

# Factorial moments in NICA MPD experiment

Olga Kodolova, Mariya Cheremnova, Pavel Batyuk,  
Ludmila Malinina, Konstantin Mikhaylov,  
Grigory Nigmatkulov, Igor Lokhtin, Alexey Chernyshov

# Introduction

It was proposed by A. Bialas and R. Peschanski (Nucl. Phys. B 273 (1986) 703) to study the dependence of the normalized factorial moments of the rapidity distribution on the bin size  $\delta y$ :

1. if fluctuations are purely statistical no variation of moments as a function of  $\delta y$  is expected

2. observation of variations indicates the presence of physics origin fluctuations

$$F_i = M^{i-1} \times \left\langle \frac{\sum_{j=1}^M k_j \times (k_j - 1) \times \dots \times (k_j - i + 1)}{N \times (N - 1) \times \dots \times (N - i + 1)} \right\rangle$$

$$\delta y = \Delta y / M$$


$M$  — number of bins

$\Delta y$  — size of mid rapidity window

$N$  — number of particles in  $\Delta y$

$k_j$  — the number of particles in bin  $j$

Note: there is a set of definitions of moments and cumulants.



# What do we see with factorial moments: simplified case

## Mathematical model:


- an accident number of particles per event organized in groups
- groups are distributed uniformly along  $\Delta y$  interval.
- Each group has the random number of particles.
- Consider two cases:
  - Point-like group - all particles inside group has the same  $y$ ,
  - Non point-like group - particles are distributed over  $y$  with respect to the group center

- number of groups per event is Poissonian
- number of particles per group has geometrical distribution.


Multiplicity distributions of particles in  $\Delta y$  interval is:

$$P(l) = \sum_{m=0}^l \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^m}{m!} \binom{l-1}{m-1} \alpha^{l-m} (1-\alpha)^m$$


Under condition  $\alpha \ll 1$ , this will give the same result as **Negative Binomial Distribution** which describes multiplicity distribution at middle interaction energy.



Point-like groups



Nonpoint-like groups with width  $\sigma$




Toy events have uniform rapidity distribution in interval  $[-1, 1]$

Independent production of particles with poissonian distribution leads to  $F_i(M) = 1$ .

Under hypothesis of independent pointlike groups  $F_i(M)$  grows as polinomial of order  $(i-1)$

$$F_i(M) = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k M^{k-1}$$

M.Yu.Bogolyubsky et al (11 co-authors),  
Clan model and factorial moments of the  
multiplicity distribution in intervals.,  
Phys.Atom.Nucl. 57: 2132 - 2139, 1994



- For non-pointlike group with width  $\sigma$ .  
 $F_i(M) = \text{constant}$  when  $\delta y = \Delta Y/M \ll \sigma$


- Several processes with different characteristic widths ( $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \dots > \sigma_N$ )  
the factorial moments are increasing until  $\delta y = \Delta Y/M \ll \sigma_N$

- The power of growth depends on
  - Mean number of groups
  - Mean number of particles per group
  - Characteristic widths of groups


# Latest studies of intermittency in the world: theory and experiments

- Intermittency have been studied at LEP, Tevatron, Protvino in ee, hh, hA, AA interactions at the various energies. There are plenty of interpretations including Clan Model proposed by L. Van Hove, intermittency and fractals of the different origin.
- Recent studies in NA49, NA61


$$\Delta F_2 = F_2^{data} - F_2^{mixed} \propto M^{2\varphi}$$



NA49, EPJ Web of conf, 71,  
00035(2014)





M.M.Khan et al, DAE-BRNS  
Symp. On Nucl.Phys. 61(2016)






NA61/SHINE,  
arXiv:2002.06636,2020

7.7 GeV








11.5 GeV



Different energy dependence is expected for Crossover and 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition


There is a mild dependence on centrality for 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition




There is a small increase of the  $F_2$  maximum for HydroCascade w.r.t Hydro only.

However the different trend in the  $F_2$  behaviour for the Phase 1 transition and crossover is visible

# Models comparison: UrQMD, UrQMD+vHLLC, HYDJET++



- UrQMD, HYDJET++ are comparable with vHLLC+UrQMD crossover
- Change of
  - Multiplicity
  - volume size



# Summary

- Normalized factorial moments as a function of the size of the observation interval are sensitive to the type of phase transition.
  - We observe the different energy behaviour for the Crossover and 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition in the frame of the URQMD+VHLLE model.
  - The energy behaviour is connected to the development of the phase transition and hydrodynamical phase itself. Cascade introduces the mild excess to the maximum of the normalized factorial moments.
- We start to work with reconstructed objects. The plan is to take into account the sample efficiency, purity and track momentum resolution.

- Divide interval  $D$  on  $M$  bins.  $l$  – particles are distributed among  $m$ -groups.
- The probability in the bin ( $i$ ) we will have  $n$  particles from  $m_1$  group under condition that  $l$  particles are in  $m$  groups:

$$R = \frac{\binom{v-1}{m_1-1} \binom{l-v-1}{m-m_1-1}}{\binom{l-1}{m-1}}$$

- Under fixed  $l, m, m_1$ :

$$G_i(l, m, m_1) = M \sum_{v=m_1}^{l-(m-m_1)} \frac{v * (v-1) * \dots * (v-i+1)}{l * (l-1) * \dots * (l-i+1)} \frac{\binom{v-1}{m_1-1} \binom{l-v-1}{m-m_1-1}}{\binom{l-1}{m-1}}$$

- Let's assume the uniform distribution of groups along interval  $y$

$$F_i = M^{i-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^m}{m!} \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} \binom{l-1}{m-1} \alpha^{l-m} (1-\alpha)^m \sum_{m_1=0}^m \binom{m}{m_1} \left(\frac{1}{M}\right)^{m_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^{m-m_1} G_i(l, m, m_1)$$

- After calculation:

$$F_i(M) = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k M^{k-1}$$


- For  $F_2$ :

$$A_1 = 1 - \frac{2\alpha(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda}$$

$$A_2 = \frac{2\alpha(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda}$$

# Resolution of detector

13



# Latest studies in the world: theory and experiments

- Intermittency (fluctuations of various different sizes in 1D, 2D and 3D phase space) have been studied at LEP, Tevatron, Protvino in ee, hh, hA, AA interactions at the various energies. There are plenty of interpretations including Clan Model proposed by L. Van Hove, intermittency and fractals of the different origin

Some latest studies for pp and AA (NA49, NA61, ALICE):

- A Monte Carlo Study of Multiplicity Fluctuations in Pb-Pb Collisions at LHC Energies, Ramni Gupta, Journal of Central European Green Innovation 4(4) pp 116-126 (2016)
- Search for the critical point of strongly interacting matter in NA49 Katarzyna Grebieszkowa for the NA49 collaboration, arXiv:0907.4101
- Scaling Properties of Multiplicity Fluctuations in the AMPT Model Rohni Sharma and Ramni Gupta, AHEP, v2018, ArticleID 6283801
- Searching for the critical point of strongly interacting matter in nucleus-nucleus collisions at CERN SPS, Nikolaos Davis