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Numerical evaluations of 2-loop kites and 3-loop tadpoles with several elliptic obstructions lead to remarkable empirical evaluations in terms of polylogarithms, for which proofs are very hard to find, notwithstanding intensive use of packages such as HyperInt and MZIteratedIntegral. I describe the efficient methods by which puzzlingly simple results were obtained and hopes for demystifying them.
Martin Luther: Alles was in der Welt erreicht wurde, wurde aus Hoffnung getan.

1. Fast numerical algorithms for kites and tadpoles
2. Surprising empirical reductions to polylogarithms
3. Efforts at demystification, with Yajun Zhou

In memoriam, Gabriel Barton (25 February 1934 to 11 October 2022) and Donald Hill Perkins (15 October 1925 to 30 October 2022), trusted guides and mentors.

Consider the generic 2-loop scalar kite with 5 internal masses:


Since 1962 it was known to have elliptic obstructions from 3-particle cuts. In the 2-loop electron propagator, with two massless lines, the spectral function contains the integral of an elliptic integral, tackled by Sabry. At this conference, Christoph Nega gave a talk on the 3 -loop propagator.
If we insert a magnetic moment operator and go to threshold, the result is a trilogarithm, calculated (incorrectly) by Karplus and Kroll, with later correction by Petermann and by Sommerfield. See The Unpublished Feynman Diagram IIc, arXiv:2010.10345 [physics.hist-ph] by Consa, for historical details.

Now close the kite with a sixth propagator $1 /\left(q^{2}-m_{6}^{2}\right)$ to obtain a tadpole

tadpole

same tadpole

same tadpole
with the symmetry group $S_{4}$ of the tetrahedron giving 12 elliptic obstructions. The tadpole has a logarithmic divergence that we regulate in $D=4-2 \varepsilon$ dimensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1,2,3}^{5,4,6}=\left(\frac{1}{3 \varepsilon}+1\right) 6 \zeta_{3}+3 \zeta_{4}-F_{1,2,3}^{5,4,6}+O(\epsilon) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a finite part $F$ that depends on the six ratios $m_{k} / \mu$, where $\mu$ is the scale of dimensional regularization. The rescaling $m_{k} \rightarrow \lambda m_{k}$ gives $F \rightarrow F+12 \zeta_{3} \log (\lambda)$. Without loss of generality, choose $m_{6}$ to be the largest mass and set $\mu=m_{6}=1$.

With $\mu=m_{6}=1$, Schwinger parametrization gives the 5 -dimensional integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1,2,3}^{5,4,6}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x_{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x_{5} \frac{1}{U^{2}} \log \left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{5} x_{k} m_{k}^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

after setting $x_{6}=1$ in the Symanzik polynomial of the tetrahedron

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & x_{3}\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{4} x_{5}\right)+x_{6}\left(x_{1} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}\right)+x_{3} x_{6}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4}+x_{5}\right) \\
& +x_{2} x_{4}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)+x_{1} x_{5}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{6}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

I was able to reduce this to a single integral of a dilogarithm against the derivative of the discontinuity $I(s+\mathrm{i} \epsilon)-I(s-\mathrm{i} \epsilon)=2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sigma(s)$ of a kite integral:

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{1,2,3}^{5,4,6}=-\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \sigma^{\prime}(s) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-s),  \tag{4}\\
I\left(q^{2}\right)=-\frac{q^{2}}{\pi^{4}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} l \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} k \prod_{j=1}^{5} \frac{1}{p_{j}^{2}-m_{j}^{2}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon}=\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \sigma^{\prime}(s) \log \left(1-\frac{q^{2}}{s}\right),  \tag{5}\\
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}\right)=(l, l-q, l-k, k, k-q), \\
s_{0}=\min \left(s_{1,2}, s_{4,5}, s_{2,3,4}, s_{1,3,5}\right), \quad s_{j, k}=\left(m_{j}+m_{k}\right)^{2}, \quad s_{i, j, k}=\left(m_{i}+m_{j}+m_{k}\right)^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The non-elliptic contribution from 2-particle intermediate states has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}(s)=\Theta\left(s-s_{1,2}\right) \sigma_{1,2}^{\prime}(s)+\Theta\left(s-s_{4,5}\right) \sigma_{4,5}^{\prime}(s) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the square root of the symmetric Källén function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(a, b, c)=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}-2(a b+b c+c a)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with abbreviations $\Delta_{j, k}(s)=\Delta\left(s, m_{j}^{2}, m_{k}^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta_{i, j, k}=\Delta_{j, k}\left(m_{i}^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j, k}(s)=\frac{r}{s-\left(m_{j}-m_{k}\right)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right), \quad r=\left(\frac{s-\left(m_{j}-m_{k}\right)^{2}}{s-\left(m_{j}+m_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

provides the logarithms in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1,2}(s) \sigma_{1,2}^{\prime}(s)=\Re\left((s+\alpha) D_{4,5}(s)+L_{4,5}+\sum_{i=0,+,-} C_{i} \frac{D_{4,5}(s)-D_{4,5}\left(s_{i}\right)}{s-s_{i}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{0}=-\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)\left(m_{4}^{2}-m_{5}^{2}\right), \quad C_{ \pm}=\alpha s_{ \pm}+\beta, \quad L_{4,5}=\log \left(\frac{m_{4} m_{5}}{m_{3}^{2}}\right) \\
\alpha=\frac{\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{4}^{2}\right)\left(m_{2}^{2}-m_{5}^{2}\right)}{m_{3}^{2}}-m_{3}^{2}, \quad \beta=\frac{\left(m_{1}^{2} m_{5}^{2}-m_{2}^{2} m_{4}^{2}\right)\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}-m_{4}^{2}+m_{5}^{2}\right)}{m_{3}^{2}}, \\
s_{0}=0, \quad s_{ \pm}=\frac{m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}-2 m_{3}^{2}+m_{4}^{2}+m_{5}^{2}-\alpha}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta_{1,3,4} \Delta_{2,3,5}}{2 m_{3}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $s_{ \pm}$locate leading Landau singularities of triangles that form the kite. Elliptic contribution: This comes from 3-particle intermediate states, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}(s)=\Theta\left(s-s_{2,3,4}\right) \sigma_{2,3,4}^{\prime}(s)+\Theta\left(s-s_{1,3,5}\right) \sigma_{1,3,5}^{\prime}(s) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It contains complete elliptic integrals of the third kind of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n, k)=\frac{\Pi(n, k)}{\Pi(0, k)}, \quad \Pi(n, k)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\left(1-n \sin ^{2} \theta\right) \sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Pi(0, k)=(\pi / 2) / \operatorname{AGM}\left(1, \sqrt{1-k^{2}}\right)$ given by an arithmetic-geometric mean.

With $s=w^{2}$, an integration over the phase space of particles 2,3 and 4 determines

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}=1-\frac{16 m_{2} m_{3} m_{4} w}{W}, \quad W=\left(w_{+}^{2}-m_{+}^{2}\right)\left(w_{-}^{2}-m_{-}^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{ \pm}=w \pm m_{2}$ and $m_{ \pm}=m_{3} \pm m_{4}$. Then I obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2,3,4}^{\prime}\left(w^{2}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m_{3} m_{4}}{\operatorname{AGM}\left(\sqrt{16 m_{2} m_{3} m_{4} w}, \sqrt{W}\right)} \Re\left(\sum_{i=+,-} E_{i} \frac{P\left(n_{i}, k\right)-P\left(n_{1}, k\right)}{t_{i}-t_{1}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficients and arguments given, as compactly as possible, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{ \pm}=\frac{m_{2}^{2}-m_{3}^{2}+m_{5}^{2}}{2 m_{5}^{2}} \pm\left(\frac{m_{4}^{2}-m_{5}^{2}-w^{2}}{2 m_{5}^{2}}\right) \frac{\Delta_{2,3,5}}{\Delta_{4,5}\left(w^{2}\right)}, \\
t_{ \pm}=\frac{\gamma \pm \Delta_{2,3,5} \Delta_{4,5}\left(w^{2}\right)}{2 m_{5}^{2}}, \quad t_{1}=m_{1}^{2}, \quad n_{i}=\frac{\left(w_{-}^{2}-m_{+}^{2}\right)\left(t_{i}-m_{-}^{2}\right)}{\left(w_{-}^{2}-m_{-}^{2}\right)\left(t_{i}-m_{+}^{2}\right)}, \\
\gamma=\left(m_{2}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}+m_{4}^{2}-m_{5}^{2}+w^{2}\right) m_{5}^{2}+\left(m_{2}^{2}-m_{3}^{2}\right)\left(m_{4}^{2}-w^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

An AGM procedure speedily evaluates $P(n, k)=\Pi(n, k) / \Pi(0, k)$ to high precision.

1. Initialize $[a, b, p, q]=\left[1, \sqrt{1-k^{2}}, \sqrt{1-n}, n /(2-2 n)\right]$. Then set $f=1+q$.
2. Set $m=a b$ and then $r=p^{2}+m$. Replace $[a, b, p, q]$ by a vector of new values as follows: $[(a+b) / 2, \sqrt{m}, r /(2 p),(r-2 m) q /(2 r)]$. Add $q$ to $f$.
3. If $|q / f|$ is sufficiently small, return $P(n, k)=f$, else go to step 2 .

On the cut with $n \geq 1$, the principal value is $\Re P(n, k)=1-P\left(k^{2} / n, k\right)$.
Criterion for any anomalous contribution: Suppose that $s_{4,5} \geq s_{1,2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}(s)=\sigma_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}(s)+\sigma_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}(s)+C_{\mathrm{A}} \frac{\Theta\left(s-s_{4,5}\right)}{\Delta_{4,5}(s)} \Re\left(\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \Delta_{4,5}\left(s_{-}\right)}{s-s_{-}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{\mathrm{A}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left(m_{3}^{2}+m_{1} m_{2}\right)<m_{1} m_{5}^{2}+m_{2} m_{4}^{2}$ and at least one of $\Delta_{1,3,4}$ and $\Delta_{2,3,5}$ is imaginary, in which case $C_{\mathrm{A}}= \pm 1$ is the sign of $\Im \Delta_{4,5}\left(s_{-}\right)$.
This value of $C_{\mathrm{A}} \in\{0,1,-1\}$ is determined by the high-energy behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \sigma^{\prime}(s)=2 L_{3}+\sum_{k=1,2,4,5}\left(L_{k}+m_{k}^{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{\log (s)}{s}\right), \quad L_{k}=m_{k}^{2} \log \left(s / m_{k}^{2}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. Elliptic terms do not depend on the order of phase-space integrations.
2. The derivative of the discontinuity of a kite satisfies the sum rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \sigma^{\prime}(s) \log \left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)=6 \zeta_{3} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. High-energy behaviour of $\sigma^{\prime}(s)$ holds irrespective of anomalous thresholds.
4. Benchmarks for kites given by Stefan Bauberger and Manfred Böhm, to 6 decimal digits, and by Stephen Martin, to 8 decimal digits, are confirmed and then extended to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ digits in less than a second.
5. The same tadpole is obtained by integrating over $\mathbf{6}$ distinct kites.
6. The binary tadpoles with $m_{k} \in\{0,1\}$ agree with my previous reductions to poloylogs of sixths roots of unity.

Surprising reductions to polylogs: When all 6 masses are non-zero, there is no non-elliptic route. Yet in 3 cases, I found empirical reductions to polylogs.

binary

perfect

imperfect

A binary surprise: Dressings of the tetrahedron with zero or unit masses give rational linear combinations of 4 constants: $\zeta_{4}=\pi^{4} / 90, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{2}(\pi / 3), U_{3,1}$ and $V_{3,1}$, with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\pi / 3)=\Im \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\lambda), \lambda=(1+\sqrt{-3}) / 2$, and reducible double sums

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{3,1}=\sum_{m>n>0} \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{m^{3} n}=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{4}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2} \log ^{2}(2)-\frac{1}{12} \log ^{4}(2)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),  \tag{17}\\
V_{3,1}=\sum_{m>n} \frac{(-1)^{m} \cos (2 \pi n / 3)}{m^{3} n}=-\frac{145}{432} \zeta_{4}+\frac{1}{8} \zeta_{2} \log ^{2}(3)-\frac{1}{96} \log ^{4}(3) \\
\quad+\frac{1}{32} \mathrm{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)-\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{2}(\pi / 3) . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

With 5 unit masses, there was a non-elliptic route to my result

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{5}=\frac{550}{27} \zeta_{4}+16 V_{3,1}-\frac{8}{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{2}(\pi / 3) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which Yajun Zhou and I have now proved, using HyperInt from Erik Panzer. More surprising is my very simple empirical result for the totally massive case

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{6} \stackrel{?}{=} 16 \zeta_{4}+8 U_{3,1}+4 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{2}(\pi / 3) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The closest we recently got to a proof involves a double integral of products of logs, for which HyperInt gives 1300 multiple polylogarithms of 12 th roots of unity. We use powerful software from Kam Cheong Au to handle 12th roots, yet still fall far short of proving (20).
A perfect surprise: I investigated a perfect tetrahedron with $\Delta_{i, j, k}=0$ at all 4 vertices, eliminating all square roots. Here I also found an empirical reduction to classical polylogs, with help from Steven Charlton. Promoting subscripts and superscripts to masses values, I conjecture that, with $L=\log (2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)} \stackrel{?}{=} B=6\left(2 \zeta_{4}-3 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)+8\left(2 \zeta_{3}-3 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right) L-12 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) L^{2}-4 L^{4} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to an evaluation in classical polylogs of the integral of a trilog against complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
K(k)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta, \quad E(k)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta,  \tag{22}\\
Z(y)=\frac{y(1+y) K(k)+E(k)}{\left(1+y+y^{2}\right) \sqrt{1+y}}, \quad k^{2}=1-y^{3},  \tag{23}\\
T(y)=\operatorname{Li}_{3}(u)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(u) \log (u), \quad u=\frac{y}{(1+y)^{2}},  \tag{24}\\
4 \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} y\left(\frac{1}{y}-1\right) T(y) Z(y) \stackrel{?}{=} B+16 \zeta_{4}+32 U_{3,1}-30 \zeta_{3} \log (2) . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

A third surprise: In an imperfect case, I found empirically that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{(1,1,1)} \stackrel{?}{=} 10 \zeta_{4}-4 U_{3,1}+10 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{2}(\pi / 3)+3 \zeta_{3} \log (2)-\frac{1}{2} B \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

also has a remarkable reduction to classical polylogs.

Combining the perfect and imperfect cases, I arrive at the conjecture

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} w}{w}\left(\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{w^{2}}\right)-\zeta_{2}\right) Y(w) \stackrel{?}{=} \zeta_{4}-4 U_{3,1}+7 \zeta_{3} \log (2)  \tag{27}\\
Y(w)=\frac{\Pi(0, k)-\Pi(n, k)-6 \Pi(\widehat{n}, k)}{(w-1) \sqrt{w^{2}+2 w}}  \tag{28}\\
k^{2}=1-\frac{4}{(w-1)^{2}(w+2)}, \quad n=1-\frac{1}{(w-1)^{2}}, \quad \widehat{n}=1-\frac{2}{w(w-1)} . \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

with an integral of a dilogarithm against complete elliptic integrals of the third kind reduced to classical polylogs in a spectacularly simple result.
Comment: I was guided by Feynman's skepticism, imagining him to say:
ignore fancy reasons for this integral being impossible; just try to guess the answer.

Can 3-loop tadpoles be reduced to polylogarithms?
Conservative answer: some can, some cannot.
Bold (or foolish?) suggestion: every 3-loop tadpole with rational masses reduces to multiple polylogs in an alphabet with algebraic letters.
Contra hyp: With 6 distinct non-zero masses there are 12 elliptic obstructions.
Desmond Tutu: Hope is being able to see that there is light despite all of the darkness. Grounds for hope and chinks of light:

1. Three seemingly impossible cases reduce empirically to polylogs.
2. The Schwinger parametrization does not look too frightening.
3. A double integral of a product of logs with complicated arguments is achievable. The obstructing quartics might be rationalized by a pair of Euler substitutions.
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