A fitting formula for the effects of massive neutrinos in the nonlinear regime

Daniel Francisco Boriero Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", UNICAMP, 13083-859 Campinas, Brasil





In collaboration with Yvonne Wong, RWTH Aachen, Germany

Content

Motivation

Matter Power Spectrum

Halofit

N-Body Data

Halofit Correction

Outlook

Motivation

- Theoretical tool to
 - measure neutrino mass, if not possible then
 - put better constraints on neutrino mass, if not possible then
 - make constraints on neutrino mass more reliable, if not possible then
 - decrease the degeneracy among other cosmological parameters and the neutrino mass, therefore increasing the theoretical precision.
- The nonlinear effects need to be fully described because
 - the prediction accuracy is required to get below 1% to account for the scales and the precision that future surveys will measure galaxy populations,
- A fitting formula is useful because
 - although N-Body simulations already traced the effects of massive neutrinos, its time and CPU consuming make it impracticable to work as theoretical counterpart everytime a cosmological model is tested.

Matter Power Spectrum definition: two point correlation of all matter density perturbation

$$(2\pi)^{3} P(k) \delta^{3}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \equiv \left\langle \tilde{\delta}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{\delta}^{*}(\mathbf{k}') \right\rangle , \qquad (1)$$

which is usually calculated with first order perturbation theory.

Neutrino mass has a linear relation with the neutrino density which is a hot component of dark matter

$$\Omega_{\rm v}h^2 = \frac{\Sigma m_{\rm v}}{93.8eV} , \qquad (2)$$

HDM manifests itself as a suppression of power on small scales



Halofit¹ was created to fit the galaxy clustering by gravitational colapse



$$\Delta_{H}^{2}(k) = \underbrace{G[k, \Omega_{M}; a, b, c, f1, f2, f3, \gamma, \mu, \nu]}_{\text{Halo term}}, \quad \Omega_{M} \equiv \Omega_{CDM} + \Omega_{b} + \Omega_{\nu}, \quad (6)$$

- Halofit uses series expansion over the effective index and spectral curvature of the linear spectrum. There are 33 free parameters.
- Calibrated over N-Body simulations without massive neutrinos.
- ▶ The best fit is found in Smith¹ and it is still the one working in CAMB².

¹R. E. Smith et. al., Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 341, 1311 (2003).

²A. Lewis, A. Challinor, and A. Lasenby, Astrophys. J. 538, 473 (2000).

- N-Body simulations tracked the effect of thermal neutrino motion³
- Simulations based upon GADGET-2⁴

$$\frac{\Delta P(k)}{P(k)}|_{\text{Halofit}} \sim -10.3 \frac{\Omega_{\text{V}}}{\Omega_M} , \quad \frac{\Delta P(k)}{P(k)}|_{\text{N-Body}} \sim -9.8 \frac{\Omega_{\text{V}}}{\Omega_M} , \quad (7)$$



³J. Brandbyge, S. Hannestad, T. Haugble, and B. Thomsen, JCAP 08, 020 (2008).

⁴V. Springel, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 364, 1105 (2005).

A Halofit correction is required because the conventional Halofit doesn't account properly for massive neutrinos

$$\underbrace{P(k, z, m_{v})}_{\text{Corrected Halofit Spectrum}} \equiv \underbrace{P(k, z)}_{\text{Original Halofit Spectrum}} \times \underbrace{r(k, z, m_{v})}_{\text{Our fitting formula calibrated over N-Body simulation}},$$
(8)
Calibration procedure
$$r(k, z, m_{v}) = Fit \{ \underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{N-Body}(k, z, m_{v})}{\text{N-Body}(k, z, 0)}\right)}_{\text{Suppression calculated by N-Body}} \div \underbrace{\left(\frac{P(k, z)}{P_{m_{v}=0}(k, z)}\right)}_{\text{Suppression obtained by original Halofit}} \},$$

$$r(k, z, m_{v}) \times \left(\frac{P(k, z)}{P_{m_{v}=0}(k, z)}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Mimic N-Body suppression as good as possible.}$$

The calibration was made using N-Body simulations provided by S. Hannestad⁵.

► $(m_v: 0 \rightarrow 1.2 \text{eV})$, (V:256³, 1024³, 4096³), (z: 0 \rightarrow 49), (k:0.0077 \rightarrow 3.9/hMpc).

⁵J. Brandbyge, S. Hannestad, T. Haugblle, and B. Thomsen, JCAP 08, 020 (2008).



Deviation of our fitting formula from N-Body data _{2m,=0.15}



► The fitting formula

$$r(k,z,m_{\rm v}) = 1 + p_1 m_{\rm v} k \left[p_2 k^{p_3} (1 + Tanh[1-z]) + p_4 Exp \left(-\frac{(z-p_5 k^{p_6})^2}{p_7} \right) \right] ,$$

(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4,p_5,p_6,p_7) $\rightarrow (0.139, 0.054, 0.648, 0.727, 2.039, 0.561, 5) ,$ (10)

 Deviation of our fitting formula from N-Body data



The corrected Halofit tracks the N-Body data better than the original



Simeon Bird, Matteo Viel and Martin G. Haehnelt,arXiv:1109.4416v1[astro-ph.CO]



Figure 6. The effect of massive neutrinos on the matter power spectrum for a variety of neutrino masses. Solid lines show the ratio between simulations with and without massive neutrinos, for both $512 \, Mpch^{-1}$ (red) and $150 \, Mpch^{-1}$ (orange) boxes. The blue dashed line shows the estimated ratio using our improved fitting formula, while the black dashed line shows the prediction from linear theory.

Outlook

The next steps will be

- 1. test the conventional and the corrected Halofit against the own N-Body data.
- 2. test the corrected Halofit against the real large scale structure data.
- 3. evaluate the sensitivity to detect the neutrino mass in cosmological surveys in the future.