

# NAF feed-back from ILC

Mikael Berggren<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DESY  
Hamburg

NAF meeting, Helmholtz annual meeting, Dec 2011

# Outline

- 1 ILC usage
  - Future ILC usage
  - Large-scale event generation
- 2 Observations
- 3 Problems
- 4 Summary

# ILC usage

- Test-beam analysis by the Calice R&D collaboration.
- Event generation (Whizard) for MC mass-production of SM -  $\mathcal{O}(10\text{Mevents})$ .
- Full simulation/reconstruction chain for signal samples  $\mathcal{O}(10\text{kevents})$
- User analysis of all kinds and sizes.
- Code development.
- NUC members: Steve Aplin, Shaojun Lu.

# Coming ILC usage: The DBD

As a companion to the ILC TDR, a “Detailed Baseline Detector document” will be delivered by the end of 2012.

- Bench-mark processes at  $E_{CMS}=1\text{TeV}$ :

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}h^0$
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h^0$
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$

- Full simulation, ameliorated wrt. LOI. Assume  $1\text{ab}^{-1}$ .
- Machine backgrounds and same-bunch crossing  $\gamma\gamma$  events should be overlaid (in some way...)
- “Relevant” physics backgrounds should be generated.
- Decided to do generation of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and background on the NAF. (The other two at SLAC and KEK).

# Coming ILC usage: The DBD

As a companion to the ILC TDR, a “Detailed Baseline Detector document” will delivered by the end of 2012.

- Bench-mark processes at  $E_{CMS}=1\text{TeV}$ :
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$
- Full simulation, ameliorated wrt. LOI. Assume  $1\text{ab}^{-1}$ .
- Machine backgrounds and same-bunch crossing  $\gamma\gamma$  events should be overlaid (in some way...)
- “Relevant” physics backgrounds should be generated.
- Decided to do generation of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and background on the NAF. (The other two at SLAC and KEK).

# Coming ILC usage: The DBD

As a companion to the ILC TDR, a “Detailed Baseline Detector document” will delivered by the end of 2012.

- Bench-mark processes at  $E_{CMS}=1\text{TeV}$ :
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$
- Full simulation, ameliorated wrt. LOI. Assume  $1\text{ab}^{-1}$ .
- Machine backgrounds and same-bunch crossing  $\gamma\gamma$  events should be overlaid (in some way...)
- “Relevant” physics backgrounds should be generated.
- Decided to do generation of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and background on the NAF. (The other two at SLAC and KEK).

# Coming ILC usage: The DBD

As a companion to the ILC TDR, a “Detailed Baseline Detector document” will delivered by the end of 2012.

- Bench-mark processes at  $E_{CMS}=1\text{TeV}$ :
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$
- Full simulation, ameliorated wrt. LOI. Assume  $1\text{ab}^{-1}$ .
- Machine backgrounds and same-bunch crossing  $\gamma\gamma$  events should be overlaid (in some way...)
- “Relevant” physics backgrounds should be generated.
- Decided to do generation of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and background on the NAF. (The other two at SLAC and KEK).

# Coming ILC usage: The DBD

As a companion to the ILC TDR, a “Detailed Baseline Detector document” will delivered by the end of 2012.

- Bench-mark processes at  $E_{CMS}=1\text{TeV}$ :
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h^0$
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$
- Full simulation, ameliorated wrt. LOI. Assume  $1\text{ab}^{-1}$ .
- Machine backgrounds and same-bunch crossing  $\gamma\gamma$  events should be overlaid (in some way...)
- “Relevant” physics backgrounds should be generated.
- Decided to do generation of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and background on the NAF. (The other two at SLAC and KEK).



# Use case: $WW$ generation

- All steps setup to run on the NAF.
  - Integration of all 4 fermion final-states: over-night job, with per mil uncertainty on cross-section
  - Generation of  $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  also over-night job for non-electron final states.
  - STDHEP:s on grid, log-files, steerings, diagram-plots, etc. on the web.
  - Need some automatic error detection.
- Organisation:
  - Hierarchy:  $ZZ$  or  $WW$  or  $ZZWW$ mix / hadronic or leptonic or semi-leptonic / four beam polarisations
  - Separate single boson ( $XXee, XX\nu_e\nu_e$  or  $XXe\nu_e$ ) final states (t-channell) from rest.
  - Total number of cases = 36.
- NB: Cross-sections are in the  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  range  $\rightarrow$  we will generate tens of millions of events !!!

# Use case: $WW$ generation

- All steps setup to run on the NAF.
  - Integration of all 4 fermion final-states: over-night job, with per mil uncertainty on cross-section
  - Generation of  $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  also over-night job for non-electron final states.
  - STDHEP:s on [grid](#), log-files, steerings, diagram-plots, etc. on the [web](#).
  - Need some [automatic error detection](#).
- Organisation:
  - Hierarchy: ZZ or WW or ZZWWmix / hadronic or leptonic or semi-leptonic / four beam polarisations
  - Separate single boson ( $XXee, XX\nu_e\nu_e$  or  $XXe\nu_e$ ) final states (t-channel) from rest.
  - Total number of cases = 36.
- NB: Cross-sections are in the  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  range  $\rightarrow$  we will generate **tens of millions of events !!!**

# Use case: $WW$ generation

- All steps setup to run on the NAF.
  - Integration of all 4 fermion final-states: over-night job, with per mil uncertainty on cross-section
  - Generation of  $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  also over-night job for non-electron final states.
  - STDHEP:s on [grid](#), log-files, steerings, diagram-plots, etc. on the [web](#).
  - Need some [automatic error detection](#).
- Organisation:
  - [Hierarchy](#): ZZ or WW or ZZWWmix / hadronic or leptonic or semi-leptonic / four beam polarisations
  - Separate [single boson](#) ( $XXe\bar{e}$ ,  $XX\nu_e\nu_e$  or  $XXe\nu_e$ ) final states (t-channel!) from rest.
  - Total number of cases = 36.
- NB: Cross-sections are in the  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  range  $\rightarrow$  we will generate [tens of millions of events](#) !!!

# Use case: $WW$ generation

- All steps setup to run on the NAF.
  - Integration of all 4 fermion final-states: over-night job, with per mil uncertainty on cross-section
  - Generation of  $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  also over-night job for non-electron final states.
  - STDHEP:s on grid, log-files, steerings, diagram-plots, etc. on the web.
  - Need some automatic error detection.
- Organisation:
  - Hierarchy: ZZ or WW or ZZWWmix / hadronic or leptonic or semi-leptonic / four beam polarisations
  - Separate single boson ( $XXe\bar{e}$ ,  $XX\nu_e\nu_e$  or  $XXe\nu_e$ ) final states (t-channel!) from rest.
  - Total number of cases = 36.
- NB: Cross-sections are in the  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  range  $\rightarrow$  we will generate tens of millions of events !!!

# Observations about the NAF

- **Extremely** useful to be able to work interactively in an environment (almost) identical to the batch system:
  - Develop and debug.
  - Write and test scripts.
  - Add a few #\$:s to the script.
  - **qsub!**
- Would not have been possible to so quickly set up the massive generation without this infra-structure.
- **Large disk space** from LUSTRE is very useful. Compare
  - User AFS quota.
  - User space on Grid node.
- That Lustre is shared gives the users the possibility to do **punctual multi-TB work** - Test-beam analysis !
- Up to now, this works without imposing quotas. **Hope it can stay that way !**

# Observations about the NAF

- **Extremely** useful to be able to work interactively in an environment (almost) identical to the batch system:
  - Develop and debug.
  - Write and test scripts.
  - Add a few #\$:s to the script.
  - **qsub!**
- Would not have been possible to so quickly set up the massive generation without this infra-structure.
- **Large disk space** from LUSTRE is very useful. Compare
  - User AFS quota.
  - User space on Grid node.
- That Lustre is shared gives the users the possibility to do **punctual multi-TB work** - Test-beam analysis !
- Up to now, this works without imposing quotas. **Hope it can stay that way !**

# Observations about the NAF

- **Extremely** useful to be able to work interactively in an environment (almost) identical to the batch system:
  - Develop and debug.
  - Write and test scripts.
  - Add a few #\$:s to the script.
  - **qsub!**
- Would not have been possible to so quickly set up the massive generation without this infra-structure.
- **Large disk space** from LUSTRE is very useful. Compare
  - User AFS quota.
  - User space on Grid node.
- That Lustre is shared gives the users the possibility to do **punctual multi-TB work** - Test-beam analysis !
- Up to now, this works without imposing quotas. **Hope it can stay that way !**

# Observations about the NAF

- **Extremely** useful to be able to work interactively in an environment (almost) identical to the batch system:
  - Develop and debug.
  - Write and test scripts.
  - Add a few #\$:s to the script.
  - **qsub!**
- Would not have been possible to so quickly set up the massive generation without this infra-structure.
- **Large disk space** from LUSTRE is very useful. Compare
  - User AFS quota.
  - User space on Grid node.
- That Lustre is shared gives the users the possibility to do **punctual multi-TB work** - Test-beam analysis !
- Up to now, this works without imposing quotas. **Hope it can stay that way !**



# Observations about the NAF

- **Extremely** useful to be able to work interactively in an environment (almost) identical to the batch system:
  - Develop and debug.
  - Write and test scripts.
  - Add a few #\$:s to the script.
  - **qsub!**
- Would not have been possible to so quickly set up the massive generation without this infra-structure.
- **Large disk space** from LUSTRE is very useful. Compare
  - User AFS quota.
  - User space on Grid node.
- That Lustre is shared gives the users the possibility to do **punctual multi-TB work** - Test-beam analysis !
- Up to now, this works without imposing quotas. **Hope it can stay that way !**

# Observations about the NAF

- Convenient to access AFS and GRID storage elements.
  - Both Calice and ILC have pre-installed software on AFS ⇒
  - Same SW on your desk-top and on the NAF.
  - Corollary: It is preferable that the NAF avoids being on the bleeding edge: Don't be the first to go to the latest-and-greatest SL-version, but upgrade when a sizable fraction of the community has !
- Useful to have access to GRID SE (DESY dcache).

# Observations about the NAF

- Convenient to access AFS and GRID storage elements.
  - Both Calice and ILC have pre-installed software on AFS ⇒
  - Same SW on your desk-top and on the NAF.
  - Corollary: It is preferable that the NAF avoids being on the bleeding edge: Don't be the first to go to the latest-and-greatest SL-version, but upgrade when a sizable fraction of the community has !
- Useful to have access to GRID SE (DESY dcache).

# Observations about the NAF

- Convenient to access AFS and GRID storage elements.
  - Both Calice and ILC have pre-installed software on AFS ⇒
  - Same SW on your desk-top and on the NAF.
  - Corollary: It is preferable that the NAF avoids being on the bleeding edge: Don't be the first to go to the latest-and-greatest SL-version, but upgrade when a sizable fraction of the community has !
- Useful to have access to GRID SE (DESY dcache).

# Observations about the NAF

- Convenient to access AFS and GRID storage elements.
  - Both Calice and ILC have pre-installed software on AFS ⇒
  - Same SW on your desk-top and on the NAF.
  - Corollary: It is preferable that the NAF avoids being on the bleeding edge: Don't be the first to go to the latest-and-greatest SL-version, but upgrade when a sizable fraction of the community has !
- Useful to have access to GRID SE (DESY dcache).

# Problems

- Occasional Lustre hick-ups - “image busy”
- AFS issues. A pity that naf.desy.de can't be just desy.de.
  - Why is it similar, but still different ?
  - naf\_token on Mac-OS ? On Ubuntu ? On Windows ?
- Lack of a comprehensive explanation on how job priorities are calculated, and/or a simple view of the queueing status of my jobs.
- The somewhat unclear status of files on Lustre. Temporary, yes, but never gets deleted.
- A potential problem: Self-organising community wrt. interactive use and disk-space. Works fine now, but might degenerate at any time. Hope not.

# Problems

- Occasional Lustre hick-ups - “image busy”
- AFS issues. A pity that `naf.desy.de` can't be just `desy.de`.
  - Why is it similar, but still different ?
  - `naf_token` on Mac-OS ? On Ubuntu ? On Windows ?
- Lack of a comprehensive explanation on how job priorities are calculated, and/or a simple view of the queueing status of my jobs.
- The somewhat unclear status of files on Lustre. Temporary, yes, but never gets deleted.
- A potential problem: Self-organising community wrt. interactive use and disk-space. Works fine now, but might degenerate at any time. Hope not.

# Problems

- Occasional Lustre hick-ups - “image busy”
- AFS issues. A pity that `naf.desy.de` can't be just `desy.de`.
  - Why is it similar, but still different ?
  - `naf_token` on Mac-OS ? On Ubuntu ? On Windows ?
- Lack of a comprehensive explanation on how **job priorities are calculated**, and/or a simple view of the queueing status of my jobs.
- The somewhat unclear status of files on Lustre. Temporary, yes, but never gets deleted.
- A potential problem: Self-organising community wrt. interactive use and disk-space. Works fine now, but might degenerate at any time. Hope not.



# Problems

- Occasional Lustre hick-ups - “image busy”
- AFS issues. A pity that `naf.desy.de` can't be just `desy.de`.
  - Why is it similar, but still different ?
  - `naf_token` on Mac-OS ? On Ubuntu ? On Windows ?
- Lack of a comprehensive explanation on how **job priorities are calculated**, and/or a simple view of the queueing status of my jobs.
- The somewhat **unclear status** of files on Lustre. Temporary, yes, but never gets deleted.
- A potential problem: Self-organising community wrt. interactive use and disk-space. Works fine now, but might degenerate at any time. Hope not.

# Problems

- Occasional **Lustre hick-ups** - “image busy”
- **AFS issues**. A pity that **naf.desy.de can't be just desy.de**.
  - Why is it similar, but still different ?
  - **naf\_token** on Mac-OS ? On Ubuntu ? On Windows ?
- Lack of a comprehensive explanation on how **job priorities are calculated**, and/or a simple view of the queueing status of my jobs.
- The somewhat **unclear status** of files on Lustre. Temporary, yes, but never gets deleted.
- A **potential problem**: Self-organising community wrt. interactive use and disk-space. Works fine now, but might degenerate at any time. **Hope not**.

# Summary

- A few **issues** where pointed out, but:
- NAF is the most **convenient** batch system I've ever used ⇒

NAF works fine !

# Summary

- A few **issues** where pointed out, but:
- NAF is the most **convenient** batch system I've ever used ⇒

NAF works fine !

# Summary

- A few **issues** where pointed out, but:
- NAF is the most **convenient** batch system I've ever used ⇒

NAF works fine !