Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS with focus on run 3 2022 vdM scan session

DESY Zeuthen Particle Physics Mini-Retreat June 12, 2023 Cédrine Hügli, Klaus Mönig, Oliver Majersky







How often does a process happen?

What is the cross section of the process?



Cédrine Hügli

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS

Why is a precise luminosity calibration important?



more precise luminosity \Rightarrow more precise physics measurement \Rightarrow possible new discoveries







How is luminosity measured in ATLAS?

Detectors measure a quantity proportional to luminosity, e.g. the number of hits in a bunch-crossing ATLAS' preferred luminosity detector: LUCID

LUminosity Cherenkov Integrating Detector (LUCID):

- 16 photomultipliers (PMTs) on each side
- particle detection based on Cherenkov radiation and readout by PMTs
- if signal is above threshold \Rightarrow we have a hit!
- 16 PMTs x2 can be combined in multiple ways \Rightarrow different luminosity algorithms







Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS





Luminosity

for inelastic pp interaction

$$L = \frac{dN}{dt} \frac{1}{\sigma} \implies L = \frac{\mu}{\sigma} f_r \implies L = \frac{\mu_{inel}}{\sigma_{ine}}$$
for one bunch crossing: $\frac{dN}{dt} = \mu f_r$

Luminosity can also be expressed by beam parameters:



Cédrine Hügli

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS



$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\int \mu_{vis}(\Delta x) d(\Delta x)}{\mu_{vis}(\Delta x = 0)}$$
 where Δx is the beam-beam separation

width of the luminous region measured through van der Meer analysis !!!

June 12, 2023



4

What is a van der Meer scan?



A van der Meer (vdM) scan is a transverse scan of the beams through each other performed in special runs



Interaction rates are measured at different beam-beam separations

Rate ~ counting hits in the detector

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS





From van der Meer scan to an absolute calibration of luminosity

Rates and beam-beam separations need to be corrected for several effects before extracting a precise σ_{vis}

vdM methodology assumes that the beams are factorizable in x and y plane

plot rate vs separation

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$
make a fit

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$
extract Σ_x , Σ_y and μ_{vis}^{max}

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$

$$L = \frac{n_1 n_2 f_r}{2\pi \Sigma_x \Sigma_y}$$
 and μ_{vis}^{max}

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$

$$\sigma_{vis} = \frac{2\pi \Sigma_x \Sigma_y \mu_{vis}^{max}}{n_1 n_2 f_r}$$

Cédrine Hügli





Beam-beam separation in x

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS





van der Meer scan calibration Run 3 2022



Cédrine Hügli

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS

June 12, 2023





7

Rates and beam-beam separations need to be corrected for several effects before extracting a precise σ_{vis}

vdM methodology assumes that the beams are factorizable in x and y plane

Cédrine Hügli

Corrections to apply:

- Non-factorisation correction
- Length Scale Calibration correction
- Beam-Beam corrections
- Ghost and satellite charge corrections
- Background subtraction
- Orbit Drift Correction
- Emittance growth correction
- Bunch current offset







In these plots one can see the radiation damping effect in both planes for 2022 The non-factorisation effects are significantly bigger in 2022 than in 2018

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS

The van der Meer scan formalism assumes that the beams are factorizable in x and y plane In reality this is not exactly the case





Non-factorisation correction and uncertainty

Dominant corrections to the van der Meer analysis

non-factorization correction	1-2%
length scale calibration	1%
beam-beam corrections	1%

Dominant systematic uncertainties to the van der Meer analysis

Subtotal vdM calibration	1.5%
Other contributions (ind. <0.4%)	0.7%
Differences between algorithms	0.4%
Bunch-by-bunch consistency	0.5%
Non-factorization effects	1.1%

Cédrine Hügli

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS

Big non-factorisation correction in this vdM scan session: $\sim 1-2\%$

Other dominant corrections are length scale calibration and beam-beam corrections ($\sim 1\%$)



Non-factorisation is also the dominant systematic uncertainty!





How to get to the luminosity used for the physics analyses?

low pileup, no crossing angle and isolated bunches **vdM calibration:** special vdM conditions



Subtotal vdM calibration

Calibration Transfer

Long-term stability

Total uncertainty

Cédrine Hügli

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS

calibration transfer

1	1.5%	
	1.5%	←
	0.4%	
	2.2%	62







Why is it important?





van der Meer scan session Run 3 2022



Cédrine Hügli

Beam-beam separation in x

Main challenge: non-factorization effects



Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS











Thank you for listening!

Cédrine Hügli

Absolute luminosity calibration through van der Meer scans in ATLAS

