

ATLAS NOTE

ATLAS-CONF-2011-121

August 21, 2011



Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using kinematic information of lepton+jets events

Clemens Lange (DESY)



Helmholtz-Allianz Meeting Bonn 7th December 2011



top pair cross-section

ti production: "new standard
 baandleg fochigh-for seveical tor gase related selidies precise cross section measurements with of section measurements with of backgrounds prandszystemzsics

 previous ATLAS measurement (ATLeASurements 0.110 \$350) ificant
 understainties, want to get more competitive compared to
 understage on entities on e

 Fiorus of the data set in the pattern + jets channel using b-tagging information shows best overall
 aibatarget between statistical read systematic run certain ties ratio) and theoretical predictions (~9%)

07.12.2011



Theory expectation (mt=172.5 GeV, approx. NNLO, CTEQ66):

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ (theory) = 164.57^{+4.30}_{-9.27}(scale)^{+7.15}_{-6.15} (PDF) pb.



analysis idea



- > extract top pair cross section using event kinematics
- >select few well-modelled variables to discriminate top from W+jets
- >combine in projective likelihood discriminant using TMVA:

$$\mathsf{D} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathsf{p}_{i,\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{x}_{j})}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathsf{p}_{i,\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{x}_{j}) + \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathsf{p}_{i,\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{x}_{j})}$$

create templates of this discriminant for all signal and background processes

>perform **binned profile likelihood** fit to signal and background templates

- simultaneous fit in six channels: electron+jets, muon+jets with 3, 4, ≥5 jets
- measure σ_{tt} and background normalisations (especially W+jets)
- treat (most) systematic uncertainties as nuisance narameters in fit and allow them to be

object and event selection



electrons: >common top group muons: • 1 tight, isolated electron • 1 tight, isolated muon lepton+jets selection trigger fired and matched • trigger fired and matched • E_T > 25 GeV p_T > 20 GeV >object corrections • $|\eta| < 2.47$ (excluding transition • |η| < 2.5 according to region barrel-endcap) performance/top reconstruction group(s) missing transverse E: • RefFinal_em_tight • e+jets: MET > 35 GeV, $m_T(W) > 25 \text{ GeV}$ q n • µ+jets: MET > 20 GeV, $MET + m_T(W) > 60 \text{ GeV}$ h event cleaning: <u>iets</u> top GRL topological cluster • primary vertex with at • anti-k_T (R=0.4) least 5 tracks • EM+JES bad jet veto • p_T > 25 GeV LAr hole treatment • |η| < 2.5



>QCD multi-jets from data (using matrix method), alternative models as systematic uncertainty

>W+jets:

- shape from Alpgen Monte Carlo
- normalization taken from W charge asymmetry measurement (ATLAS-CONF-2011-106)
- >all other smaller background taken from MC and normalised to theory expectation (Z+jets, diboson, single top)







>projective likelihood discriminant shows good separation between ttbar and W+jets

ttbar and W+jets normalized to 1



likelihood fitter setup





>Gaussian constraints to background parameters:

process	parameters	constraints	normalization before fit
ttbar	β ₀	-	theory
W+jets	β_1 - β_6 (separate for six channels)	3 jets: 42% (Behrends sca- 4 jets: 48% ling and theory) ≥5 jets: 54%	W charge asymmetry measurement
Z+jets	β7	30 %	theory
single top	β ₈	3.7% ⊕ 10% (lumi⊕theory)	theory
diboson	β9	3.7% ⊕ 5% (lumi⊕theory)	theory
QCD	β_{10} - β_{15} (separate for six channels)	50 %	matrix method

Exelihood fitter setup (2)





- "Up" and "down" templates in addition "Up" and down" templates in addition to nominal for each $\pm 1\sigma$ variation
- Vertical morphing of templates
 each nuisance parameter assumed to be Gaussian with mean 0 nominal,

 - δ_i = ±1 to up/down templates)
 vertical morphing of templates
 - Quadraticulation paraglation for |δ_i| < 1, linear extrapolation beyond
 quadratic interpolation for |δ_i| < 1 (Lagrange)
- 120 parameters for bin-by-bin beyond
- > systemiatic secret data parameters in the minipaisation of all Interpretations in a bin gets Gaussian
 Interpretations in a bin gets Gaussian
 Interpretations systematicaluncentainty
 - One parameter for each bin (CPU intensive, switched off for ensemble testing)





- >fitter concept: trade systematic uncertainties against fit uncertainty on β₀
- >no direct access to systematic uncertainties
- >evaluate indirectly by performing fit with nuisance parameter in question fixed
- take quadratic difference as measure of systematic uncertainty
- external uncertainties evaluated using PEs
 - shift of mean taken as uncertainty
- >only 6.6% uncertainty
 (including luminosity)!

Uncertainty	up (pb)	down (pb)	up (%)	down (%)
Statistical	3.9	-3.9	2.2	-2.2
Detector simulation				
Jets	3.2	-4.3	1.8	-2.4
Muon	4.1	-4.1	2.3	-2.3
Electron	2.7	-3.0	1.5	-1.7
MET	2.0	-1.6	1.1	-0.9
Signal model				
Generator ^{*)}	5.4	-5.4	3.0	-3.0
Hadronization ^{*)}	0.9	-0.9	0.5	-0.5
ISR/FSR	3.0	-2.3	1.7	-1.3
PDF ^{*)}	1.8	-1.8	1.0	-1.0
Background model				
QCD shape ^{*)}	0.7	-0.7	0.4	-0.4
W shape ^{*)}	0.9	-0.9	0.5	-0.5
Method ^{*)}	3.2	-3.2	1.8	-1.8
Systematic	9.0	-9.0	5.0	-5.0
Stat. & Syst.	9.8	-9.8	5.4	-5.4
Luminosity	6.6	-6.6	3.7	-3.7
Total	11.8	-11.8	6.6	-6.6

*) evaluated externally

result



- > combined binned profile likelihood fit in all 6 channels and bins simultaneously
- >likelihood distribution with 20 bins in each channel
- all contributions scaled to their fit values
- very good data-MC agreement
- > data-MC agreement improves for basic variables if fitted β and δ values are used



 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 179.0 \pm 3.9 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 9.0 \,(\text{syst}) \pm 6.6 \,(\text{lumi}) \,\text{pb}$

> in agreement with theory and smaller than theory uncertainties





Summary The combined fit of the six analysis channels to the likel

cluding all systematic uncertainties treated within the fit yi
 performed cross section measurement using binned profile likelihood by the fit is s
 method
 Kolmogorov-Smirnov probability) demonstrate an excellent a
 obtained the single-most precise ttbar production cross section.
 and *ff* signal model. After including uncertainties that are no measurement worldwide:

 $\mathcal{F}_{t\bar{t}} \equiv 179.0 \pm 3.9$ (stat) ± 9.0 (syst) ± 6.6 (humi) $pb = 179.0 \pm 9.8$

>uncertainties competitive shipping effects of various sources of uncertai



inties included via r ponding to the syste ifference in relative o the total uncertain