Quantum Chaos and Complexity in Triangular Billiard Systems

Rathindra Nath Das¹ with Vijay Balasubramanian, Johanna Erdmenger and Zhuo-Yu Xian 1) Institute for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics and Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

DESY Theory Workshop: 28/09/2023

ct.qmat **Complexity and Topology** in Quantum Matter



Julius-Maximilians-UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG



Motivation

* Role of topology in quantum chaos * Effects of symmetry in quantum chaos * Hierarchy among the chaotic billiards * Comments for generic billiard systems



* Quantum triangular billiards with both rational and irrational angles

* Triangles with angles $\{\frac{p_1}{q_1}\pi, \frac{p_2}{q_2}\pi, \frac{p_3}{q_3}\pi\}$

* Solve $\nabla^2 \psi_n = E_n \psi_n$ for an infinite triangular potential well subject to the boundary condition, $\psi_n(x_d) = 0$ where x_d marks the triangle's edges.



Set up

$$\pi \} \equiv \{ \frac{p_1}{q_1}, \frac{p_2}{q_2}, \frac{p_3}{q_3} \} \text{ and } \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} + \frac{p_3}{q_3} = 1.$$



Properties of the set-up

- * Phase space of a particle inside a triangular billiard with



* b) irrational angles \rightarrow non-compact real orientable infinite genus surfaces called Loch Ness monster! $\{(-1+\sqrt{5})/8, (5-\sqrt{5})/16, (13-\sqrt{5})/16\} \rightarrow$

P. Richens and M. Berry. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 2(3):495-512, 1981

* a) rational angles \rightarrow compact finite genus Riemann surface of genus, $g \geq 1$.

 $\{1/3, 1/3, 1/3\} \rightarrow \text{Genus 1}$

 $\{2/5, 2/5, 1/5\} \rightarrow \text{Genus } 2$

 $\{1/3, 1/4, 5/12\} \rightarrow \text{Genus } 3$

Valdez, F. Geom Dedicata 143, 143-154 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-009-9378-x



Integrability of the set-up

- * Zero classical Kolmogorov-Sinai (KS) entropy and zero classical Lyapunov coefficient
- * Classical integrable system : a) degrees of freedoms = constants of motion, b) nonsingular vector fields in phase space from the constants of motion, c) Phase flow is confined to surfaces of genus 1. Example: Genus 1 billiards
- * Classical pseudointegrable system : a) degrees of freedoms = constants of motion,
 b) Vector fields in phase space are singular, c) Phase flow is confined to surfaces of finite genus, g ≥ 2. Example: Genus 2 and higher genus billiards
- * Classical nonintegrable : a) Number of degrees of freedoms ≠ Number of constants of motion, b) Phase space is flow is non-compact. Example: Irrational angle billiards





- * Eigenspectrum statistics
- * Spectral complexity
- * Krylov complexity

Quantities of our interest



Results : eigenspectrum statistics

- * Integrable triangles \rightarrow Poisson statistics for their energy levels.
- * The non-integrable triangles \rightarrow Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE).
- * Deviations due to \rightarrow **a**) truncations within the Hilbert space, **b**) scarring and superscarring mechanisms.
- * The pseudointegrable triangles → intermediate behaviour with stronger deviations from the GOE compared to nonintegrable triangles.



Results : spectral complexity

- Spectral complexity (SC) for a N dimensional where E_p energy eigenvalues.
- * We find for a chaotic billiard with the Kern
- * SC cannot distinguish between different genera, but it can clearly distinguish between integrable, isosceles, and generic triangles.

al Hilbert space:
$$C_S(t) = \sum_{p \neq q}^{N} \left[\frac{\sin(t(E_p - E_q)/2)}{N(E_p - E_q)/2} \right]^2$$

Hugo A. Camargo, Viktor Jahnke, Hyun-Sik Jeong, Keun-Young Kim, and Mitsuhiro Nishida, arXiv:2306.11632 [hep-th]

tel of GOE
$$\rightarrow \frac{2}{3}\pi^2 N \log\left(\frac{t}{\pi N}\right)$$

* We find for an integrable billiard (uncorrelated spectrum) $\rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) 2\pi t$





- 8

Results : Krylov complexity

- * State Krylov Complexity (Spread Complexity):
- state Krylov basis?

*
$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(t=0)\rangle = \sum_{n} \frac{(-it)^n}{n!} H^n |\psi(t=0)\rangle$$

* Basis by orthonormalisation : Krylov basis (GNS construction)

• How much is the time evolved state $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(t=0)\rangle$ "spread" over

 $(|\psi(t=0)\rangle, H|\psi(t=0)\rangle, H^2|\psi(t=0)\rangle, \dots, H^n|\psi(t=0)\rangle \rightarrow |K_1\rangle, |K_2\rangle, \dots, |K_n\rangle$

Vijay Balasubramanian, Pawel Caputa, Javier M. Magan, and Qingyue Wu Phys. Rev. D 106, 046007



*
$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n} \psi_n(t) |K_n\rangle$$

* Krylov complexity (KC):
$$C_k = \sum_n n$$

* Chaotic system: initial growth \rightarrow peak \rightarrow slight decay \rightarrow saturation * Non-chaotic system: initial growth \rightarrow saturation

Results : Krylov complexity

 $\left\|\psi_n(t)\right\|^2$





Sum it up!



* Energy spacing : $s_i = E_{i+1} - E_i$

* Level spacing ratio (LSR): $\bar{r} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\min(s_i, s_{i-1})}{\max(s_i, s_{i-1})}$



Sum it up!

- * Integrable, Isosceles, and general triangular billiards can be separated.
- * Revival of complexity is only attainable for billiards corresponding to genus 1.
- * Subtle effects of the topology are observed in the hierarchy.
- * Symmetry resolving is essential for studying chaotic properties in billiard system.
- * A more sensitive measure of chaotic properties is imperative for advancing toward a quantum ergodic hierarchy.



For now we have the hierarchy from the **Vonut** to THE LOCH NESS MONSTER!













Sudhir Ranjan Jain and Rhine Samajdar Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 045005

Katok-Zemljakov construction

 $\{1/3, 1/3, 1/3\} \rightarrow \text{Genus 1}$:



Backup slide : Compactification



P. Richens and M. Berry. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 2(3):495-512, 1981





Valdez, F. Geom Dedicata 143, 143-154 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-009-9378-x

