



1

### Measurement of the CP-violating phase $\phi_s$ with $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \Phi$ decays at LHCb

#### Christian Linn Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg

## **CP-violation** in $B_s$ mixing



Ι/ΨΦ

Interference between mixing and decay:  $\rightarrow$  CP violating phase  $\phi_s = \phi_M - 2 \phi_D$ 



tree-level transition dominant ( penguin contribution  $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$  )

 $\phi_D$ 

 $B_{s}$ 

 $B_{s}$ 

 $\phi_M$ 

 $\phi_s$  in Standard Model well predicted and small:  $-0.0363 \pm 0.0017$  rad [CKMfitter Eur.Phys.J.C411-131(2005)]



 $\phi_s$  in Standard Model well predicted and small:  $-0.0363 \pm 0.0017$  rad [CKMfitter Eur.Phys.J.C41 1-131 (2005)]



- Measurements from CDF and D0, first measurement of LHCb
- $\succ$  Still large uncertainties on  $\phi_s$  and  $\Delta\Gamma_s$







- Single and dimuon trigger lines
- $\blacktriangleright$  Cut on proper time t > 0.3 ps to suppress prompt background
- > Low background level: S/B  $\approx$  11 in 3 $\sigma$  mass window
- > Background mostly from real  $J/\Psi$  decays



P -> VV decay:

final state is mixture of CP even and CP odd eigenstates

Described by three polarization amplitudes:

 $A_{\perp}$  (CP-odd)  $A_{0}$ ,  $A_{\parallel}$  (CP-even)

Final states described by three transversity angles:  $\Omega = \{\varphi, \theta, \psi\}$ 





## Physics parameters: $\Gamma_s, \Delta\Gamma_s, \phi_s, |A_0|^2, |A_\perp|^2, \delta_\perp, \delta_\parallel,$

 $|A_s|^2, \delta_s$ non-resonant  $K^+ K^-$  s-wave

background

Perform unbinned maximum likelihood fit in mass, proper time, transversity angles:

$$S(\lambda, t, \Omega) = \epsilon(t, \Omega) \cdot \left(\frac{1+qD}{2} \cdot P_B(\lambda, t, \Omega) + \frac{1-qD}{2} \cdot \overline{P_B}(\lambda, t, \Omega)\right) \otimes R_t$$
  
Ingredients:  
Proper time and angular acceptance tagging Proper time resolution

$$P(\lambda; m, t, \Omega) = f_{sig} \cdot S(\lambda; m) S(\lambda; t, \Omega) + (1 - f_{sig}) \cdot B(\lambda; m) B(\lambda; t) B(\lambda; \Omega)$$
  
signal background



#### Acceptance and resolution measured on data:

- Non-flat proper time acceptance due to lifetime biasing cuts in trigger: acceptance parameters directly fitted using  $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \Phi$  events cross-checked by comparing biased and unbiased trigger lines
- $\blacktriangleright$  Resolution determined from prompt  $J/\Psi$  peak in data (triple Gaussian model)

Effective proper time resolution:  $\sim 50$ fs

Dilution:  $< D^{reso} >_{eff} = 0.673 \pm 0.013$ 





- > Angular acceptance changes composition of CP even and CP odd eigenstates
- Acceptance determined on MC and cross-checked on data
- 3d description necessary to account for correlation



> maximal deviations  $\sim 5\%$ :

mainly due to angular coverage of the detector and reconstruction effects

For  $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \Phi$ :

 $\triangleright$ 

## Only tagging information from the "opposite side" B used Efficiency of OS tagger: ~ 17.5%

 $\blacktriangleright$  OS tagger calibrated on data with  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\Psi K^+$ 

Flavour tagging

Per event mistag:  $\omega_i = p_0 + p_1 \cdot (\eta_i - \langle \eta \rangle)$ 

Dilution:  $D_{eff} = (27.7 \pm 1.1 \pm 2.5)\%$ 

Tagging Power:  $\epsilon D_{eff}^2 = (2.08 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.37)\%$ 



same side Kaon tagge









# **Kick** Systematic uncertainties

A	主要	Re.
EQ.	一百	
	2	語言
6	UD	130
1	- Hand	Dist

Source	$\phi_s^{J/\psi \phi}$ [rad]	$\Delta\Gamma_s [\mathrm{ps}^{-1}]$
Description of background	0.06	0.004
Angular acceptances	0.004	0.008
z and momentum scale	—	0.002
Production asymmetry ( $\pm 10\%$ )	< 0.01	< 0.001
CPV in mixing & decay ( $\pm$ 5%)	< 0.03	< 0.006
Quadratic sum	0.07	0.011

- Tagging, proper time resolution, mixing frequency: floated in fit
- Background description: different treatments in fit
- > Angular acceptance: toy studies with reweighted MC acceptance
- Nuisance asymmetries:
  Production asymmetry, CPV decay/mixing simulated in toy experiments
- S-wave: toy studies and parameterization cross-checks

Systematic uncertainties ~40% of statistical error on  $\phi_s$ , ~ 30% on  $\Delta\Gamma_s$ 



Stat.

0.18

0.029

0.009

0.015

0.013

0.016

0.37

0.36

Value

0.13

0.123

0.656

0.238

0.497

0.041

2.94

3.00

**Parameter** 

 $\phi_s$  [rad]

 $\Delta \Gamma_s [ps^{-1}]$ 

 $\Gamma_s [ps^{-1}]$ 

 $|A_{\perp}(0)|^2$ 

 $|A_0(0)|^2$ 

 $|A_{s}(0)|^{2}$ 

 $\delta_{\perp}$  [*rad*]

 $\delta_s$  [rad]



 $\delta_{\parallel}\in$  [ 3.01, 3.36 ] @ 68% C.L.

- > Most precise measurement on  $\Gamma_s$ ,  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  and  $\phi_s$
- $\succ$  first direct experimental significant evidence of non-zero  $\Delta\Gamma_s$
- good agreement with SM predictions

 $\geq$ 

 $\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.123 \pm 0.029 \, (stat) \pm 0.008 \, (sys) \, ps^{-1}$ 

 $\Gamma_s = 0.656 \pm 0.009 (stat) \pm 0.008 (sys) ps^{-1}$ 



➤ ~ 8300 signal candidates corresponding to 
$$L \approx 337 \ pb^{-1}$$

Tagged time-dependent angular analysis of  $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \Phi$  decays at LHCb 

Summary

Effective proper time resolution of 50 fs

tagging power  $\epsilon D^2 = (2.1 \pm 0.4)\%$ 

Most precise measurement of







## BACKUP