







# Beam-Tracking and position of the BPMs in the TL/T20 beamline (up to the IP)











### 1. Objectives of this study

- 2. Tracking and Correlation
- **Singular Value Decomposition** 3.
- 4. Resolution at the IP
- 5. Next Objectives



### **1. Objectives of this study**



- The goal of LUXE in both configurations is to make 2 beams interact
- The smaller the relative position, the higher is the number of interactions
- We can't put some position measurements at the IP so we have to search for correlation points upstream



# How can we put BPMs upstream to measure the positions and angles at the IP ?

And what resolution can we get at this IP?



4

### **1. Objectives of this study**



- The lattice simulated is composed of the TL and the TD20
- This lattice TWISS and RMAT files come from Mad8 and all the beam tracking is done using custom python tools













- 1. Objectives of this study
- 2. Tracking and Correlation
- 3. Singular Value Decomposition
- 4. Resolution at the IP
- 5. Next Objectives





### **INITIAL BEAM :**

- 10 000 particles
- X/Y = 10e-6 m std
- X'/Y' = 10e-6 rad std
- Z = 30e-6 m std
- DE = 3 MeV std

• In this study there is no X/Y coupling

ROYAL HOLLOWA\ • We want to extract the position data to identify correlation points :

• Mathematically, in x for example, a point at position s is correlated if :

 $x_{IP} = a(s)x(s)$ 

• From Hill's equations we know that :

$$x(s) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_x \beta_x(s)} \cos(\mu_x(s) + \varphi)$$

• Then using both equations above we can show that we have correlation when :  $x_{IP} = a(s)x(s) \Rightarrow \sin(\mu_{IP} - \mu(s)) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(s) = \mu_{IP} - n\pi$ 

• All the correlation positions can be predicted using the phase advance data which is already given by our Mad8 simulations.



### $|\sin(\mu_{IP}-\mu(s))|\ll 0$



• Plotting this value along the lattice, we can see and fit a list of S positions that are in theory good candidates for a BPM

**DENIAUD Marin - 20/02/2023** 

ROYAI



- Using the tracking data we can plot the correlation between the IP and any point along the lattice
- Each of these correlation plots can be linearly fitted, for a particle i :

$$x_{ref,i} = ax_i - b$$



ROYAL HOLLOWAY





**DENIAUD Marin - 20/02/2023** 



**DENIAUD Marin - 20/02/2023** 

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON









Those qualitative curves are matching pretty well on the S positions. •



/ERSIT)



Previously used equations for the position

 $\begin{cases} x_{IP} = a(s)x(s) \\ x(s) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_x \beta_x(s)} \cos(\mu_x(s) + \varphi) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \sin(\mu_{IP} - \mu(s)) = 0 \Rightarrow |\sin(\mu_{IP} - \mu(s))| \ll 0$ 

• We can use the same reasoning for the angle correlation

$$\begin{cases} x'_{IP} = a(s)x(s) \\ x'(s) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_x}{\beta_x(s)}} (\alpha_x(s)\cos(\mu_x(s) + \varphi) + \sin(\mu_x(s) + \varphi)) \\ \Rightarrow \sin\left(\mu_{x,IP} - \mu_x(s) + \arctan(\frac{-1}{\alpha_{x,IP}})\right) = 0 \Rightarrow |\sin\left(\mu_{x,IP} - \mu_x(s) + \arctan(\frac{-1}{\alpha_{x,IP}})\right) \ll 0 \end{cases}$$

• We are then able to extract correlation data for X, Y, X' and Y' at the IP





ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY

**OF LONDON** 

For each coordinates we found 7 possible locations for BPMs (except in Y where we found only 6)

• But we need a quantitative method to find what are the most efficient BPMs if we take the given S positions !















- 1. Objectives of this study
- 2. Tracking and Correlation
- 3. Singular Value Decomposition
- 4. Resolution at the IP
- 5. Next Objectives



### 3. Singular Values Decomposition

- The previous method only shows the position of high correlation and not the correlation coefficients.
- We want to extract, from all these positions, the corresponding coefficients  $c_s$  to measure the beam coordinates at the IP

$$x_{IP} = \sum_{s \neq s_{IP}}^{S} x_s c_s$$

• We can resolve this problem with a matrix formulation in which *X*<sub>*IP*</sub> contain all the positions information at each BPM and for each particle

$$\underline{x_{IP}} = \underline{X_{IP}}, \underline{c_{IP}} \Rightarrow \underline{c_{IP}} = \underline{X_{IP}}^{-1}, \underline{x_{IP}}$$

• Inverting the matrix  $X_{IP}^{-1}$  require that we use a SVD !







### 3. Singular Values Decomposition

• The Singular Value Decomposition is a method that allow the inversion of a non trivial matrix by decomposing it into 3 matrices

$$\underline{X_{IP}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{\underline{U}} \cdot \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} \qquad \begin{cases} D \text{ is diagonal} \\ U, V \text{ are unitary} \end{cases}$$

• These matrices are easily invertible or transposable so we can rearrange our previous equation to get the correlation coefficients

$$\underline{c_{IP}} = \underline{\underline{V}} . \underline{\underline{D}^{-1}} . \underline{\underline{U}^{T}} . \underline{\underline{x}_{IP}}$$

• WARNING : This method works here because in this beamline the relationship between two positions is linear or quasi-linear (we don't have any sextupole)



Singular Values Decomposition

3.

•

ROYAL HOLLOWAY

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON



- Attempt to find the same S position that we found with Chi2 method
  - Only the QUADs and KICKs are registered as samplers





Singular Values Decomposition

3.



• Easier when doing SVD on less elements. Just a qualitative check.





Singular Values Decomposition

3.

•

ROYAL HOLLOWAY

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON



- Now we want to extract the coefficients for each previously found S position
  - We can now use them to simulate a measurement of the beam at the IP!









- 1. Objectives of this study
- 2. Tracking and Correlation
- **Singular Value Decomposition** 3.
- 4. Resolution at the IP
- 5. Next Objectives



• Now we have all the coefficients  $c_s$  and we can flip the calculation in order to get a "measure" of a given coordinate at the IP

$$x_{IP,meas} = \sum_{s \neq s_{IP}}^{S} x_s c_s$$

• Doing this with all 10 000 particles, we can now get a histogram of the resolution at the IP :

$$x_{IP,real} - x_{IP,meas}$$

• To have an accurate simulation, we put 10 um of noise at each BPM





- In X/Y we have ~1-1.5 um of resolution
- In X'/Y' we have ~0.5 urad of resolution

ROYAL HOLLOWAY

VIVERSITY







• We can look at how this resolution vary w.r.t. the BPM noise

ROYAL HOLLOWAY

**OF LONDON** 





• Or w.r.t the number of BPMs used for the SVD (by order of coefficients)













- 1. Objectives of this study
- 2. Tracking and Correlation
- **Singular Value Decomposition** 3.
- 4. Resolution at the IP
- 5. Next Objectives



### 5. Next objectives

• To get the correlation coefficients from the SVD we need the positions and angles at the IP :

$$\underline{c_{IP}} = \underline{X_{IP}}^{-1} \cdot \underline{x_{IP}}$$

- Since we can't put a BPM at this point, we need to think about another method to make this measurement.
- Then after we've calculated the coefficients once, we only need the BPM data to calculate the beam coordinates at the IP.



### 5. Next objectives



- We could move a tiny needle in front of the beam.
- When in contact with the electron beam it will produce some photons
- These photons could be detected with a photodetector



2OYAI





### 5. Next objectives

### **TO SUMMARIZE :**

- Mad8 tools for tracking studies in TL/TD20
- Chi2 method to confirm the theoretical analysis on correlation points in the lattice
- The SVD can find the corresponding coefficients in order the do a measurement at the IP
  - 1-1.5 um res in X/Y
  - 0.5 urad res in X'/Y'
- We need some measurement device at the IP for the initial calculation of the coefficients













# Thank you for your attention !













