

# A2; Update from FIAS:

# DL-simulations of heavy-ion collisions

Manjunath Omana Kuttan, Kai Zhou, Jan Steinheimer, Horst Stoecker

### Studying strongly interacting matter



- Heavy-ion collisions (HIC) probe the QCD phase diagram
- creates matter with extreme temperatures and/or densities

# Tracing the phase boundaries of QCD matter and identifying the nature of the transitions are the fundamental goals for HIC experiments

Manjunath Omana Kuttan

KISS annual meeting, Hamburg

### QCD at high baryon density

#### **Model simulations**

- microscopic models eg: UrQMD
- hydrodynamics
- hybrid models



10.06 fm/c

e.g. collective flow, fluctuations data 0.020.00 E895 E877 E895 S' −0.02 F895 STAR -0.04-0.06HADES -0.083.5 2.53.0 4.04.5 $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  [GeV]

**Observables** 

## Experiments e.g. CBM @ FAIR e.g. CBM @ FAIR sischer State for events s for events s



#### Fast model simulations are necessary to fully exploit future experiments

#### Generating collisions with DL



#### What's necessary:

- event by event generation
- generate large multiplicity ~1000
- capture correlations

#### An event in point cloud representation

ID <sub>1</sub>	p <sub>z1</sub>	p <sub>y1</sub>	p <sub>X1</sub>		
$ID_2$	p <sub>z2</sub>	p <sub>y2</sub>	p <sub>x2</sub>		
$ID_3$	p <sub>z3</sub>	p <sub>y3</sub>	p <sub>X3</sub>		
ID <sub>4</sub>	p <sub>z4</sub>	p <sub>y4</sub>	p <sub>X4</sub>		
-					
•					
ID <sub>1100</sub>	р <sub>z1100</sub>	р <sub>у1100</sub>	р <sub>х1100</sub>		

#### Autoregressive point cloud generation

- We fix the event multiplicity to be 1100
   7 particle species
- A particle: o PID, p<sub>x</sub>, p<sub>y</sub>, p<sub>z</sub>



- UrQMD cascade Au- Au , E<sub>lab</sub>= 10 AGeV, b= 1 fm
- Train: 4000 events, Test: 6400 events



#### Generation $p(ID_{200}|S_{\leq 199})$ Step: 200 1.0F \$ 1.5 1.0 Probability density 0.4 0.7 0.7 0.5 $p_y$ 0.0 =-0.5 -1.0 -1.5 -1-1.5-1.0-0.50.01.5 1.0 0.0 0.5 empty N Σ [I] $\pi$ K $\eta$ $\Lambda$ 0.0 0.5 -0.5 -1.0 P× 1.0 Particle ID $p_z$ -1.5 1.5 $\mathsf{p} \; (p_{y_{200}} | S_{\leq 199}, ID_{200}, p_{z_{200}})$ p $(p_{x_{200}}|S_{\leq 199}, ID_{200}, p_{z_{200}}, p_{y_{200}})$ $p(p_{z_{200}}|S_{\le 199}, ID_{200})$ Probability density 0.004 0.005 0.000

-2

0

 $p_z$  [GeV]

2

-4

0

 $p_y$  [GeV]

2

-2

2

0

 $p_x$  [GeV]

-2

-4







#### Performance: Momentum distributions



Manjunath Omana Kuttan

KISS annual meeting, Hamburg

#### Performance: Momentum distributions

Well captured for most species **Deviations at tails!** UrQMD Doesn't learn  $\Xi$  distributions! ParticleGrow  $\eta: p_x$  $\eta: p_y$  $\eta: p_z$ 1.0 µPG:-0.0 GeV µPG:-0.0 GeV µPG:-0.0 GeV  $\mu_{Ur}$ :-0.0 GeV µUr:-0.0 GeV  $\mu_{Ur}:0.0 \text{ GeV}$ σPG:0.36 GeV  $\sigma_{PG}:0.32 \text{ GeV}$ σ<sub>PG</sub>:0.61 GeV 0.5 σ<sub>Ur</sub>:0.38 GeV  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.38 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.64 \text{ GeV}$ 0.0  $\Lambda: p_y$  $\Lambda: p_x$  $\Lambda: p_z$ 0.75 μ<sub>PG</sub>:-0.0 GeV μ<sub>PG</sub>:0.0 GeV µPG:-0.02 GeV μ<sub>Ur</sub>:-0.0 GeV μ<sub>Ur</sub>:0.0 GeV μ<sub>Ur</sub>:-0.0 GeV 0.50 σ<sub>PG</sub>:0.77 GeV σPG:0.45 GeV  $\sigma_{PG}:0.46 \text{ GeV}$ Probability density  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.55 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.55 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.93 \text{ GeV}$ 0.25 0.00  $\Sigma: p_x$  $\Sigma: p_v$  $\Sigma: p_z$ 0.8 µPG:-0.04 GeV µPG:0.01 GeV µPG:-0.13 GeV 0.6 μ<sub>Ur</sub>:0.0 GeV µ<sub>Ur</sub>:-0.0 GeV μ<sub>Ur</sub>:-0.0 GeV 0.4 σ<sub>PG</sub>:0.57 GeV σ<sub>PG</sub>:0.53 GeV σ<sub>PG</sub>:1.09 GeV  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.59 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.59$  GeV our:0.99 GeV 0.2 0.0  $\overline{\Xi}: p_y$  $\Xi: p_z$  $\Xi: p_x$ 2 µPG:-0.66 GeV  $\mu_{PG}:0.0 \text{ GeV}$ µPG:-0.2 GeV µUr:0.01 GeV µUr:-0.0 GeV µUr:-0.01 GeV  $\sigma_{PG}$ :1.3 GeV σ<sub>PG</sub>:0.42 GeV σ<sub>PG</sub>:1.72 GeV  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.56 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.56 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{Ur}:0.92 \text{ GeV}$ -2-22 2 0 -2 Momentum [GeV]

### Particle Multiplicity



- everything except *Ξ* agrees well to ground truth
- learns certain correlations of abundant particles
- Also creates several non existent correlations  $\circ$   $\Sigma$  -  $\varXi$

### $p_{T}$ distributions: mid rapidity



• Deviates at tails but reproduces the mean  $p_T$  well for most particles

#### Outlook

- Learns the mean and variance of the distributions well
  - Averaged observables are well reproduced
- certain correlations are well captured
  - Also learns also fictitious correlations for rare particles
    - only 4000 training events!

- Focus on improving the model
  - Increase training dataset
  - physics based loss?
- Towards a foundation model:
  - centrality, collision system, beam energy, physics
- train on hydro/ hybrid model data
- train for detector response simulation







### $p_{T}$ distributions: Forward and backward rapidity



#### Multiplicity distributions



- Event multiplicity matches UrQMD data well
- The means of individual distributions are close to ground truth
- However, the variance and higher moments deviate from true values

#### The Phase Diagram





#### Autoregressive point cloud generation

Sun, Yongbin et al. 2020 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV) (2018): 61-70.

#### PointGrow: Autoregressively Learned Point Cloud Generation with Self-Attention

$$p(\mathbf{S}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\mathbf{s}_i | \mathbf{s}_1, ..., \mathbf{s}_{i-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\mathbf{s}_i | \mathbf{s}_{\le i-1})$$

Yongbin Sun<sup>1</sup>, Yue Wang<sup>1</sup>, Ziwei Liu<sup>2</sup>, Joshua E Siegel<sup>3</sup> & Sanjay E Sarma<sup>1</sup>

1. Massachusetts Institute of Technology 2. The Chinese University of Hong Kong 3. Michigan State University



Self-Attention Context Awareness-A (SACA-A) Operation Self-Attention Context Awareness-B (SACA-B) Operation

**Context Awareness Operation** 

### Generating "UrQMD like events"

ityp	nucleon	ityp	delta	ityp	lambda	ityp	sigma	ityp	xi	ityp	omega
1	$N_{938}$	17	$\Delta_{1232}$	27	$\Lambda_{1116}$	40	$\Sigma_{1192}$	49	$\Xi_{1317}$	55	$\Omega_{1672}$
2	$N_{1440}$	18	$\Delta_{1600}$	28	$\Lambda_{1405}$	41	$\Sigma_{1385}$	50	$\Xi_{1530}$		
3	$N_{1520}$	19	$\Delta_{1620}$	29	$\Lambda_{1520}$	42	$\Sigma_{1660}$	51	$\Xi_{1690}$		
4	$N_{1535}$	20	$\Delta_{1700}$	30	$\Lambda_{1600}$	43	$\Sigma_{1670}$	52	$\Xi_{1820}$		
5	$N_{1650}$	21	$\Delta_{1900}$	31	$\Lambda_{1670}$	44	$\Sigma_{1775}$	53	$\Xi_{1950}$		
6	$N_{1675}$	22	$\Delta_{1905}$	32	$\Lambda_{1690}$	45	$\Sigma_{1790}$	54	$\Xi_{2025}$		
7	$N_{1680}$	23	$\Delta_{1910}$	33	$\Lambda_{1800}$	46	$\Sigma_{1915}$				
8	$N_{1700}$	24	$\Delta_{1920}$	34	$\Lambda_{1810}$	47	$\Sigma_{1940}$				
9	$N_{1710}$	25	$\Delta_{1930}$	35	$\Lambda_{1820}$	48	$\Sigma_{2030}$				
10	$N_{1720}$	26	$\Delta_{1950}$	36	$\Lambda_{1830}$						
11	$N_{1900}$			37	$\Lambda_{1890}$						
12	$N_{1990}$			38	$\Lambda_{2100}$						
13	$N_{2080}$			39	$\Lambda_{2110}$						
14	$N_{2190}$										
15	$N_{2200}$										
16	$N_{2250}$										

Table 1: Baryon-itypes used in UrQMD. Antibaryons carry a negative sign.

ityp	$0^{-+}$	ityp	1	ityp	$0^{++}$	ityp	1++	ityp	charmed
101	$\pi$	104	ρ	111	$a_0$	114	$a_1$	133	D
106	K	108	$K^*$	110	$K_0^*$	113	$K_1^*$	134	$D^*$
102	$\eta$	103	ω	105	$f_0$	115	$f_1$	135	$J/\Psi$
107	$\eta'$	109	$\phi$	112	$f_0^*$	116	$f'_1$	136	$\chi_c$
ityp	$1^{+-}$	ityp	$2^{++}$	ityp	$(1^{})^*$	ityp	(1)**	137	$\Psi'$
122	$b_1$	118	$a_2$	126	$\rho_{1450}$	130	$ ho_{1700}$	138	$D_s$
121	$K_1$	117	$K_2^*$	125	$K_{1410}^{*}$	129	$K_{1680}^{*}$	139	$D_s^*$
123	$h_1$	119	$f_2$	127	$\omega_{1420}$	131	$\omega_{1662}$		
124	$h'_1$	120	$f'_2$	128	$\phi_{1680}$	132	$\phi_{1900}$		

Table 2: Meson-itypes in **UrQMD**, sorted with respect to spin and parity, included into the **UrQM** model. Mesons with strangeness -1 (or charm -1 for itypes > 132) carry a negative sign. See Table

Parti	cleG	wo
N	Σ	Ξ
π	K	η

- Events with less particles are filled with zeros
  - empty/ dummy particle
- Loss: cross entropy
  - 100 bins for momentum distributions
  - 8 bins for PID

### Rapidity distributions



• Agrees well to the data except for  $\Xi$  !