

Vacua of gauged $N = 2$ supergravities arising as special subloci of the complex structure moduli space of String Compactifications

Sören Kotlewski

DESY Theory Workshop "New Perspectives in Conformal Field Theory and Gravity"

27.09.2023

JOHANNES GUTENBERG
UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ



Motivation

- $d = 4$, $N = 2$ Supergravity theories appear as low energy EFTs of Calabi-Yau Threefold compactifications in type II string theory
- The target space of the scalar fields can be identified with the Moduli space of the underlying family of Calabi-Yau Threefolds

- $d = 4$, $N = 2$ Supergravity theories appear as low energy EFTs of Calabi-Yau Threefold compactifications in type II string theory
- The target space of the scalar fields can be identified with the Moduli space of the underlying family of Calabi-Yau Threefolds
- Conifold Singularities are understood in the context of String theory
 - Appearance of additional states which become massless on the singular sublocus [Strominger, 1995]
 - Geometrical: Topology changing transition to a different Moduli space [Greene, Morrison, Strominger, 1995]

Motivation

- $d = 4$, $N = 2$ Supergravity theories appear as low energy EFTs of Calabi-Yau Threefold compactifications in type II string theory
 - The target space of the scalar fields can be identified with the Moduli space of the underlying family of Calabi-Yau Threefolds
 - Conifold Singularities are understood in the context of String theory
 - Appearance of additional states which become massless on the singular sublocus [Strominger, 1995]
 - Geometrical: Topology changing transition to a different Moduli space [Greene, Morrison, Strominger, 1995]
 - Locally in $N = 2$ Gauge Theories: Interpretation as a super-Higgs mechanism between vector- and hypermultiplets [Katz, Morrison, Plesser, 1996]
[Klemm, Mayr, 1996]
 - Here: Find a global description in terms of $N = 2$ Supergravity
- Consider Minkowski Vacua of $N = 2$ gauged Supergravity

Gauged $N = 2$ Supergravity

- Spectrum
 - Gravity Multiplet ($g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu A}, A_\mu$)
 - n_v Vektormultiplets ($A_\mu^i, \lambda^{iA}, t^i$)
 - n_h Hypermultiplets (ζ_α, q^u)

[Andrianopoli, Bertolini, Ceresole, D'Auria, Ferrara, Fré, Magri, 1996]
[Lauria, van Proeyen, 2020]

Gauged $N = 2$ Supergravity

- Spectrum
 - Gravity Multiplet $(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu A}, A_\mu)$
 - n_v Vectormultiplets $(A_\mu^i, \lambda^{iA}, t^i)$
 - n_h Hypermultiplets (ζ_α, q^u)
- The target space of the scalars is locally $\mathcal{M}_V \times \mathcal{M}_H$ with
 - $\mathcal{M}_V$ local special Kähler manifold parametrized by n_v complex scalars t^i
 - $\mathcal{M}_H$ quaternionic Kähler manifold parametrized by $4n_h$ real scalars q^u

[Andrianopoli, Bertolini, Ceresole, D'Auria, Ferrara, Fré, Magri, 1996]
[Lauria, van Proeyen, 2020]

Gauged $N = 2$ Supergravity

- Spectrum
 - Gravity Multiplet $(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu A}, A_\mu)$
 - n_v Vectormultiplets $(A_\mu^i, \lambda^{iA}, t^i)$
 - n_h Hypermultiplets (ζ_α, q^u)
- The target space of the scalars is locally $\mathcal{M}_V \times \mathcal{M}_H$ with
 - $\mathcal{M}_V$ local special Kähler manifold parametrized by n_v complex scalars t^i
 - $\mathcal{M}_H$ quaternionic Kähler manifold parametrized by $4n_h$ real scalars q^u
- Bosonic part of the Action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{sc} = & \int \frac{1}{2} R \star 1 + g_{i\bar{j}} \mathcal{D}t^i \wedge \star \mathcal{D}\bar{t}^{\bar{j}} + h_{uv} \mathcal{D}q^u \wedge \star \mathcal{D}q^v \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \text{Im}(\mathcal{N})_{ij} F^i \wedge \star F^j + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathcal{N})_{ij} F^i \wedge F^j - V \end{aligned}$$

- Gauge coupling via covariant derivatives

$$\mathcal{D}t^i = \partial t^i - \hat{k}_\lambda^i \hat{\Theta}_\Lambda^\lambda A^\Lambda \quad \mathcal{D}q^u = \partial q^u - k_\lambda^u \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda A^\Lambda$$

and scalar potential $V(t^i, q^u)$

- $\hat{k}_\lambda^i$ and k_λ^u are killing vectors on $\mathcal{M}_V$ and $\mathcal{M}_H$ respectively

[Andrianopoli, Bertolini, Ceresole, D'Auria, Ferrara, Fré, Magri, 1996]

[Lauria, van Proeyen, 2020]

The Scalar Potential

- Scalar Potential

$$V(t^i, q^u) = -6|S|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|W|^2 + |N|^2$$

- $N = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski Vacuum iff

$$|S|^2 = 0 \quad |W|^2 = 0 \quad |N|^2 = 0$$

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

The Scalar Potential

- Scalar Potential

$$V(t^i, q^u) = -6|S|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|W|^2 + |N|^2$$

- $N = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski Vacuum iff

$$|S|^2 = 0 \quad |W|^2 = 0 \quad |N|^2 = 0$$

- Resulting constraints for gauging isometries of $\mathcal{M}_H$

$$0 = X^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a$$

$$0 = g^{i\bar{j}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \bar{X}^\Lambda) \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a$$

$$0 = \bar{X}^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u$$

- X^Λ : $2(n_v + 1)$ -dimensional period vector of $\mathcal{M}_V$
- $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^a$: killing prepotential of the isometries k_λ^u on $\mathcal{M}_H$
- Θ_Λ^λ : embedding tensors representing the gauge charges

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

Minkowski vacua in $N = 2$ Supergravity

- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ is full ranked matrix and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ invertible
 - $0 = X^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a$
 - $0 = g^{i\bar{j}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \bar{X}^\Lambda) \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a$

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

Minkowski vacua in $N = 2$ Supergravity

- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ is full ranked matrix and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ invertible
 - $\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a = 0$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$
 - stabilizes $3n$ scalars on $\mathcal{M}_H$ for $n = rk(\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u)$

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

Minkowski vacua in $N = 2$ Supergravity

- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ is full ranked matrix and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ invertible
 - $\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a = 0$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$
 - stabilizes $3n$ scalars on $\mathcal{M}_H$ for $n = rk(\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u)$
- $\bar{X}^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u = 0$
 - n constraints between $\mathcal{M}_V$ and $\mathcal{M}_H$

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

Minkowski vacua in $N = 2$ Supergravity

- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ is full ranked matrix and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ invertible
 - $\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a = 0$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$
 - stabilizes $3n$ scalars on $\mathcal{M}_H$ for $n = rk(\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u)$
- $\bar{X}^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u = 0$
 - n constraints between $\mathcal{M}_V$ and $\mathcal{M}_H$
 - Non-trivial vev for k_λ^u creates holomorphic conditions among the X^Λ
 - Super-Higgs mechanism

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

Minkowski vacua in $N = 2$ Supergravity

- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ is full ranked matrix and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ invertible
 - $\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a = 0$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$
 - stabilizes $3n$ scalars on $\mathcal{M}_H$ for $n = rk(\Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u)$
- $\bar{X}^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u = 0$
 - n constraints between $\mathcal{M}_V$ and $\mathcal{M}_H$
 - Non-trivial vev for k_λ^u creates holomorphic conditions among the X^Λ
 - Super-Higgs mechanism
- Massive Modes:
 - $3n$ (real) hypermultiplet scalars
 - n (complex) vectormultiplet scalars
 - n gauge bosons eating n additional (real) hypermultiplet scalars
- These combine to n long massive vectormultiplets

[Louis, Smyth, Triendl, 2012]

Conifold Singularities

- Effective Supergravity description breaks down on conifold singularities
 - Topology change as a non-trivial 3-cycle shrinks to a point
 - Mass of a massive hypermultiplet vanishes
 - Needed to be taken into account in the first place

- Effective Supergravity description breaks down on conifold singularities
 - Topology change as a non-trivial 3-cycle shrinks to a point
 - Mass of a massive hypermultiplet vanishes
 - Needed to be taken into account in the first place
- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ are degenerated
 - Need to analyze original vacuum constraints

$$0 = X^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a \quad 0 = g^{i\bar{j}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \bar{X}^\Lambda) \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a \quad 0 = \bar{X}^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u$$

- Effective Supergravity description breaks down on conifold singularities
 - Topology change as a non-trivial 3-cycle shrinks to a point
 - Mass of a massive hypermultiplet vanishes
 - Needed to be taken into account in the first place
- $(X^\Lambda, \nabla_i X^\Lambda)$ and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ are degenerated
 - Need to analyze original vacuum constraints

$$0 = X^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a \quad 0 = g^{i\bar{j}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \bar{X}^\Lambda) \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda^a \quad 0 = \bar{X}^\Lambda \Theta_\Lambda^\lambda k_\lambda^u$$

- Model:
 - $\mathcal{M}_V$: Local special Kähler manifold with a conifold transition of codimension 1
 - $\mathcal{M}_H$: Universal hypermultiplet $(\phi, \sigma, C, \bar{C})$
 - Transition Locus reproduced as Minkowski vacuum by stabilizing C and $\bar{C}$

Relation to String Compactifications

- $\mathcal{M}_V$ corresponds to the complex structure Moduli space of type IIB Calabi-Yau compactifications
 - Local special Kähler structure is realized by the variation of Hodge structure

$$(H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}), H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

Relation to String Compactifications

- $\mathcal{M}_V$ corresponds to the complex structure Moduli space of type IIB Calabi-Yau compactifications
 - Local special Kähler structure is realized by the variation of Hodge structure

$$(H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}), H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

- $\mathcal{M}_H$ corresponds to the complexified Kähler moduli space
 - Can be modelled by a C-Map construction

Relation to String Compactifications

- $\mathcal{M}_V$ corresponds to the complex structure Moduli space of type IIB Calabi-Yau compactifications
 - Local special Kähler structure is realized by the variation of Hodge structure

$$(H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}), H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

- $\mathcal{M}_H$ corresponds to the complexified Kähler moduli space
 - Can be modelled by a C-Map construction
- Minkowski vacua of gauged $N = 2$ supergravity correspond to subspaces on $\mathcal{M}_V$ with consistent variation of Hodge substructure

Relation to String Compactifications

- $\mathcal{M}_V$ corresponds to the complex structure Moduli space of type IIB Calabi-Yau compactifications
 - Local special Kähler structure is realized by the variation of Hodge structure

$$(H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}), H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

- $\mathcal{M}_H$ corresponds to the complexified Kähler moduli space
 - Can be modelled by a C-Map construction
- Minkowski vacua of gauged $N = 2$ supergravity correspond to subspaces on $\mathcal{M}_V$ with consistent variation of Hodge substructure
- Spotable by
 - a factorization of the intermediate Jacobian
 - a reduction of the Picard-Fuchs ideal
 - a vanishing locus of a period and its dual

Summary and Outlook

- Transition Loci on Moduli Spaces of Calabi-Yau Manifolds correspond to Minkowski Vacua of the corresponding gauged $N = 2$ supergravity

Summary and Outlook

- Transition Loci on Moduli Spaces of Calabi-Yau Manifolds correspond to Minkowski Vacua of the corresponding gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
- Super-Higgs mechanism gives rise to a Coulomb- and a Higgs-Branch describing the transition

Summary and Outlook

- Transition Loci on Moduli Spaces of Calabi-Yau Manifolds correspond to Minkowski Vacua of the corresponding gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
- Super-Higgs mechanism gives rise to a Coulomb- and a Higgs-Branch describing the transition
- These Loci appear typically at conifold singularities $\Rightarrow$ break down of the effective supergravity description
 - Identification is still possible by relaxing certain supergravity constraints

Summary and Outlook

- Transition Loci on Moduli Spaces of Calabi-Yau Manifolds correspond to Minkowski Vacua of the corresponding gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
- Super-Higgs mechanism gives rise to a Coulomb- and a Higgs-Branch describing the transition
- These Loci appear typically at conifold singularities $\Rightarrow$ break down of the effective supergravity description
 - Identification is still possible by relaxing certain supergravity constraints
- Work in progress:

Summary and Outlook

- Transition Loci on Moduli Spaces of Calabi-Yau Manifolds correspond to Minkowski Vacua of the corresponding gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
- Super-Higgs mechanism gives rise to a Coulomb- and a Higgs-Branch describing the transition
- These Loci appear typically at conifold singularities $\Rightarrow$ break down of the effective supergravity description
 - Identification is still possible by relaxing certain supergravity constraints
- Work in progress:
 - Consistent description of transition loci as Minkowski Vacua in rigid gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
 - Model $\mathcal{M}_H$ via C-Map construction
 - Obtain non-trivial Coulomb- and Higgs-Branes on the vacuum locus

Summary and Outlook

- Transition Loci on Moduli Spaces of Calabi-Yau Manifolds correspond to Minkowski Vacua of the corresponding gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
 - Super-Higgs mechanism gives rise to a Coulomb- and a Higgs-Branch describing the transition
 - These Loci appear typically at conifold singularities $\Rightarrow$ break down of the effective supergravity description
 - Identification is still possible by relaxing certain supergravity constraints
 - Work in progress:
 - Consistent description of transition loci as Minkowski Vacua in rigid gauged $N = 2$ supergravity
 - Model $\mathcal{M}_H$ via C-Map construction
 - Obtain non-trivial Coulomb- and Higgs-Branes on the vacuum locus
 - Systematic search for transition subloci on two-dimensional local special Kähler manifolds
 - Use arithmetic techniques to spot factorizations of the Hodge structure
- [Candelas, de la Ossa, Elmi, van Straten, 2019]
[Candelas, de la Ossa, Kuusela, McGovern, 2023]