# Detector questions

Samuel Baxter, **Ben Brüers**, Eldar Ganiev, Neelam Kumari, Alberto Martini, Christian Wessel

DESY Zeuthen, 25.04.2023

HELMHOLTZ SPITZENFORSCHUNG FÜR GROSSE HERAUSFORDERUNGEN

DESY.

# 7. How can communication in a platform like the one for detector R&D work? What communication channels are most efficient?

- Communication channels brought up: workshop (1-2 days, >1 time per year), regular meeting

- Workshop with required contributions from all research groups
  → attendance of all relevant people, as all are presenting; exchange enhanced
  → organisation by youngsters? Improved visibility, and experts presenting.

- Regular meeting has the advantage of more frequent exchange, but the danger of only reaching those giving a talk

  ○ We also have too many meetings already

- Announcement of new results in FH newsletter → kind of already happening

- Mattermost channel or similar not regarded useful

# 8. Do you feel like you have a good balance between the analysis/R&D work and service/technical work? Do you feel that you get recognized for both aspects? Which work is more rewarding?

- There is some overlap of analysis/RnD and service work

  - e.g. developing a new tracking algorithm, performance studies, etc.

- Subjectively: there is no balance between the two, people alternate between the two or just do one of them

- Core problem: little recognition for service work and (related) self-discipline

  - More recognition would increase motivation towards service work

  - Also: you developing smth. that you never use yourself is not motivating

- Technical work is rewarding just within the collaboration, it makes you known

- Analysis work more rewarding for oneself and universally

# Thank you