

C. D. Anderson, S. H. Neddermeyer, 1936, Physical Review 50 (4): 263–71

ATLAS collaboration, Science Vol. 338 no. 6114 pp. 1576-1582

Searching for the unknown Other direct searches

Rosa Simoniello (CERN), ICFA23, 01.12.2023

How we look for new physics?

- In all ways!
 - Complementarity between direct searches, precision measurements and EFT
 - For direct searches, in case of discovery we can measure the properties of the new particle(s)!
- and everywhere:
 - Broad signature-based program: >600 BSM analyses from ATLAS and CMS exploring different phenomenology, models, kinematics
 - Many reaching and passing the TeV scale
 - Constantly asking if we miss any signature or leaving gaps

Current challenges and limitations

- Difficult phase space:
 - Trigger strategies
 - Reconstruction
- Extension to longer lifetimes
- Extension to higher energies

Need for methodological innovation (already now) and future colliders/detectors

Need for future colliders/detectors

Overview of this talk



coupling strength to the SM

Overview of this talk



coupling strength to the SM

Overview of this talk

Experimentally challenging but well motivated!



coupling strength to the SM

SUSY EWK compressed spectra

- Naturalness and DM arguments suggest light Higgsinos
- Higgsinos thermal DM target: 1.1 TeV



<u>Similar results by CMS</u>, including the small data excess

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$

- Naturalness and DM arguments suggest light Higgsinos
- Higgsinos thermal DM target: 1.1 TeV
- Signature depends on composition and masses of EWK states



 $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

 $Z^* \to \text{soft} \ell$

- Naturalness and DM arguments suggest light Higgsinos
- Higgsinos thermal DM target: 1.1 TeV



 $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

 \rightarrow soft ℓt

 $W^* \to \text{soft } \ell$,

- Naturalness and DM arguments suggest light Higgsinos
- Higgsinos thermal DM target: 1.1 TeV



 $\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \sim \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \end{aligned}$

- Natur
- Higgs



Run: 308084 Event: 2658892674 2016-09-10 04:14:14 CEST

0.2



100

150

200

250

 $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$ [GeV]

Challenges given by the trigger, reconstruction and combinatorial background

Life-time depends on track length and on tracker geo





MET

Let's talk more about long-lived particles

LLP signatures

Different signatures according to different LLP charge and life-time



LLP signatures



- Dark sectors: full new sector with a DM candidate
- Mediator to interact with SM, often feebly giving LLP
- Upper limit on $c\tau \sim 10^7 {
 m m}$ from Big Bang nucleosynthesis considerations



Similar results by ATLAS

- Dark sectors: full new sector with a DM candidate
- Mediator to interact with SM, often feebly giving LLP
- Upper limit on $c\tau \sim 10^7 \mathrm{m}$ from Big Bang nucleosynthesis considerations



Trigger on displaced objects: successfully explored in Run2, large gains with improved strategies in Run3 for many LLP signatures

CMS: select displaced August 2023 **CMS** *Preliminary* tracks online and count SS) Z + displaced jets number of displaced/ 2110.13218 prompt tracks 117 fb⁻¹, 13 TeV 95% CL upper limit on *B*(associated to a jet ••• m_s = 15 GeV $- m_s = 40 \text{ GeV}$ — m_s = 55 GeV **Displaced** jets 2012.01581 132 fb⁻¹, 13 TeV - • m_s = 40 GeV 10⁻² – m_s = 55 GeV ATLAS: use hits not associated to prompt MS Clusters h→ss→4b EXO-21-008 tracks, exploiting 137 fb⁻¹, 13 TeV higher multiplicity for ••• m_s = 15 GeV outer layers w.r.t. inner $- m_s = 40 \text{ GeV}$ 10⁻³ layers for triggering displaced vertices 10² 10⁶ 10³ 10⁴ **10⁵** 10^{7} 10 $c\tau_{s}$ [mm]

Dedicated triggers can open up phase space + allow for less model dependency

- CMS muon detectors used as sampling calorimeter
- Enough background suppression to allow detection of a single LLP decay —> increase signal acceptance
- Calorimeter showers sensitive to LLP E instead of m



Use of detectors in unconventional way!

HL-LHC and LLP



- Large number of proposals for new dedicated LLP detectors covering different scenarios —> maximise the HL-LHC physics program
 - Direct detection: neutrinos and anomalous charge
 - Detection of decay products:
 - Forward: light LLP produced in meson decay in the forward region
 - FASER built, taking data and producing first results!
 - Transverse: neutral LLP decaying to charged particles
- Feebly interactions + no/reduced background searches (thanks to extra shielding) —> profit the best from high luminosity



HL-LHC and LLP



* Similar results expected for Muon Collider

Future opportunities with the main detectors

Looping back to Higgsinos

plot from 1910.11775 supplemented with results from 2209.13128



Looping back to Higgsinos

FCC-hh and Muon Collider can reach thermal target, facing some challenges

w/o hit timing in track fit with hit timing in track fit FCC-hh pileup $\langle \mu \rangle = 1000$ Default layout (#1), <u> = 200 Default layout (#1), <u> = 200 FCC-hh FCC-hh Alternative layout (#3), <u> = 200 Alternative layout (#3), <u> = 200 √s = 100 TeV. 30 ab⁻¹ √s = 100 TeV. 30 ab Default layout (#1), <u> = 500 Default layout (#1), <u> = 500 Alternative layout (#3), <u> = 500 Alternative layout (#3), <u> = 500 20 20 Discovery significance Discovery significance 18Ē 18Ē Higgsino Higgsino 16È 16È N_{laver} = 5 N_{laver}^{hit} = 5, Time-fit **14**⊧ **14**₽ 12 10 12Ē with high pileup 10È 8 2₽ with "lower" pileup 800 1000 1200 1400 800 1000 1200 1400 Chargino mass [GeV] Chargino mass [GeV] √s=1.5TeV Muon Collider beam induced background Hit multiplicity Timing 10 2303.08533 Muon Collider + loose DL Signal event Simulation + tight DL 10⁴ 10⁴ 10³ Endcap Barrel 10² F. Meloni 10 10 12 14 0 2 4 6 8 16



Detector timing O(10ps) is critical

Sensitivity depends on detector geometry -> input for detector design Opportunities for reconstructing the pion too

Vertex Detector Layer ID

1901.02987

- Methodological innovation
 - Real-time analyses, delayed analyses, partial event building (pioneered by LHCb)
 - Anomaly detection
- Opportunities at future facilities
 - Heavy particles and strong interaction
 - Heavy Neutral Leptons

Ways to by-pass storage or reconstruction trigger limitations

Development on these techniques important for trigger-based colliders (usually more relevant for hadron colliders)



- Methodological innovation
 - Real-time analyses, delayed analyses, partial event building (pioneered by LHCb)
 - Anomaly detection
- Opportunities at future facilities
 - Heavy particles and strong interaction
 - Heavy Neutral Leptons

Unsupervised ML started to be used in bump-hunter/resonance searches

Opportunity to be model agnostic

May miss new physics if it is not deemed anomalous (care when defining "anomalous")

Quickly evolving field

Possible usage of anomaly detection in the trigger (our selections start with the trigger!)



- Methodological innovation
 - Real-time analyses, delayed analyses, partial event building (pioneered by LHCb)
 - Anomaly detection
- Opportunities at future facilities
 - Heavy particles and strong interaction
 - Heavy Neutral Leptons

New physics can simply be to higher scales Exploration at high masses allows for possible "surprises" not necessarily link to a model



FCC-hh sensitivity up to 10 TeV for heavy particles with strong production

- Methodological innovation
 - Real-time analyses, delayed analyses, partial event building (pioneered by LHCb)
 - Anomaly detection
- Opportunities at future facilities
 - Heavy particles and strong interaction
 - Heavy Neutral Leptons

Heavy Neutral Leptons: extension of SM ν sector that could explain neutrinos masses



multi-TeV lepton collider can extend sensitivity for heavy HNL up to 6 orders of magnitude: higher production crosssection + clean environment

Conclusions

- Great machine and detectors performance + creativity of people brought excellent results beyond expectations
 - We challenged our conceptions of reconstruction, triggering and we used detectors in creative ways
- Future accelerators and detectors have the potential to fill gaps in sensitivity in experimentally challenging regions and to extend our reach to higher energies
- Run3 is still under way and a discovery is still possible!
 - However, there are no guarantees nor in Run3 nor in future

"Our responsibility is to do what we can, learn what we can, improve the solutions, and pass them on." R. P. Feynman

Back-up

- Methodological innovation
 - Real-time analyses, delayed analyses, partial event building (pioneered by LHCb)
 - Anomaly detection
- Opportunities at future facilities
 - Heavy particles and strong interaction
 - Heavy Neutral Leptons



Large phenomenology

Theory and experimental landscape still in development

Dark showers could be searched for only at hadron colliders?

Disappearing track limits



2209.13128

> 1 TeV stop

MSSM Guidance: Stop Masses above about I TeV lead to the right Higgs Masss

P. Draper, G. Lee, C.W.'13, Bagnaschi et al' 14, Vega and Villadoro '14, Bahl et al'17

G. Lee, C.W. arXiv:1508.00576



Necessary stop masses increase for lower values of tan β , larger values of μ smaller values of the CP-odd Higgs mass or lower stop mixing values.

Lighter stops demand large splittings between left- and right-handed stop masses

Carlos E.M. Wagner 33

ALPs



Higgs compositeness



HNL







ATLAS and CMS triggers for DV



What if there are RPV couplings? Higgsino with fully hadronic decay couplings

- LSP Higgsino can decay to SM particles
 - Higgsino is no longer DM candidate (there could be other, e.g. axion and axinos, but not the WIMP Higgsino)
 - Cross section stays small
- Hadronic decay particularly challenging for hadron colliders and LEP limits up to $\sqrt{s/2} \Rightarrow$ There is unexplored phase-space
 - Signature with large number of jets
 - LLP signature with displaced jets if RPV couplings get very small



Prompt	CMS TLA 60-80 GeV	ATLAS, NN disco 200-320 GeV		
Long-lived (1 ns)			900-1500 GeV ATLAS, displaced massive vertices 4	- jets
				No upper bounds from thermal relic considerations

What if it does not decay in the tracker?

Wino



Disappearing track, Higgsino



• What about the gap?



Possibilities for the Higgsino gap



PhysRevLett.124.101801

• What about the gap?



What drives us?

- Known unknown
 - Big open questions: baryogengesis, nature of dark matter/energy, neutrino masses, weakness of gravitational force, ...
 - Guidance from: naturalness and minimal principles
- The big surprises
 - Tensions in current measurements (aka "anomalies")
 - Uncovered and underexplored signatures and phase-space

 $(g - 2)_{\mu}$: 5.1 σ^{*} R(D*)-R(D): 3.2 σ^{*} CDF m(W): 7 σ^{\dagger} ANITA: 3.3 σ^{\dagger}

* likely to be reduced by theory updates
† not confirmed by other experimental results

Tensions may stay or go, but important for now and the future, to allow for flexibility for *reaction* if something unexpected comes up

- Naturalness and DM arguments would suggest a light EWK sector
- Thermal DM targets: 1.1 TeV (Higgsinos), 2.8 TeV (Wino)

Phenomenological MSSM: simplifying assumptions based on experimental constraints and general features of SUSY breaking mechanism

-> opportunity to scan models and see which survive experimental (LHC and external) constraints



Care between the prompt-todisplaced and the displacedto-stable regimes with analysis reinterpretations

p

 Φ

- 💓 🗧



Is this the only possibility?

• No, other options still viable!



ATLAS-CONF-2023-055

LLP, sleptons

https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/SUSY-2020-09/



Dedicated triggers in Run3 can open up sensitivity to unexplored phase space

Care between the prompt and displaced regime with dedicated analyses and reinterpretations