

How do we want to structure the data ingestion process

Linus Pithan, FS-EC



HELMHOLTZ

Where are we?



- We go a deployable SciCat version based on the new backend with HelmholtzID (AAI) integration ... thanks to Noel!
- We "rebooted" the discussion process around SciCat at DESY
- We saw the onboarding of new colleagues that helped to bring new energy in long-lasting discussions
 ... first and foremost Regina
- We multiplied the number of test instances
- Migration of the ingestion process at P08 to the new Backend ... thanks to Jan Kotanski
- We started new threads that are to be followed in 2024 (e.g. minting of DOIs, SciCat instance with one dataset per proposal) ... thanks to Stefan Dietrich for first steps



Some challenges and issues for 2024:

(this is a non-exhaustive list)

- · Agree on a schema for the 'scientific metadata'
- Define how we plan to structure the ingestion process
- See if there are local adaptation e.g. in the access and permission module (casl) are necessary



What are the features we want in the (dataset) ingestion process at DESY?

We saw the complexity of the ingestion process at other facilities ...

SciZoo



- SciCat Scientific Catalog
- SciDog Scientific (meta)Data organizer GUI
- SciFish Scientific Form Implementation Service Helper
- SciToad (Scanlog) Scientific Tool of Attesting (meta)Data
- SciFly Scientific File Lystener
- SciCow Scientific Consumer of Wisdom 🛶

@Maxlab, thanks to Daphne van Dijken



@ESRF, thanks to Andy Goetz

... conclusions to be drawn on Friday...

MAXIV

We saw that we have to acknowledge that

- there are different stake holders in the context of SciCat and different needs to cater for (visiting users, beamline scientists, DAPHNE4NFDI, DESY as facility)
- different levels of standardization in different sections of the meta data stored in SciCat are needed (controlled vocabulary where feasible but not everywhere)
- to cover automated and manual dataset ingestion

... lets keep the schema discussion for another day...

We saw that we need to talk about a policy for data catalogue in order to build the right tools

Exemplary questions in this context are:

- Who can ingest something into the catalogue (the beamline / the user)?
- Do we allow post-experiment editing of metadata?

... now this is the SciCat **technical** meeting, therefore focus on **what** and **how** we want to implement to make sure we find the suitable framework that suits most use cases

Ingredients for the data ingestion pipeline at DESY

Schema management

E.g. a Gitlab-Repo with simple configuration (e.g. yaml based) and accompanying python module to interact with it to

- generate documentation
- build standardized schemas (e.g. json-schema or NeXus)
- offer validator

Automated metadata capture at the beamline.

- This includes
 - meta data harvesting
 - generation or collection of thumbnails
 - ensuring persistency and beamlime operation if SciCat is down
- Prototype implemented on PO8 by Jan Kotanski

User interface for manual ingestion

Web UI

- to define sub-schema for user-meta-data
- insert user-meta-data
- See currently "active" dataset

Policy decisions to be taken:

 Who <u>"owns</u>" the dataset in SciCat and who carrupdate it (for how long)

<u>المعالمة المعالمة الم</u>

 How to deal with the confluence of automated metadata collection and manual editing of metadata
Do we need an "atomic", partial
update of scientific Metadata in

> Illustrations: <u>10.5281/zenodo.3332807</u> *The Turing Way* project, Scriberia

Service that connects automated and manual metadata ingestion

- Schema validation
- Broadcasting of "active" dataset
- Access to beamtime on GPFS to keep the user-schema

Sardana integration

- Starting and terminating datasets
- Grouping of several scans into one dataset