Further dark matter searches using ALPS II's TES detector

DPG Spring Meeting Dresden 2023

Christina Schwemmbauer on behalf of the ALPS II collaboration

ALPS, DESY Dresden, 23.03.2023

Image Credit: Scicom Lab



Limits of nuclear recoil experiments



Sketch adapted from Benjamin V. Lehman

<u>Cern Courier (</u>2017)

Limits of nuclear recoil experiments



Cern Courier (2017)



Dark Matter – electron scattering



Cern Courier (2017)



Dark Matter – electron scattering



Cern Courier (2017)

Sketch adapted from Benjamin V. Lehman



Assume:

- Characteristic DM halo velocity $\,v_\chi \sim 10^{-3}$
- Scattering via mediator (heavy or light) coupling to EM charges (e.g. dark photon as massless, light mediator)

Maximum Energy transfer E_T in scattering event is entire kinetic energy of DM particle with mass m_{χ} :

$$E_{T_{\text{max}}} = E_{\text{kin}} \sim m_{\chi} v^2 \sim 10^{-6} m_{\chi}$$

Dark Matter – electron scattering



Cern Courier (2017)



Sketch adapted from Benjamin V. Lehman



Assume:

- Characteristic DM halo velocity $\,v_\chi \sim 10^{-3}$
- Scattering via mediator (heavy or light) coupling to EM charges (e.g. dark photon as massless, light mediator)

Maximum Energy transfer E_T in scattering event is entire kinetic energy of DM particle with mass m_{χ} :

$$E_{T_{\text{max}}} = E_{\text{kin}} \sim m_{\chi} v^2 \sim 10^{-6} m_{\chi}$$

DM Searches with Superconductors

Role model: SNSPDs

Example: principle proven for SNSPDs

Low	noise
(Lard	o' target

- 'Large' target mass (4.3 ng)
- Low energy threshold

(Superconducting Nanowire Single Photon Detector)

- New bounds on parameter space with one measurement
- No background signals in 3 hrs
- 0.76 eV energy threshold
- Allows to exclude DM-electron scattering parameter space





DM Searches with Superconductors

Role model: SNSPDs

Example: principle proven for SNSPDs

,	Low noise
•	'Large' target mass (4.3 ng)
,	Low energy threshold

(Superconducting Nanowire Single Photon Detector)

- New bounds on parameter space with one measurement
- No background signals in 3 hrs
- 0.76 eV energy threshold
- Allows to exclude DM-electron scattering parameter space







DM Searches with Superconductors

Role model: SNSPDs

Limits of SNSPDs:



No further information about background signal such as rise and decay time, pulse integral, amplitude, etc.

Superconducting Detectors for Super Light Dark Matter

Yonit Hochberg,¹ Yue Zhao,² and Kathryn M. Zurek¹ ¹Theoretical Physics Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720 Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 ²Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

We propose and study a new class of of superconducting detectors which are sensitive to $\mathcal{O}(\text{meV})$ electron recoils from dark matter-electron scattering. Such devices could detect dark matter as light as the warm dark matter limit, $m_X \gtrsim 1$ keV. We compute the rate of dark matter scattering off of free electrons in a (superconducting) metal, including the relevant Pauli blocking factors. We demonstrate that classes of dark matter consistent with terrestrial and cosmological/astrophysical constraints could be detected by such detectors with a moderate size exposure.



Hocnberg, Υ. et al. arXiv:2110.01586 (2021

DM Searches with Superconductors SNSPD vs TES

Limits of SNSPDs:



No further information about background signal such as rise and decay time, pulse integral, amplitude, etc.

Proposal: Use a Transition Edge Sensor (TES)

- Superconductor
- Low noise
- Energy resolution
- Possibly lower energy threshold
- X Lower mass (0.2 ng)
- X Smaller target area

Superconducting Detectors for Super Light Dark Matter

Yonit Hochberg,¹ Yue Zhao,² and Kathryn M. Zurek¹ ¹Theoretical Physics Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720 Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 ²Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

We propose and study a new class of of superconducting detectors which are sensitive to $\mathcal{O}(\text{meV})$ electron recoils from dark matter-electron scattering. Such devices could detect dark matter as light as the warm dark matter limit, $m_X \gtrsim 1$ keV. We compute the rate of dark matter scattering off of free electrons in a (superconducting) metal, including the relevant Pauli blocking factors. We demonstrate that classes of dark matter consistent with terrestrial and cosmological/astrophysical constraints could be detected by such detectors with a moderate size exposure.



Detection techniques in the ALPS II experiment



Light Shining through a Wall experiment Challenge: Detect single photon from axion-photon conversion

Application of two photon-measurement schemes:

Heterodyne detection:

- Detects photon fields
- Mixing of regenerated fields with a local oscillator and measurement of resulting beat note

Transition Edge Sensor (TES):

- Single photon detection
- Using **superconducting** tungsten chip

Drawing courtesy of Todd Kozlowski

Detection techniques in the ALPS II experiment

More details: "Recent Updates on the ALPS II experiment" – Gulden Othman, Mo 17:30, T5.5



Light Shining through a Wall experiment Challenge: Detect single photon from axion-photon conversion

Application of two photon-measurement schemes:

Heterodyne detection:

- Detects photon fields
- Mixing of regenerated fields with a local oscillator and measurement of resulting beat note

"Heterodyne detection of weak fields in ALPS II" – Isabella Oceano → Next talk!

Transition Edge Sensor (TES):

- Single photon detection
- Using **superconducting** tungsten chip

More details: "A TES for ALPS II – Status and Prospects" – José Alejandro Rubiera Gimeno, Tue 18:00, T27.5

Drawing courtesy of Todd Kozlowski

Transition Edge Sensors



- Cryogenic microcalorimeters
- Operated at superconducting transition temperature
- Read-out using
 Superconducting Quantum
 Interference Devices (SQUIDs)

Drawings courtesy of Katharina-Sofie Isleif

Transition Edge Sensors



- Cryogenic microcalorimeters
- Operated at superconducting transition temperature
- Read-out using
 Superconducting Quantum
 Interference Devices (SQUIDs)
- Incident photon leads to temperature increase
- Small temperature increase leads to large variation in resistance

Transition Edge Sensors



- Cryogenic microcalorimeters
- Operated at superconducting transition temperature
- Read-out using
 Superconducting Quantum
 Interference Devices (SQUIDs)
- Incident photon leads to temperature increase
- Small temperature increase leads to large variation in resistance
- Change in resistance is measured in changing current
- Signal is proportional to photon energy
- Energy resolution ~ 8%

Drawings courtesy of Katharina-Sofie Isleif

TES Single Photon Detection Quantum Sensing Details



J. Dreyling-Eschweiler, Dissertation (2014)

- Very small active area
- Option for fiber coupling
- Optical stack & efficiency optimized for 1064nm photons
- Higher reflection for other photon-wavelengths



SQUID chips

TES as Dark Matter Detector

Current Challenges

TES Status

- Optimized optical structure to increase absorption at 1064 nm→1.165 eV
- Limited knowledge about response to other wavelengths

Low background (electronic noise, radioactivity, cosmic muons) → currently: 6.9x10⁻⁶ cps¹ (intrinsic background for 1.165 eV signals) °DIUN

TES as Dark Matter Detector

Current Challenges

 Optimized optical structure to increase absorption at 1064 nm→1.165 eV

Limited knowledge about response to other wavelengths

Challenge/Goal

- Determine linearity of TES response, especially at lower (sub-eV) energies
- Linearity measurements currently planned using diodes of different wavelengths (880 nm – 2000 nm)

Low background (electronic noise, radioactivity, cosmic muons) → currently: 6.9x10⁻⁶ cps¹ (intrinsic background for 1.165 eV signals) 01211

TES as Dark Matter Detector

Current Challenges

TES Status Challenge/Goal Determine linearity of TES Optimized optical structure response, especially at ٠ lower (sub-eV) energies to increase absorption at $1064 \text{ nm} \rightarrow 1.165 \text{ eV}$ Linearity measurements currently planned using Limited knowledge about ٠ diodes of different response to other wavelengths (880 nm wavelengths 2000 nm) Low background

(electronic noise, radioactivity, cosmic muons) \rightarrow currently: 6.9x10⁻⁶ cps¹ (intrinsic background for 1.165 eV signals)

- Further investigate intrinsic backgrounds
- Investigate alternative TES modules with lower noise background

¹ R. Shah et al., PoS, EPS-HEP2021, 801 (2022)





Background simulations

Simulate background

From fitting measured data set



Background simulations

Simulate background

From fitting measured data set





To optimize analysis for certain energies



Background simulations



How to discern low energy pulses from noise?

ALPS II analysis optimized for lower energies

Using Simulations:

- 1. w/o pulses only electronic noise: rate after trigger
- 2. With light pulses to optimize analysis and cuts for different energies
 - Assumption: Only pulse amplitude changes for different energies



Noise-only simulations

Rate for -11 mV threshold 4.21(0.09) Hz

ALPS II analysis optimized for lower energies

Using Simulations:

- 1. w/o pulses only electronic noise: rate after trigger
- 2. With light pulses to optimize analysis and cuts for different energies
 - Assumption: Only pulse amplitude changes for different energies



Test (electronic) background rate for low triggers after analysis:

- Simulation of noise-only data
- Applying cuts optimized for 1.165eV and 0.583eV

Noise-only simulations

Rate for -11 mV threshold

4.21(0.09) Hz

ALPS II analysis optimized for lower energies

Using Simulations:

- 1. w/o pulses only electronic noise: rate after trigger
- 2. With light pulses to optimize analysis and cuts for different energies
 - Assumption: Only pulse amplitude changes for different energies



Test (electronic) background rate for low triggers after analysis:

- Simulation of noise-only data
- Applying cuts optimized for 1.165eV and 0.583eV
- No noise passing analysis & cuts in 88 min of simulated time with 54.9% acceptance of 0.583eV pulses



Noise-only simulations

Rate for -11 mV threshold
4.21(0.09) Hz

Noise-only simulations & analysis

Cuts based on	Rate for -11 mV threshold
1.165 eV	0.0000(0.0004) Hz
0.583 eV	0.0000(0.0004) Hz

Summary

and

- TES technology used in ALPS II may be interesting for direct dark matter searches in the sub-MeV DM mass range exploiting DM-electron scattering
- Similar measurements have been conducted using SNSPDs
- Using TES for Direct DM Searches has been proposed in literature before
- Would our TES be viable as well?

- Perform intrinsic background measurements to compare with SNSPD results
- Investigate linearity of TES response for different energies (880 nm – 2000 nm or 1.4 eV – 0.6 eV)
- Further investigate intrinsic background

Outlook

• Investigate alternative/optimized TES modules



Thank you

"Heterodyne detection of weak fields in ALPS II" − Isabella Oceano → Next talk!





Hochberg, Y. et al. <u>arXiv:2110.01586</u> (2021)

Backup ALPS II - General Idea

• SM-coupling to two photons



- Detection via Primakoff-like Sikivie effect
- Possible ALP production by photon-ALP oscillation in the presence of strong magnetic fields



Light Shining Through Walls (LSW) experiments

 $\implies P_{\gamma \to a} \propto g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 B^2 L^2$



Illustration by Sandbox Studio, Chicago with Ana Kova

BACKUP

Any Light Particle Search with ALPS II - Experimental Setup



Backup ALPS II Setup

Detection requirements	TES solution
 Photon detection at low energies (1064 nm → 1.165 eV) High quantum efficiency 	 optimized optical structure to increase absorption at 1064 nm
Low background (electronic noise, radioactive backgrounds, photons from black-body radiation) < 1 photon/day	 shielding in cryostat and tests to reduce background: fiber curling filtering of black-body photons → currently: 6.9x10⁻⁶ cps¹ (intrinsic background)
High detection efficiency	 → determination ongoing, has → been proven to be ~90% in other setups²



¹ R. Shah et al., PoS, EPS-HEP2021, 801 (2022)

² A. Lita et al., Advanced Photon Counting Techniques IV 7681 76810D (2010)



Detection requirements

- Photon detection at low energies (1064 nm → 1.165 eV)
- High quantum efficiency

Low background (electronic noise, radioactive backgrounds, photons from black-body radiation) < 1 photon/day Still to do

Determine **linearity** of TES response

Good **intrinsic** background (no optical fiber attached) Still need to reduce **extrinsic** background (introduced by optical fiber from the outside of the cryostat)

High detection efficiency

Optimize and **determine** system efficiency



Backup Simulation of pulses



Backup False trigger simulations

- Rate of triggered noise pulses for different thresholds and sample sizes
- Without simulated pulses only noise contributions



False Triggers - Rate of noise pulses passing different trigger thresholds											
	Usual trigger thresholds for 1064nm pulses				Threshold/mV						
-17 -16 -15 -14 -13 -12 -11 -10 -9							-8				
Simulated time	# Samples	Rate 1/s (+/- statistical uncertainties)									
9 sec	45 000	0	0	0	0	0	0.33 (0.19)	4.2 (0.7)	36 (2)	241 (5)	/
8 min 20 sec	2.5e6	0	0	0	0	0.014 (0.005)	0.32 (0.03)	4.21 (0.09)	38.8 (0.3)	251.1 (0.7)	974 (1)

Backup Cut-based analysis 1064nm signals



Backup

True trigger simulations and analysis - example

- Before analysis: Simulated trigger acceptance for different low energies and trigger threshold
- Assumption: Pulse-shape does not change for lower energies \rightarrow only pulse height

True Triggers - Acceptance of triggered pulses - 5000 samples each									
trigger threshold / mV (+/- statistical uncertainties)									
Energy / eV	-17	-16	-15	-14	-13	-12	-11	-10	-9
0.583	16.8 (0.6)%	29.2 (0.8)%	44.9 (1.0) %	62.7 (1.1)%	77.1 (1.2)%	88.6 (1.3)%	95.3 (1.4)%	98.5 (1.4)%	100.0 (1.4)%

- Perform **cut based analysis** on pulses accepted by the trigger
- Analysis reduces acceptance based on pre-defined cuts (e.g. pulses with long decay times)
- Analysis initially optimized for 1.165 eV
- ightarrow Comparison with additional cuts on pulse-height for 0.583 eV

Trigger threshold	-11 mV	
non optimized	37.6 (1.2)%	Acceptance
optimized	54.9 (1.5)%	improvement

Backup Simulation – Analysis and Cuts 0.583 eV



Backup Dielectric function

Energy loss function of dielectric materials $\Im(-\epsilon_L^{-1}(\omega, k))$ depends on longitudinal dielectric permittivity Longitudinal dielectric permittivity responds to

- Charge density perturbations with frequency ω
- Momentum transfer q

After Lasenby, R., & Prabhu, A. (2022) electromagnetic sum rules and Kramers-Kronig relation lead to rules that must be satisfied by materials in which DM – electron scattering happens:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \Im(-\epsilon^{-1}(\omega, q)) \le \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - \epsilon^{-1}(0, q))$$

Which gives the maximum possible material response during scattering.

Leads to constraints on maximum average DM scattering rate in a material with DM-mediator coupling strength g_{χ} and mediator-EM coupling strength g_e leading to:

$$\bar{\Gamma} \lesssim g_{\chi}^2 g_e^2 m_{\chi} v_{\chi}$$

Materials saturating this rate will be good candidates for direct DM detection!

Backup Thin films and plasma frequency

For thin layers per area scattering rate dependency on response function $\Im(R)$ can be used instead of per volume scattering rate relying on $\Im(-\epsilon^{-1})$ moves material response to lower frequencies

Material response governed by **plasma frequency** ω_p , above which dielectric function of a conductor ($\epsilon \simeq -\omega_p^2/\omega^2$) is positive (e\m waves can penetrate material). Waves with frequencies below plasma frequency are reflected.

Thin layer response function for $k_z d$ values between 0.05 and 10. Black line corresponds to bulk material ($\omega = \omega_p$)

→ Larger response for small thicknesses even below plasma frequency



Contact

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY

www.desy.de

Christina Schwemmbauer PhD Student Notkestraße 85 22607 Hamburg Room: O2.511, Building 1e Tel: <u>+49 40 8998-2427</u> christina.schwemmbauer@desy.de