





# Proton structure from DIS and and impact of the LHC data

Katerina Lipka, DESY

Annual meeting "Physics at the terascale" 2011





# Motivation: precision of the proton structure

Cross sections of processes in proton-(anti)proton collisions



Proton Structure described via probability for a parton *i* to carry the fraction *x* of proton momentum (Parton Distribution Functions)  $f_i(x,\mu^2)$ 

Factorization:  $PDF \otimes$  hard sub-process ME

$$\sigma(s) = \sum_{i,j} \int_{\tau_0}^1 \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \cdot \frac{dL_{ij}(\mu_F^2)}{d\tau} \cdot \hat{s} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}$$

calculable in pQCD

$$\tau \cdot \frac{dL_{ij}}{d\tau} \propto \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 (x_1 f_i(x_1, \mu_F^2) \cdot x_2 f_j(x_2, \mu_F^2)) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)\delta(\tau - x_1 x_2)$$

Precision of PDFs essential

1

# **Proton PDFs and structure functions in DIS**

PDFs extracted from structure function measurements in Deep Inelastic Scattering

electron-proton DIS



 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma, Z \; \text{Exchange: Neutral Current} \; ep \rightarrow e \; X \\ \frac{d^2 \sigma^{e^{\pm} P}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{xQ^4} \big[ Y_+ F_2 \mp Y_- xF_3 - y^2 F_L \big] \\ LO: \; F_2 \propto \sum_i (q_i(x) + \bar{q}_i(x)) \; \text{ dominant contribution} \\ LO: \; F_3 \propto \sum_i (q_i(x) - \bar{q}_i(x)) \; \; \gamma/Z \; \text{interference} \\ NLO: \; F_L \propto x \cdot \alpha_S \cdot g(x, Q^2) \; \; \text{contribution from gluon} \end{array}$ 

 $Q^2 = -q^2$ boson virtuality $x = -q^2/2p \cdot q$ Bjorken scaling variable $s = (k+p)^2$ center of mass energyytransferred energy fraction $Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1-y)^2$ 

# **Proton PDFs and structure functions in DIS**

PDFs extracted from structure function measurements in Deep Inelastic Scattering

electron-proton DIS



 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma, Z \; \text{Exchange: Neutral Current } ep \rightarrow e \; X \\ \\ \frac{d^2 \sigma^{e^{\pm} P}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{xQ^4} [Y_+ F_2 \mp Y_- xF_3 - y^2 F_L] \\ \\ LO: \; F_2 \propto \sum_i (q_i(x) + \bar{q}_i(x)) \; \text{ dominant contribution} \\ \\ LO: \; F_3 \propto \sum_i (q_i(x) - \bar{q}_i(x)) \; \; \gamma/Z \; \text{interference} \\ \\ NLO: \; F_L \propto x \cdot \alpha_S \cdot g(x, Q^2) \; \text{ contribution from gluon} \end{array}$ 

 $W^{\pm}$  Exchange: Charged Current  $ep \rightarrow v X$ 

$$Q^2 = -q^2$$
 boson virtuality  
 $x = -q^2/2p \cdot q$  Bjorken scaling variable  
 $s = (k+p)^2$  center of mass energy  
 $y$  transferred energy fraction  
 $Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1-y)^2$ 

$$\sigma_{CC}^{e^+p} \propto x\{(\bar{u}+\bar{c})+(1-y)^2(d+s)\}$$
  
$$\sigma_{CC}^{e^-p} \propto x\{(u+c)+(1-y)^2(\bar{d}+\bar{s})\}$$

sensitive to individual quark flavours

### **Determination of parton density functions**

Structure function factorization: for the exchange of Boson  $V(\gamma, Z, W^{\pm})$ 

$$F_2^V(x,Q^2) = \sum_{i=q,\bar{q},g} \int_x^1 dz \times C_2^{V,i}(\frac{x}{z},Q^2,\mu_F,\mu_R,\alpha_S) \times f_i(z,\mu_F,\mu_R)$$

from measured cross sections

calculable in pQCD

**PDF** 

*x*-dependence of PDFs is not calculable in perturbative QCD:

- > parameterize at a starting scale  $Q_0^2 : f(x) = Ax^B(1-x)^C(1+Dx+Ex^2)$
- $\triangleright$  evolve these PDFs using DGLAP equations to  $Q^2 > Q^2_0$
- construct structure functions from PDFs and coefficient functions: predictions for every data point in (x,  $Q^2$ ) – plane
- $\succ \chi^2$  fit to the experimental data

### **Experiments sensitive to PDFs**



PDFs obtained from data of fixed target, HERA, Tevatron

**HERA** measurements:

covers most of the  $(x, Q^2)$  plane, best constraints at low, medium x

backbone of all available PDFs

### **Deep inelastic scattering at HERA**

#### World-only *ep* collider



- HERA I : 1992-2000
- HERA II: 2003-2007
- collider experiments

H1 & ZEUS, $\sqrt{s_{max}}$ = 318 GeV

integrated Luminosity

~0.5 fb-1/ experiment

HERA switched off June 2007, analyses ongoing on the way to final precision H1 and ZEUS combine experimental data accounting for systematic correlations HERA performs the QCD analysis of (semi) inclusive DIS data (HERAPDF) H1 and ZEUS collaborations provide/support the PDF Fitting Tool (HERAFitter)

### **Neutral and charged current DIS at HERA**

 $\gamma$ , Z: Neutral Current  $ep \rightarrow e X$ 

Isolated energetic scattered e<sup>±</sup>



 $W^{\pm}$ : Charged Current  $ep \rightarrow v X$ 

Large missing energy due to  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ 



# **Recent results on HERA DIS Cross Sections**



H1 and ZEUS measurements are combined accounting for correlations Impressive precision up to high  $Q^2$  and high xQCD analysis of combined HERA NC and CC data: **HERAPDF** 

### **HERA parton density functions**

HERAPDF1.5: most precise DIS data, recommended PDF (HERA/PDF4LHC)



 $m_c=1.4 \text{ GeV}; m_b=4.75 \text{ GeV}; f_s(Q_0^2)=0.31$ 

DGLAP fit at NLO Heavy quarks: massive Variable Flavour Number Scheme Scales:  $\mu_r = \mu_f = Q^2$ Experimentally very precise Parameterization at starting scale:  $xg(x) = A_{\sigma}x^{B_{g}}(1-x)^{C_{g}}$  $xu_{v}(x) = A_{u} x^{B_{u_{v}}} (1-x)^{C_{u_{v}}} (1+E_{u} x^{2})$  $xd_{v}(x) = A_{d} x^{B_{d_{v}}} (1-x)^{C_{d_{v}}}$  $x\overline{U}(x) = A_{\overline{i}} x^{B_{\overline{i}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{i}}}$  $x\overline{D}(x) = A_{\overline{D}}x^{B_{\overline{D}}}(1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}}$ 

# **HERAPDF1.5 NNLO**

HERAPDF1.5NNLO is based on HERAI + II inclusive DIS data

uses more flexible parametrisation then NLO



HERAPDF1.5NNLO: eigenvectors available in LHAPDF allows for many studies of parametrization and model parameters

 $\alpha_{\rm M}({\rm M}^2)$ 



#### **Dominant uncertainty on HERAPDF : parameterisation, model**

Differences between the PDF groups:

- data used in the fit and estimation of uncertainties
- choice of  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  and running of strong coupling
- different treatment of heavy quarks

### **Data in PDF fits**

#### **DIS:**

- *ep* (HERA) data: quarks and gluon at small x ( $F_L$ ), jets (moderate x), flavour separation (in CC), heavy quark structure functions

- fixed target data: higher x
- **neutrino DIS**: flavor decomposition, *x*>0.01

#### **Drell-Yan (***γ*,*Ζ*->*l*<sup>+</sup>*l*<sup>-</sup>):

quark-antiquark annihilation ; high-x sea quarks, deuterium tagret: u/d asymmetry

High **p**<sub>T</sub> - jets (at colliders) : high-x gluon

W/Z production: different quark contributions

# **PDF group landscape**

	MSTW08	CTEQ6.6/CT10	NNPDF2.0/2.1	HERAPDF1.0/1.5	ABKM09/ABM11	GJR08/JR09
PDF Order	LO	LO	LO			
	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO
	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO
HERA DIS	✔ (old)	✔ (old/new)	✔ new	✔ (new/newest)	✔ (old/new)	✔ (old/new)
Fixed target DIS	~	V	<b>v</b>		<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
Fixed target DY	~	~	<b>v</b>		<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
Tevatron W, Z	r	~	<b>v</b>			
Tevatron jets	~	~	<b>v</b>		<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
HF Scheme	RT GMVFNS	S-ACOT GMVFNS	FONLL GMVFNS	RT GMVFNS	BMSN	FFNS
				other implemented		
$\alpha$ S(Mz) NLO	0.120	0.118	0.119	0.1176	0.1179	0.1145
$\alpha$ S(Mz) NNLO	0.1171	0.118	0.1174	0.1176	0.1147	0.1124

# **HERAPDFs and HERAPDF approach**

**HERAPDF** sets are based **only** on combined H1 and ZEUS data proper correlations of the systematic uncertainties: use  $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$  criterion for proper statistical uncertainties; no need for nuclear corrections

#### HERAPDF tools allow for usage of different Heavy Flavour schemes

- close collaboration with theory groups MSTW, CTEQ, ABKM
- test and tune different pheomenological approaches

#### PDF fit is performed in line with the analysis of experimental data

- most precise inclusive DIS cross sections in the broad kinematic range
- semi-inclusive data provide further constraints
- test assumptions on the PDF parametrization and model parameters

#### examples:

- choice of  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  and running of strong coupling
- different treatment of heavy quarks

# PDF fits using HERA jet data: fixed $\alpha_{s}$



Inclusive DIS data: combined HERAI+HERAII

Jet data:

H1 high Q<sup>2</sup> , *EPJ* C**65** (2010) low Q<sup>2</sup>, *EPJ* C**67** (2010)

ZEUS incl. jets *PLB547* (2002) incl.+2jets *NP B765* (2007)

#### **PDF Fit:**

- flexible parametrisation

-  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  fixed

Inclusion of jet data into the PDF fit using fixed  $\alpha_s$  does not have large impact

### **PDF fits with free** $\alpha_s$ (Mz)



### **PDF fits with free** $\alpha_s$ (Mz)



Inclusion of jet data into the PDF fit decouples the gluon and  $\alpha s$  (Mz)

# $\alpha_s$ (Mz) from PDF fits including HERA jet data



PDF and  $\alpha_s$  (Mz) determined in the common fit:  $\alpha_s$  (Mz)= 0.1202 ± 0.0013<sub>exp</sub> ± 0.0007<sub>model/param</sub> ± 0.0012<sub>had</sub>+0.0045<sub>scale</sub>

From including the Jet data in the PDF fit: determine gluon and  $\alpha_s$  (M<sub>z</sub>)

### Charm data in the PDF fit

Charm production probes gluon directly. Do charm data influence the gluon?



Heavy quark treatment in PDFs is quite some issue

different schemes exist, (treatment of mass terms in perturbative calculation) assume different  $m_c$ 



PDFs and quality of PDF fit using charm data is sensitive to the value of  $m_c$ 

### Charm data in the PDF fit

Study the sensitivity of the PDF fit to the value of  $m_c$ 

rightarrow pin down the value of  $m_c$  for different heavy quark schemes



Different HQ schemes prefer different optimal  $m_c$  (\*) parameter of a specific HQ scheme in PDF fits Work in progress: using AB(K)M heavy quark scheme  $\rightarrow$  measurement of  $m_c(m_c)$ 

From including the charm data in the PDF fit decouple the g(x) and  $m_c$  in the fit

# Value of $m_c$ in PDF important for W/Z at LHC

Dominant error on predictions for W and Z cross sections due to  $m_c$  in PDF different heavy flavour schemes use their preferred assumptions:



20

# **PDFs from HERA to Tevatron and LHC**



PDFs:

intrinsic property of nucleon i.e process independent

From HERA to kinematics of Tevatron, LHC: evolution in  $Q^2$  via DGLAP

### **HERAPDF** and measurements at Tevatron



У

## **HERAPDF** and measurements at the LHC



HERAPDF describes well the results; LHC data will put further PDF constraints

# **Tool for PDF fits: HERAFitter**

Developed/supported by HERA experts, follows HERAPDF approach:

- ✓ experimentalists perform QCD analysis of the PDF-sensitive data and tune/test phenomenology
- $\checkmark$  very close collaboration with theory groups
- ✓ implies possibility to use different available heavy flavour schemes

Open source code, available on HEPForge:<u>http://projects.hepforge.org/herafitter/</u>

HE	RAFitter 🗘	Col hosted by CEDAR HepForge
Ì		HERAFitter
	<ul> <li>Home</li> <li>Subversion</li> <li>Tracker</li> </ul>	HERAFitter is a set of PDF fitting tools jointly developed by the H1 and ZEUS collaborations for determination of the parton density functions. The HERAFitter codes were used to obtain the HERAPDF sets.
	• Wiki	The current distribution contains a BETA-version of the first code released within the HERAFitter package, the H1FITTER program.

#### $\beta$ -release includes

DIS and some semi-inclusive data + examples how to include new data different statistical methods of uncertainty treatment, etc..

#### Already in use in ATLAS and CMS

# **Tool for PDF fits: HERAFitter**

CMS jets included in the PDF fit (arXiv:1106.0208) no correlations published yet



Many results are in the approval procedure, correlations has to be studied. Expect soon more constraints from Drell-Yan, Jets, top pair production...

### Summary/Outlook

> Understanding of the LHC data demands precise PDFs

HER. Die data provide nignest precision at low and mediant A

 $\blacktriangleright$  Heavy quarks and  $\alpha_s$ : quite some issue in QCD analyses

HERA charm and jet data provide constraints in PDF fits

- > More to learn from the LHC: W&Z, top pair, jet production..
- Ready to fit LHC data: HERAFitter, more than just a tool..

**G. Watt (MSTW):** PDF4LHC 28.11.11

- Do we really need 6 (!) independent PDF groups updating their fits with new LHC data (with still unaccounted discrepancies)?
- Old paradigm: wait for MRST(W)/CTEQ to incorporate new data into their (clunky and private) ~30-year-old fitting codes.
- New paradigm (?): open-source PDF fitting tools (e.g. HERAFitter) with combined experiment/theory expertise, allowing controlled studies of different approaches.



# **PDF group landscape**

	MSTW08	CTEQ6.6/CT10	NNPDF2.0/2.1	HERAPDF1.0/1.5	ABKM09/ABM11	GJR08/JR09
PDF Order	LO	LO	LO			
	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO
	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO	NNLO
HERA DIS	✔ (old)	✔ (old/new)	🗸 new	✔ (new/newest)	✔ (old/new)	✔ (old/new)
Fixed target DIS	~	V	~		<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
Fixed target DY	~	V	~		<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
Tevatron W,Z	~	V	<b>v</b>			
Tevatron jets	~	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		<b>v</b>	~
HF Scheme	RT GMVFNS	S-ACOT GMVFNS	FONLL GMVFNS	RT GMVFNS	BMSN	FFNS
				other implemented		
$\alpha$ S(Mz) NLO	0.120	0.118	0.119	0.1176	0.1179	0.1145
$\alpha$ S(Mz) NNLO	0.1171	0.118	0.1174	0.1176	0.1147	0.1124

### **Combination Procedure**

Minimized value:

$$\chi^{2}(\vec{m},\vec{b}) = \sum_{i} \frac{\left(m^{i} - \sum_{j} \gamma_{j}^{i} m^{i} b_{j} - \mu^{i}\right)}{\left(\delta_{i,stat} \mu^{i}\right)^{2} + \left(\delta_{i,unc} m^{i}\right)^{2}} + \sum_{j} b_{j}^{2}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}^i$  measured value at point i

 $\delta_i$  statistical, uncorrelated systematic error

 $\gamma_i^j$  – correlated systematic error

 $b_i$  – shift of correlated systematic error sources

 $m^i$  – true value (corresponds to min  $\chi^2$ )

Measurements performed sometimes in slightly different range of  $(x, Q^2)$  swimming to the common  $(x, Q^2)$  grid via NLO QCD in massive scheme

# **HERAPDF: Fit Improvements**

#### HERAPDF1.5f: 14-parameter fit gluon more flexible at low-x



Small difference in total uncertainty

→ swap between parametrisation and experimental uncertainties

### HERAPDF1.5f:

$$\begin{aligned} xg(x) &= A_g x^{B_g} \cdot (1-x)^{C_g} - A'_g x^{B'_g} (1-x)^{C'_g} \\ xu_v(x) &= A_{u_v} x^{B_{u_v}} \cdot (1-x)^{C_{u_v}} \cdot (1+D_{u_v} x + E_{u_v} x^2) \\ xd_v(x) &= A_{d_v} x^{B_{d_v}} \cdot (1-x)^{C_{d_v}} \\ x\bar{U}(x) &= A_{\bar{U}} x^{B_{\bar{U}}} \cdot (1-x)^{C_{\bar{U}}} \\ x\bar{D}(x) &= A_{\bar{D}} x^{B_{\bar{D}}} \cdot (1-x)^{C_{\bar{D}}} \end{aligned}$$

 $A_g, A_{u_v}, A_{d_v}$  are constrained by the sum rules.  $B_{\bar{U}} = B_{\bar{D}}$   $C'_g = 25, A_{\bar{U}} = A_{\bar{D}}(1 - f_s)$ 

HERAPDF1.5 (10 parameter fit)  $A'_{g} = B'_{g} = 0, B_{d_{v}} = B_{u_{v}}$   $D_{u_{v}} = 0$ 

# HERAPDF1.7: DIS+ low energy+jets+charm



Including the jet and the charm data: decouple the gluon from  $\alpha_{\text{S}}$  and  $m_{c}$ 

10<sup>-4</sup>

10<sup>-3</sup>

**10<sup>-2</sup>** 

**10**<sup>-1</sup>

June 2011

**HERAPDF** Structure Function Working Group

 $\mathbf{x}^{1}$ 

# **PDF Group Landscape**

#### **MSTW**

– 28 parameters, 20 eigenvectors; inflated  $\Delta \chi^2$  to 5-20 for eigenvectors (data incomparability)

#### CTEQ

– 26 eigenvectors; inflated  $\Delta \chi^2$  to ~40 for eigenvectors

#### **NNPDFs**

– minimises  $\chi^2$  and expand about the best fit

#### HERAPDF

- 10 eigenvectors (now more flexibility added to PDFs);  $\Delta\chi^2 = 1$ , model and parametrisation uncertainties

#### AB(K)M

– 21 parameters,  $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$ 

#### GJR

– 20 parameters, use  $\Delta \chi^2$  to ~20, strong constrains on input form of PDFs

### W Asymmetry data in the fits

Early LHC data are described fairly well

→ if these data are fit, the PDFs lie within the HERAPDF1.5 error band

> ATLAS and CMS pull u valence quark in opposite directions



### **Heavy Quarks and PDF Fits**

Factorization: 
$$F_2^V(x, Q^2) = \sum_{i=1, \bar{q}, g} \int_x^1 dz \times C_2^{V,i}(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2, \mu_F, \mu_R, \alpha_S) \times f_i(z, \mu_F, \mu_R)$$

i - number of active flavours in the proton: defines the factorization (HQ) scheme

• *i* fixed : Fixed Flavour Number Scheme (FFNS)

only light flavours in the proton: i = 3 (4)

*c- (b-)* quarks massive, produced in boson-gluon fusion

 $Q^2 \gg m_{HQ}^2$ : can be less precise, NLO coefficients contain terms ~  $ln(\frac{Q}{m_{HQ}})$ 

- *i* variable: Variable Flavour Number Scheme (VFNS)
- Zero Mass VFNS: all flavours massless. Breaks down at  $Q^2 \sim m_{HO}^2$
- Generalized Mass VFNS: different implementations provided by PDF groups smooth matching with FFNS for  $Q^2 \rightarrow m_{HQ}^2$  must be assured

QCD analysis of the proton structure: treatment of heavy quarks essential

# Heavy Quark Mass Definition in PDFs

Usually HQ coefficient functions use a pole mass definition

BUT: pole mass defined for free quarks Corrections due to loop integrals receive large contributions ~  $O(\Lambda_{QCD})$ 

> large higher order corrections bad convergence of perturbative series

Another way of defining quark mass: via renormalization



q

running coupling

running mass

# Heavy Quark Mass Meaning/Value in PDFs

Massive HQ coefficient functions are calculated at NLO using pole mass Smith. et al NPB 395,162 (1993)

#### Used by the global fit groups: MSTW, CTEQ, ABKM, GJR, HERAPDF

ZMVFNS:  $m_{HQ}$  defines a threshold at which HQ appears as an active flavour GMVFNS:  $m_{HQ}$  is also used as a parameter at which FFNS turns into VFNS

PDF group	$m_c$	$m_b$ H	Q scheme
MSTW	1.4	/ 4.75	GMVFNS
CTEQ	1.3	/ 4.5	GMVFNS
JR	1.3	/ 4.2	FFNS
ABKM	1.5	/ 4.5	FFNS
HERAPDF	1.4 <sup>-0.05</sup> +0.25	/ 4.75	GMVFNS

PDG values: 1.6

1.66±0.18 / 4.79

PDF fits assume pole mass definition for heavy quarks Values of  $m_c$  as used by most PDF groups too low wrt. PDG

### **Heavy Quark Production at HERA**

Heavy quarks in ep scattering produced in boson-gluon fusion



 $\mathbf{M}$  HQ contributions to the proton structure function  $F_2$ : (e.g. charm)

$$\sigma^{cc} \propto F_2^{cc}(x,Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} F_L^{cc}(x,Q^2)$$

Direct test of HQ schemes in PDF fits

# **Charm at HERA: Test Choice of m<sub>c</sub> in PDF**



PDFs obtained from inclusive data sensitive to the choice of  $m_c$ 

# Measurement of $m_c (m_c)$

Recent theory developments: (AB(K)M group, DESY, *arXiv:1011.5790*) HQ coefficient functions provided in  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme using running  $m_{HQ}$ 



Perturbative series converge better Consistent treatment of HQ in PDF fits  $m_c(m_c)$  determined using DIS data

HERA charm data will pin down the value of running charm mass

From including the charm data in the PDF fit we can learn about mc (mc)

# What is the Meaning of $m_c$ in PDF Fits?

Recent theory developments: (ABKM group, DESY, *arXiv:1011.5790*) HQ coefficient functions provided in  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme using running  $m_{HQ}$ 



Perturbative series converge better

Consistent treatment of HQ in PDF fits

 $m_c(m_c)$  determined using DIS data

From including the charm data in the PDF fit we can learn about  $m_c$  ( $m_c$ )

### **Charm Mass as a Model Parameter in PDF**

#### Study the sensitivity of the PDF fit to the value of $m_c$

PDF fit to inclusive DIS

PDF fit to inclusive DIS + charm data



# **Different HQ Schemes in PDFs**

#### Value of $m_c$ : how different for various HQ schemes in PDF Fits?



Inclusion of charm data into the PDF fit **decouples** the gluon and  $m_c$ 

# Heavy Quarks in PDFs and W/Z at LHC

#### Prediction of W<sup>±</sup> cross section @ LHC: dominant uncertainty due to PDF



 $m_c$  variation in PDF: significant uncertainty on W@LHC in central region

### **Charm at HERA and W/Z at LHC**

#### Vary the charm mass in the PDF. Use resulting PDFs for LHC predictions



Larger  $m_c \rightarrow$  more gluons, less charm  $\rightarrow$  more light quarks  $\rightarrow$  larger  $\sigma_W$ 

### **Charm at HERA and W/Z at LHC**

#### Vary the charm mass in the PDF. Use resulting PDFs for LHC predictions



 $m_c$  variation in PDF

 $1.4 < m_c < 1.65 \text{ GeV}$ 

3% uncertainty on W prediction

### Charm at HERA and W/Z at LHC

#### Vary the charm mass in the PDF. Use resulting PDFs for LHC predictions



#### Several HQ schemes

 $m_c$  variation in PDF

 $1.4 < m_c < 1.65 \text{ GeV}$ 

3% uncertainty on W prediction

Using different HQ schemes:

+ 7% uncertainty

Large uncertainty on  $\sigma_W$  prediction due to HQ treatment in PDFs

# (HERA)PDF and top quark at the LHC

Top quark at CMS: cross section @ approx. NNLO



Dominant uncertainty: variation of Q2min imposed on data used in the fit

top quark production at the LHC has potential to constrain the high-x gluon