# What precisely can we learn from "quantum gravity experiments"?

**Daniel Carney** 



# The basic line of questioning

"Is gravity quantum?"

- Can the gravitational field be put into quantum superposition?
- Can we measure this?
- What would it mean if we do?



From Markus Aspelmeyer



#### Review: Carney, Stamp, Taylor 1807.11494

#### Q&A

- 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?
- 2. What if gravity isn't quantum?
- 3. Can we detect gravitons (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 4. Can we detect entanglement generation by the Newton interaction (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 5. Do you expect large quantum gravity fluctuations in interferometers?

#### 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} + \frac{c_1}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} R^2 + \cdots \right] \qquad \qquad \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$$

Effective field theory: Quantize metric fluctuations  $\rightarrow$  gravitons, same as EM field  $\rightarrow$  photons.

Valid energy at densities where  $R/m_{pl}^2 \ll 1$  (i.e., almost anywhere other than near singularities).

Inevitable under simple assumptions. Any **alternative hypothesis** must violate one of:

- Unitary scattering
- Lorentz invariance
- Cluster decomposition ("spacetime locality")

For example, string theory reduces to this model at low energies.

## FAQ

- 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?
- 2. What if gravity isn't quantum?
- 3. Can we detect gravitons (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 4. Can we detect entanglement generation by the Newton interaction (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 5. Do you expect large quantum gravity fluctuations in interferometers?



"Standard quantum gravity"

Basic prediction from virtual graviton exchange



$$id \left|\psi
ight
angle = \left[-\sum_{i 
eq j} rac{G_N m_i m_j}{\left|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i - \left\langle\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j
ight
angle}
ight| dt + dW
ight] \left|\psi
ight
angle$$

No entanglement ("classical gravity")

Not coherent—has intrinsic noise

Kafri, Milburn, Taylor 1404.3214 + many others



Reproduces average EOM of Newtonian gravity. No entanglement can be generated. Two free parameters: v,  $\pmb{\sigma}$ 

Kafri, Milburn, Taylor 1404.3214 Carney, Taylor 2301.08378

Violation of unitarity  $\rightarrow$  anomalous heating, decoherence etc

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle_{\rm BA} \approx \frac{v^2}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle_{\rm shot} \approx \frac{G_N^2 m_a \rho_0}{v^2 d}$$

How to rule out unconstrained parameter space?

- Test if gravity can entangle
- Test if gravity generates anomalous noise

See questions 4,5.



Carney, Taylor 2301.08378

$$\hat{H}_{\rm Q} = \hat{H}_{\rm det} + \hat{H}_h + \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$$

Quantized metric fluctuations  $\rightarrow$  h = operator

$$\hat{H}_{\rm SC} = \hat{H}_{\rm det} + H_h + \int d^3 \mathbf{x} h_{\mu\nu} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$$

"Classical" gravity: h = classical random variable, drawn from probability distribution P(h)

To make self-consistent, need to add additional noise terms as just discussed

Possible way to get all of this together: recent Oppenheim et al work (feel free to ask about this)

PHYSICAL REVIEW X						▶ YouTube	S
Highlights	Recent	Subjects	Accepted	Collections	Authors	4	
Featured in	Physics	Open Access				1	
A Post	A Postquantum Theory of Classical Gravity?						e
Jonathan ( Phys. Rev.	an Oppenheim ev. X <b>13</b> , 041040 – Published 4 December 2023						72
Physics	See Viewpoi	int: Might There	Be No Quantum	Gravity After All?			



New Idea Solves Three Physics Mysteries at Once: Post Quantum Gravity



Sabine Hossenfelder <a>
 </a>
1.47M subscribers

Subscribe

🖧 зок 🖓



Share

- Interesting attempt to make a relativistic version of the classical gravity models we just discussed
- Same basic idea: add classical stochasticity
- Detailed construction seems to predict things that don't work, e.g., scattering and orbits are wrong

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\sigma}{d^3\mathbf{p}_1'd^3\mathbf{p}_2'} &= \frac{(2\pi)^2}{u} \frac{\lambda^4}{m^4} \\ &\times \left\{ \delta^4(p_1' + p_2' - p_1 - p_2) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1)^2 + m_\phi^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{D_2}{[(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1) + m_\phi^2]^2} + D_0 \right)^2 \right] \\ &+ \delta^4(p_1' - p_1 - (p_2' - p_2)) \left( \frac{D_2}{[(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1) + m_\phi^2]^2} + D_0 \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{VT}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \frac{D_2}{[(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1) + m_\phi^2]^2} + D_0 \right) \left( \frac{D_2}{[(\mathbf{p}_2' - \mathbf{p}_2) + m_\phi^2]^2} + D_0 \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Carney, Matsumura, in prep Hertzberg, Loeb 2404.13037

## FAQ

- 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?
- 2. What if gravity isn't quantum?
- 3. Can we detect gravitons (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 4. Can we detect entanglement generation by the Newton interaction (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 5. Do you expect large quantum gravity fluctuations in interferometers?



Weber bar: gravitational wave  $\rightarrow$  vibration  $\rightarrow$  voltage

Fancy quantum Weber bar: gravitational wave ("graviton")  $\rightarrow$  phonon  $\rightarrow$  voltage

Tobar, Manikandan, Beitel, Pikovski 2308.15440

Other known options:

Graviton  $\rightarrow$  photon conversion in B field [Dyson 2012]

Graviton  $\rightarrow$  sideband photon conversion in optical interferometer [GQuEST @ Caltech]

So, the answer is apparently yes.

Next question: can such a measurement distinguish these models?

$$\hat{H}_{\rm Q} = \hat{H}_{\rm det} + \hat{H}_h + \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$$

Quantized metric fluctuations  $\rightarrow$  h = operator

$$\hat{H}_{\rm SC} = \hat{H}_{\rm det} + H_h + \int d^3 \mathbf{x} h_{\mu\nu} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$$

"Classical" gravity: h = classical random variable, drawn from probability distribution P(h)

> Carney, Domcke, Rodd arXiv:2308.12988 Carney 2408.00094



Consider either quantum or classical EM fields:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{\mathrm{Q}} &= \hat{H}_{\mathrm{det}} + \hat{H}_{A} + \frac{e}{m} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} & \text{Classical,} \\ & \text{random } \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathsf{A}) \\ \hat{H}_{\mathrm{SC}} &= \hat{H}_{\mathrm{det}} + H_{A} + \frac{e}{m} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} \end{split}$$

Textbook perturbation theory leads to identical predictions for excited electron events:

$$\frac{dP(g \to c)}{dt} \approx \eta I(t) \Theta(\omega - \Delta)$$

 $\rightarrow$  Observation of discrete photoelectrons does not require quantization of the EM field

Analogous statement is true in gravity!

Glauber 1963 Mandel and Wolf, *Quantum Optics and Coherence* 

How to distinguish classical/quantum models?

Consider a single-mode state expressed in the coherent state basis:

$$ho = \int deta P(eta) \ket{eta}ig\langleeta |\,,\;\;\int deta P(eta) = 1$$

- If  $P(\beta) \ge 0$  everywhere  $\rightarrow$  classical ensemble exists
- If  $P(\beta) < 0$  somewhere  $\rightarrow$  can find observable w/ no classical explanation



Produced by e.g. squeezed state.

Inconsistent with semiclassical model.

$$\langle \Delta N^2 
angle - \langle N 
angle = \eta^2 \Delta t^2 \int deta P(eta) \Delta I_eta^2$$

Observed in optics in 1980's



Detecting sub-Poisson statistics requires detector efficiency  $\sim O(1)$ 

$$\langle \Delta N^2 
angle - \langle N 
angle = \eta^2 \Delta t^2 \int deta P(eta) \Delta I_eta^2$$

In Weber bar:  $\eta \sim 10^{-20}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Impossibly difficult to detect this.

## FAQ

- 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?
- 2. What if gravity isn't quantum?
- 3. Can we detect gravitons (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 4. Can we detect entanglement generation by the Newton interaction (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 5. Do you expect large quantum gravity fluctuations in interferometers?

 $|LL\rangle \rightarrow |LL\rangle + |LR\rangle + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\Delta\phi}|RL\rangle + |RR\rangle$ 

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{G_{\rm N} m^2 \Delta x \Delta t}{\hbar d^2} \approx 60 \times \left(\frac{m}{1 \, \rm ng}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta x}{1 \, \mu \rm m}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta t}{1 \, \rm s}\right) \left(\frac{1 \, \rm mm}{d}\right)^2$$

All of these parameters are extremely challenging to achieve, especially B field gradient, coherence time.

Example: gas collisions cause  $\Gamma_{deco} \sim nAv \sim 10^8$  Hz for XHV (P  $\sim 10^{-14}$  torr), T  $\sim 4$  K He gas

Probably need more practical version, but I think some version of this is going to get done in my lifetime





$$id\ket{\psi} = -\sum_{i
eq j} rac{G_N m_i m_j}{|\hat{f x}_i - \hat{f x}_j|} dt \ket{\psi}$$

"Standard quantum gravity"



$$id\left|\psi
ight
angle = \left[-\sum_{i
eq j}rac{G_{N}m_{i}m_{j}}{\left|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i}-\left\langle\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}
ight
angle
ight|}dt+dW
ight]\left|\psi
ight
angle$$

No entanglement ("classical gravity")

Not coherent—has intrinsic noise

Kafri, Milburn, Taylor 1404.3214 + many others



Idea: ask a simpler question: is the gravitational interaction reversible/coherent?

Quantitative bound from any given experiment.



Non-entangling models:  $\Gamma > 0$ 



Carney, Muller, Taylor 2101.11629 Streltsov, Pedernales, Plenio 2111.04570 Ma et al 2111.00936



Here is a theorem: any model in which scattering is

- unitary,
- Lorentz invariant, and
- has coherent, entangling  $V_N = G m_1 m_2/r$  interaction

**Necessarily has quantized gravitational radiation.** (simple consequence of optical theorem)

 $\rightarrow$  Testing these assumptions = testing if graviton exists



Belenchia et al 1807.07015 Carney 2108.06320 Danielson, Satishchandran, Wald 2112.10798

Central idea: require non-relativistic scattering amplitudes to be non-relativistic limit of a Lorentz-invariant amplitude

 $\mathbf{p}_1'$  $\mathbf{p}_2'$  $V_N(t)$  $\mathbf{p}_1$  $\mathbf{p}_2$  $\mathbf{p}_2'$  $\mathbf{p}_1'$  $\mathbf{p}_2$  $\mathbf{p}_1$ 

Example: consider  $2 \rightarrow 2$  Newtonian scattering

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{p}_{1}\mathbf{p}_{2}\to\mathbf{p}_{1}'\mathbf{p}_{2}'} = \langle \mathbf{p}_{1}'\mathbf{p}_{2}'|V|\mathbf{p}_{1}\mathbf{p}_{2} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{G_{N}m^{2}}{(\mathbf{p}_{1}'-\mathbf{p}_{1})^{2}+\mu^{2}} \longrightarrow \frac{G_{N}m^{2}}{(p_{1}'-p_{1})^{2}+\mu^{2}}$$

$$3\text{-vector} 4\text{-vector}$$

Here  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  is a regulator. Unique Lorentz-invariant extension to this order

(Can multiply and add functions which are trivial near the pole  $\Delta p^2 = -\mu^2$ )



$$1 = S^{\dagger}S \iff \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \to \beta} = \sum_{X} \mathcal{M}(\alpha \to X) \mathcal{M}^{*}(\beta \to X)$$

Unitarity + Lorentz invariance requires final-state, quantized gravitational radiation (dashed lines). Argument fixes coupling ~  $G_N^{1/2}$  m, but not spin.

 $\rightarrow$  If you can experimentally determine that the gravitational interaction is unitary and entangling, you prove that the gravitational radiation field is quantized.

Carney 2108.06320

## FAQ

- 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?
- 2. What if gravity isn't quantum?
- 3. Can we detect gravitons (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 4. Can we detect entanglement generation by the Newton interaction (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
- 5. Do you expect large quantum gravity fluctuations in interferometers?

#### 5. "Holographic noise"?



Hogan 0706.1999 Chou et al 1512.01216 Verlinde, Zurek 1902.08207 Carney, Karydas, Sivaramakrishnan 2409.03894 Measure the length variations in an interferometer with baseline L. Two predictions:

$$\langle \Delta L^2 \rangle = \pi L_{\rm pl}^2 \left[ \frac{12}{9} \log(L\Lambda) + \text{const.} + \mathcal{O}((L\Lambda)^{-1}) \right]$$

Graviton fluctuations

 $\langle \Delta L^2 \rangle = c L_{\rm pl} L, \quad c = \mathcal{O}(1)$ 

"Holographic noise"

With L ~ km ~  $10^{38}$  \* L<sub>pl</sub>, the latter might be observable. The former is definitely not.

(If c=1, this gives a position uncertainty  $\Delta x \sim 10^{-16}$  m, but unclear frequency dependence...)

Violates EFT locality

### 5. "Holographic noise"?



Holometer experiment @ Fermilab

~MHz-band GW detector, basically small LIGO



New GQuEST experiment @ Caltech + Fermilab

~MHz-band GW detector, small LIGO + sideband photon counting readout

## 5. "Holographic noise"?

In perturbation theory, string theory (and therefore AdS/CFT) should reduce to graviton physics at this energy, so the naive expectation is the EFT prediction

Graviton calculation gives a **finite** answer once you include an actual model for a detector. But maybe holographic effects in non-perturbative calculation?

$$egin{aligned} \dot{X} &= -rac{\kappa}{2}X + \sqrt{\kappa}X_{\mathrm{in}} \ \dot{Y} &= -rac{\kappa}{2}Y + \sqrt{\kappa}Y_{\mathrm{in}} + F_h + gx \ \dot{x} &= rac{p}{m} \ \dot{p} &= -m\omega_m^2x - \gamma p + F_{\mathrm{m,in}} + gX. \end{aligned}$$

$$F_h = \frac{\sqrt{2\overline{n}}\omega_\ell \ell_{\rm Pl}}{V} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{k}}}} \sum_s \left[W_s(\mathbf{k})b_{\mathbf{k},s} + h.c.\right]$$



Jaekel, Reynaud 1994 Carney, Karydas, Sivaramakrishnan 2409.03894

## FAQ

- 1. Do we have a theory of quantum gravity that works?
  - a. Yes, ordinary graviton physics
- 2. What if gravity isn't quantum?
  - a. Pretty weird! Some "classical gravity model" examples, are there better ones...?
- 3. Can we detect gravitons (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
  - a. Yes, but does not distinguish between quantum and classical gravity
- 4. Can we detect entanglement generation by the Newton interaction (in practice)? If so, does it matter?
  - a. Probably eventually, and does distinguish between quantum/classical gravity
- 5. Do you expect large quantum gravity fluctuations in interferometers?
  - a. Maybe! But would be large violation of basic graviton prediction...