Summer meeting FOR2926 Regensburg - July 2024

EBERHARD KARLS UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN



Ignacio Borsa University of Tübingen



In collaboration with B. Jäger. JHEP 07 (2024) 177

Summer meeting FOR2926 Regensburg - July 2024





Ignacio Borsa University of Tübingen



Shower Monte Carlo event generators Fixed Order vs. Parton Showers

> POWHEG NLO + PS matching Extension to polarized DIS

Introduction

EIC Phenomenology Jet production in polarized DIS @ EIC





Shower Monte Carlo event generators Fixed Order vs. Parton Showers

> POWHEG NLO + PS matching Extension to polarized DIS

Introduction

EIC Phenomenology Jet production in polarized DIS @ EIC





SHOWER MONTE CARLO EVENT GENERATORS





SHOWER MONTE CARLO EVENT GENERATORS

How do we go from this...?





SHOWER MONTE CARLO EVENT GENERATORS

and the constant of the consta Freeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee

...to this?

Pythia manual arXiv:2203.11601 [hep-ph]



Experimental measurement





Sudakov factor $\Delta_t = \exp\left[-\int d\Phi'_r \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P(z') \frac{1}{t'} \theta(t-t')\right]$













SMC (LO + Parton Shower)

- Correct Sudakov suppression at small p_T
- Possible to simulate events at the hadron level
- Incorrect distributions at high p_T
 - Normalization accurate at LO

Try to merge the two approaches, trying to keep the desirable features of both Potential problems with double counting of real emission!

> Consistent matching of NLO+PS MC@NLO - Frixione, Webber (2001) POWHEG - Nason(2004)

Matching of NNLO+PS MiNNLOps - Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi (2020)







EVENT GENERATORS FOR EIC

• The EIC science program will require precise simulations of electron-ion collisions

- Development of event generators that include QCD and QED higher order corrections and polarization in all stages of simulation.
- Update on older polarized lepton-hadron event generators:

PEPSI Mankiewicz, Schäfer, Veltri (1992) DJANGOH Charchula, Schuler, Spiesberger (1994) (Both with unpolarized PS)

2024 Jan 23 [hep-ph] arXiv:2203.11110v3

CP3-22-12

LAB-PHY-22-3576

MCNET-22-04

Submitted to the US Community Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2021)

Event Generators for High-Energy Physics Experiments

We provide an overview of the status of Monte-Carlo event generators for high-energy particle physics. Guided by the experimental needs and requirements, we highlight areas of active development, and opportunities for future improvements. Particular emphasis is given to physics models and algorithms that are employed across a variety of experiments. These common themes in event generator development lead to a more comprehensive understanding of physics at the highest energies and intensities, and allow models to be tested against a wealth of data that have been accumulated over the past decades. A cohesive approach to event generator development will allow these models to be further improved and systematic uncertainties to be reduced, directly contributing to future experimental success. Event generators are part of a much larger ecosystem of computational tools. They typically involve a number of unknown model parameters that must be tuned to experimental data, while maintaining the integrity of the underlying physics models. Making both these data, and the analyses with which they have been obtained accessible to future users is an essential aspect of open science and data preservation. It ensures the consistency of physics models across a variety of experiments.



FERMILAB-PUB-22-116-SCD-T IPPP/21/51 LU-TP-22-12 LA-UR-22-22126 PITT-PACC 2207 UCI-TR-2022-02

MCEG Requirements for EIC arXiv:2203.11110

Ignacio Borsa - Summer FOR Meeting - Regensburg

DESY-22-042

OUTP-22-03P

KA-TP-04-2022

P3H-22-024





Introduction Shower Monte Carlo event generators Fixed Order vs. Parton Showers

> POWHEG NLO + PS matching Extension to polarized DIS

EIC Phenomenology Jet production in polarized DIS @ EIC





NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG

$$d\sigma_{\rm SMC} = B(\Phi_n) \, d\Phi_n \, \left\{ \Delta_{t_0} + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) \frac{1}{t} \, \Delta_t \, d\Phi_r \right\}$$
$$d\sigma_{\rm NLO} = d\Phi_n \, \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r \, C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] \, d\Phi_r \right\}$$

POWHEG - Frixione, Nason, Oleari (2007) POWHEG-BOX - Alioli, Nason, Oleari, Re (2010)



$$d\sigma_{\rm SMC} = B(\Phi_n) \, d\Phi_n$$
$$d\sigma_{\rm NLO} = d\Phi_n \, \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_n \right] \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{\text{POWHEG}} = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n) \right\}$$

NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG

 $\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta_{t_0} + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) \frac{1}{t} \Delta_t d\Phi_r \right\}$ $d\Phi_r C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \bigg] + \big[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \big] d\Phi_r \bigg\}$ $\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r$

POWHEG - Frixione, Nason, Oleari (2007) POWHEG-BOX - Alioli, Nason, Oleari, Re (2010)



NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG

$$d\sigma_{SMC} = B(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta_{t_0} + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) \frac{1}{t} \Delta_t d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{NLO} = d\Phi_n \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{POWHEG} = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$$
POWING

$$\overline{B}(\Phi_n) = B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \int d\Phi_r \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] \right\}$$

$$\Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) \sim \exp\left[- \int d\Phi_r' \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right]$$

POWHEG - Frixione, Nason, Oleari (2007) POWHEG-BOX - Alioli, Nason, Oleari, Re (2010)





NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG

$$d\sigma_{SMC} = B(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta_{t_0} + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) \frac{1}{t} \Delta_t d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{NLO} = d\Phi_n \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{POWHEG} = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$$
POWHEG POWHEG = $\overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$
POWHEG POWHEG = $\overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$

$$d\sigma_{\rm SMC} = B(\Phi_n) \, d\Phi_n \, \left\{ \Delta_{t_0} + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) \frac{1}{t} \, \Delta_t \, d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{\rm NLO} = d\Phi_n \, \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r \, C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] \, d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{\rm POWHEG} = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) \, d\Phi_n \, \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \, \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) \, d\Phi_r \right\}$$
POWHEG SUDA

Hardest emission generated according to the POWHEG Sudakov and $\overline{B}(\Phi_n) \rightarrow \text{Positive weight}$ •Subsequent radiation generated using parton-shower programs + p_T -veto \rightarrow avoids double counting NLO accuracy on integrated quantities Leading log accurate





NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG

$$d\sigma_{SMC} = B(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta_{t_0} + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) \frac{1}{t} \Delta_t d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{NLO} = d\Phi_n \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{POWHEG} = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$$
Power

$$\overline{B}(\Phi_n) = B(\Phi_n) + \left[V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \int d\Phi_r \left[R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] \right]$$

Hardest emission generated according to the POWHEG Sudakov and $\overline{B}(\Phi_n) \rightarrow \text{Positive weight}$ •Subsequent radiation generated using parton-shower programs + p_T -veto \rightarrow avoids double counting NLO accuracy on integrated quantities Leading log accurate







NLO + PARTON SHOWER - DIS

NLO + PS implementation of DIS in POWHEG Banfi, Ferraro Ravasio, Jäger, Karlberg, Reichenbach, Zanderighi (2023)



Modified mappings for radiation phase-space $d\Phi_{n+1}^{\alpha} = d\overline{\Phi}_n d\Phi_{\text{rad}}^{\alpha}$ • The modifications allow to preserve DIS variables x_B, Q^2, y Sizable PS effects for EIC kinematics







NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POLARIZED POWHEG

$$d\sigma_{\text{POWHEG}} = \Delta \overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta^{\text{pol}}(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{\Delta B(\Phi_n)} \Delta^{\text{pol}}(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$d\Phi_r = \Delta V(\Phi_n) + \left[\Delta V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r \Delta C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \int d\Phi_r \left[\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - \Delta C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] d\Phi_r \right] \Delta (\Phi_n, p_T) \sim \exp\left[- \int d\Phi_r' \frac{\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r')}{\Delta B(\Phi_n)} \right] d\Phi_r$$

$$d\sigma_{\text{POWHEG}} = \Delta \overline{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta^{\text{pol}}(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{\Delta B(\Phi_n)} \Delta^{\text{pol}}(\Phi_n, p_T) d\Phi_r \right\}$$
$$\Delta \overline{B}(\Phi_n) = \Delta B(\Phi_n) + \left[\Delta V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r \Delta C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] + \int d\Phi_r \left[\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) - \Delta C(\Phi_n, \Phi_r) \right] d\Phi_r \right\} \qquad \left[\Delta (\Phi_n, p_T) \sim \exp\left[- \int d\Phi_r' \frac{\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r')}{\Delta B(\Phi_n)} \right] d\Phi_r \right]$$



IB, Jäger JHEP 07 (2024) 177

Modifications to handle polarized DIS

Polarized Matrix elements & PDFs NLO Subtraction scheme \rightarrow implementation of polarized FKS subtraction Frixione, Kunszt, Signer(1996) de Florian, Frixione, Signer, Vogelsang (1999) Modifications to handle negative weights **Polarized shower**





Introduction Shower Monte Carlo event generators Fixed Order vs. Parton Showers

> POWHEG NLO + PS matching Extension to polarized DIS

EIC Phenomenology Jet production in polarized DIS @ EIC





PHENOMENOLOGY

JET production in polarized DIS @ EIC (NC +CC) $e^- + p \rightarrow \ell + \text{jet} + X$ $E_e = 18 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $E_p = 275 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ $(\sqrt{s} = 140 \,\mathrm{GeV})$ $49 \,\text{GeV}^2 \le Q^2 \le 1000 \,\text{GeV}^2$ and $0.04 \le y \le 0.95$ $5 \,\text{GeV} \le p_T^{\text{jet}}$ and $|\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 3$ anti- k_T algorithm with R = 0.8 and E-recombination

Polarized PDFs: DSSV14 [de Florian, Sassot, Stratmann, Vogelsang (2014)]

PS: Antenna shower from VINCIA, as implemented in PYTHIA8

Theoretical uncertainty from 7-poin scale variation



PHENOMENOLOGY - NC

IB, Jäger JHEP 07 (2024) 177



NLO accuracy for observables inclusive in the additional radiation











IB, Jäger JHEP 07 (2024) 177

POWHEG NLO PYTHIA NLO + PS (Had. + MPI) 10^{1} $\frac{10}{10^{-2}} \frac{10}{10^{-2}} \frac{10}{10^{-2}}$ POLDIS NNLO 10^{0} Δ Δ NC $e^- p \rightarrow e^- + jet + X$ $49 \,\text{GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 1000 \,\text{GeV}^2$ 0.04 < y < 0.95 10^{-2} · $p_T^{\text{jet}} \ge 5 \,\text{GeV} \quad |\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 3$ 10^{-3} Δ 1.4.2 NLO Δ Ratio to Δ 0.80.6 0.40.235 1520 2530 40 10 5 $p_T \,[{\rm GeV}]$

PHENOMENOLOGY - NC





IB, Jäger JHEP 07 (2024) 177



PHENOMENOLOGY - CC



SUMMARY

NLO+PS implementation of polarized DIS in POWHEG

Monte Carlo Generators for eH scattering crucial to fully realize the EIC physics program. Spin program will require matching of higher order corrections with parton showers that consistently account for polarized beams.

Extended POWHEG scheme to account for the helicities of the initial-state particles. Sizable PS effects in selected regions of phase space for EIC kinematics. Important step towards the development of polarized parton-shower generators for EIC, with polarization included in all stages of simulation.







EXTRA SLIDES

NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POLARIZED POWHEG

$$d\sigma_{\text{POWHEG}} = \Delta \overline{B}(\Phi_n) \, d\Phi_n \, \left\{ \Delta^{\text{pol}}(\Phi_n, p_{Tmin}) + \frac{\Delta R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{\Delta B(\Phi_n)} \, \Delta^{\text{pol}}(\Phi_n, p_T) \, d\Phi_r \right\}$$

Modifications to handle polarized DIS Polarized Matrix elements & PDFs NLO Subtraction scheme \rightarrow implementation of polarized FKS subtraction Frixione, Kunszt, Signer (1996) de Florian, Frixione, Signer, Vogelsang (1999) $P_{ab}^{<}(z,\epsilon) \rightarrow \Delta P_{ab}^{<}$ for ISR $dM \to \Delta M$ Modifications to handle negative weights $\sigma(+)$ $n_{+} =$ $\sigma(+) + |\sigma(-)|$

IB, Jäger JHEP 07 (2024) 177

$$n_{-} = \frac{\sigma(-)}{\sigma(+) + |\sigma(-)|}$$



NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG



alata Alataka Laganar







Ignacio Borsa - Loops & Legs - April 18th 2024

NLO + PARTON SHOWER - POWHEG



Ignacio Borsa - Loops & Legs - April 18th 2024