Beam-based feedbacks for CW machines

A. Maalberg^{1,2} M. Kuntzsch¹ K. Zenker¹ E. Petlenkov²

¹Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf Department Radiation Source ELBE

²Tallinn University of Technology Department of Computer Systems

FELs of Europe Satellite Workshop, 2023



Member of the Helmholtz Association

Outline

1 Introduction

Context and scope

2 Beam-based feedbacks

- State of the art
- Continuous wave-enabled control design

3 Summary

Conclusions



Outline

1 Introduction

Context and scope

2 Beam-based feedbacks

- State of the art
- Continuous wave-enabled control design

3 Summary

Conclusions



ELBE - a versatile source of secondary radiation



- User facility with secondary radiation sources
- Operation in pulsed and continuous-wave mode
- Up to $40 \,\text{MeV}$ of beam energy and $1.6 \,\text{mA}$ of avg. beam current



Beam-based feedback upgrade of TELBE



- Digital LLRF enabled beam-based feedback
- Bunch arrival time monitor has a resolution of 4 fs rms at 200 pC of bunch charge



Improving temporal stability for TELBE



Time-resolved user experiments are sensitive to timing fluctuations

Stability of bunch arrival time becomes crucial



Bunch compressor as a plant



 Besides compression, the technology can delay or advance an electron bunch w.r.t. a target position

Use RF field amplitude to change bunch arrival time



Outline

1 Introduction

Context and scope

2 Beam-based feedbacks

- State of the art
- Continuous wave-enabled control design





Conclusions



Bunch compressor with a beam-based feedback



Cascaded loops are introduced into the control system, where

- RF is the inner loop
- Beam-based feedback is the outer loop



TESLA cavity



- Band-pass filter with a rather narrow half-bandwidth of 100 Hz
- \blacksquare Closing the loop with LLRF controller extends the closed-loop bandwidth as $100\,\text{Hz}\to35\,\text{kHz}$
- LLRF introduces a gain margin



State-of-the-art beam-based regulator



Machine measurement of beam response to RF field changes

- RF field amplitude setpoint A = 7.27 MV changed by steps of 50 kV
- Inverse of plant G_{BC} becomes a beam-based regulator



Performance of a state-of-the-art regulator





Member of the Helmholtz Association

When gain is further increased ...





Member of the Helmholtz Association

Takeaways from state-of-the-art control

- State-of-the-art regulator has no bandwidth definition
- Beam-based feedback becomes coupled with RF loop dynamics
- Regulator has to rely on inner loop parameters, e.g. gain margin
- Noise suppression of 62 fs rms \rightarrow 22 fs rms



Outline

1 Introduction

Context and scope

2 Beam-based feedbacks

- State of the art
- Continuous wave-enabled control design



Conclusions



Bunch compressor with beam-based feedback



Cascaded loops are introduced into the control system, where

- RF is the inner loop
- Beam-based feedback is the outer loop



Bunch arrival time signal





Member of the Helmholtz Association

Disturbance model



Model matches the frequency content up to 1.5 kHz

• \mathcal{H}_2 norm of the model corresponds to the size of rms fluctuations

Performance of a CW-enabled regulator





Member of the Helmholtz Association

Takeaways from CW-enabled control design

- CW allows to create high-resolution frequency spectra from data
- Modeling of dynamical disturbance becomes feasible
- CW-enabled regulator becomes decoupled from RF loop dynamics
- High-frequency range is left intact by the new regulator
- Noise suppression of 62 fs rms \rightarrow 19 fs rms



Outline

1 Introduction

Context and scope

2 Beam-based feedbacks

- State of the art
- Continuous wave-enabled control design





Limitations of established technologies

- Beam-based feedback can become coupled with RF dynamics
 CW allows dynamical modeling of noise
- Superconducting RF cavity is a slow actuator
 - Normal conducting cavity can be used for fine tuning of noise
- BAM has a resolution of 4 fs rms
 - Remains an open question??



More on the topic of CW-enabled control design

Maalberg, A.; Kuntzsch, M.; Zenker, K.; Petlenkov, E. Regulation of electron bunch arrival time for a continuous-wave linac: Exploring the application of the \mathcal{H}_2 mixed-sensitivity problem. *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **26**, 072801 (2023), doi: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.26.072801



Thank you for your attention!



Backup slides



Member of the Helmholtz Association Andrei Maalberg | Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf | http://www.hzdr.de

Performance in time domain ...





Member of the Helmholtz Association

State-of-the-art vs. CW-enabled regulation





Member of the Helmholtz Association

Closed-loop LLRF control system



Closed-loop bandwidth is 35 kHz

Suppression of the parasitic $\frac{8}{9}\pi$ mode is observed at 750 kHz



Modulation of I and Q with amplitude A





Member of the Helmholtz Association

Bunch arrival time monitor (BAM)



- Bunch arrival time is measured relative to an actively-stabilized timing reference
- BAM has a resolution of 4 fs rms



\mathcal{H}_2 mixed-sensitivity problem



Synthesize K that makes output τ less sensitive to disturbance d



Integration with MicroTCA digital platform



