2, 320\mu m \text{ thick})$
- read out by FLAME ASIC (developed for FCAL)

#### **Positron detectors: High signal efficiency, high resolution!**

DESY.

10

12

14

16 E [GeV]

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

Beam spot imaged on Scint. Screen

- finely segmented ( $\phi = 4mm$ ) Air-filled channel (reflective tubes as light guides)  $\rightarrow$  charged particles create Cherenkov light
- Active medium Air: low refractive index reduce light yield, suppress backgrounds (Cherenkov threshold 20 MeV)

DESY.

#### **Electron detectors: High rate tolerance, large dynamic range!**

 $(\rightarrow \text{ talk by A. Athanassiadis, today 18:15, session T98})$ 

#### **Photon Detection System**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Gamma Beam Profiler

#### Gamma detector technologies:

- Gamma profiler (sapphire strips)
  - $\rightarrow$  y beam location and shape  $\rightarrow$  precision measurement of Laser intensity
- Gamma spectrometer with scintillator screens behind converter  $\rightarrow$  flux, energy spectrum ( $\frac{\delta E}{F} < 2\%$ )
- Gamma dump backscattering calorimeter  $\rightarrow$  photon flux

N (1/BX 8±5 0.6 LUXE TOR  $10^{4}$  $10^{3}$ 10<sup>2</sup> 0 02 x (cm) y (cm) 10<sup>6</sup>10 ξ=10 LUXE TDR 0.6 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>4</sup>  $10^{3}$ 10<sup>2</sup> -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 x (cm)

![](_page_27_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### DESY.

#### Photon detectors: Precision measuremen of ξ, complementary to Laser diagnostics Page 28

#### **Expected Results**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Number of Breit-Wheeler pairs produced in photon-Laser collisions
- assuming 10dy of data-taking and 0.01 background events/ bunch crossing
- + 40% correlated uncertainty to illustrate effect of uncertainty on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Compton edge position as function of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  in electron-laser collisions
- assuming 1h data-taking, no background
- 2% energy scale uncertainty to illustrate impact

# **SFQED** with relativistic probes

LASER

In the lab: reach fields at Schwinger limit in the rest frame of highly relativistic probe particles
 → LUXE: 16.5 GeV electrons + multi-TW optical LASER

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics 3rd. Edition

е⁻/ұв

$$\mathcal{E}_{rest\ fr.} = \mathbf{\gamma} \mathcal{E}_{lab\ fr.}$$

Important consequence of having a relativistic probe:
 → any field background can be approximated as a plane wave

# LUXE: Electron + LASER collisions

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### LUXE main goal: Measure positron rate as function of LASER intensity

# LUXE: Photon + LASER collisions

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

LUXE: first SF-QED experiment to probe directly photon-photon interaction

# **Synchronization**

- critical: spatial and temporal overlap of electron beam and LASER
- temporal overlap requirement (30fs LASER pulse, >100fs electron bunch)
   → at least half the pulse width (50fs)
- XFEL developed world-leading syncronization system
   → sychronization of two RF signals to <13fs</li>
- synchronise the XFEL.EU master clock oscillator to the oscillator of the JETI40

   → already used across XFEL to sychronize LASERS and accelerator
   → fine-tune repetition rate via piezo-elements controling LASER cavity size
- stability against temperature variations: isolation and active feedback loops
- spatial overlap: beam pointing monitoring sytems for both electron and LASER beam

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **Forward Spectrometer Detectors**

- Up to 10<sup>9</sup> photons per bunch crossing with ~GeV energies
- Energy spectrum measurement
  - Spectrometer with scintillators behind converter
  - Energy resolution <2%

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Backscattering Calorimeter**

- Up to 10<sup>9</sup> photons per bunch crossing with ~GeV energies
- Flux measured with
  - Spectrometer
  - Backscattering calorimeter (lead glass blocks)

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### **Gamma Profiler**

•When using polarized laser, expect angular spectrum of photons to depend on  $\xi$  for  $\xi > 1$  and distance from IP of 6m:

•Parallel:  $\sigma_{||} = \xi \times 180 \,\mu$ m, Perpendicular:  $\sigma_{||} = 180 \,\mu$ m

•Ellipticity is independent measure of laser intensity parameter  $\xi$ 

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Particle rates**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Beam Background rates**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### e+LASER Spectra

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Positron Spectra**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **xi distributions**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

# LUXE experimental complex (top view)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)