## Generalized Geometry

Ümit Ertem

August 5, 2024

## Contents

1	Basic Concepts	2
2	Spinors and Complex Structures	6
3	Supergravity	10
4	T-duality	14

## Lecture 1

## **Basic Concepts**

Let us consider an n-dimensional manifold M. We denote the tangent and cotangent bundles whose sections correspond to vector fields and 1-forms as TM and  $T^*M$ , respectively. The basic idea of generalized geometry is to combine vectors and 1-forms into a single object. So, we consider the direct sum of tangent and cotangent bundles  $E = TM \oplus T^*M$  which is called the generalized tangent bundle. Sections of E are called generalized vectors and a generalized vector  $\mathcal{X} \in \Gamma E$  can be written in terms of a vector field  $X \in \Gamma TM$  and a 1-form  $\xi \in \Gamma T^*M$  as

$$\mathcal{X} = X + \xi$$

or we can also denote it in the matrix form as  $\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}$ . On E, one can define a bilinear form <, > which is written for generalized vectors  $\mathcal{X} = X + \xi$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = Y + \eta$  as follows

$$\langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (i_X \eta + i_Y \xi)$$
 (1.1)

where  $i_X$  denotes the interior derivative or contraction with respect to the vector field X. So, for a single generalized vector, we have  $\langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X} \rangle = i_X \xi$ . The symmetry group of this bilinear form is the orthogonal group

$$O(TM \oplus T^*M) = \{ \mathbb{A} \in GL(TM \oplus T^*M) | < \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}. > = < .,. > \}$$

and this bilinear form has signature (n,n). We can see this as follows. For the basis vectors  $\{X_a\} \in \Gamma TM$  and basis 1-forms  $\{e^a\} \in \Gamma T^*M$  for a=1,...,n, we can define a basis for generalized vectors as

$$\mathcal{X}_A = X_a + \epsilon e_a$$

where A=1,...,2n and  $\epsilon=\pm$ . For  $\epsilon=1,<,>$  gives a + sign and for  $\epsilon=-1,<,>$  gives a - sign. The elements of O(n,n) are in the form of

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{A} & \beta \\ B & -\mathcal{A}^T \end{array} \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}: \Gamma TM \to \Gamma TM \qquad , \qquad \mathcal{A}^T: \Gamma T^*M \to \Gamma T^*M$$
 
$$\mathcal{B}: \Gamma T^*M \to \Gamma TM \qquad . \qquad \mathcal{B}: \Gamma TM \to \Gamma T^*M$$

and we can write  $B \in \Lambda^2 T^*M$  as a 2-form and  $\beta \in \Lambda^2 TM$  as a 2-vector. The invariance of <, > under O(n,n) gives shear transformations for generalized vectors. We have B- and  $\beta$ -transforms of  $\mathcal X$  as follows

$$e^B(X+\xi) = X+\xi+i_XB \tag{1.2}$$

$$e^{\beta}(X+\xi) = X + i_{\xi}\beta + \xi. \tag{1.3}$$

A metric g defined on M can be seen as a map  $g:TM\to T^*M$  which is invertible. So, we can define a generalized metric on E induced by g as follows

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{-1} \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.4}$$

So, the  $\mathcal{G}$ -dual of a generalized vector  $\mathcal{X} = X + \xi$  can be written as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{X} \\ \widetilde{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma E^*$$

where  $\widetilde{X}$  denotes the g-dual 1-form and  $\widetilde{\xi}$  denotes the g-dual vector field and  $E^* \simeq E$ . In general, the B-transform of  $\mathcal{G}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{G}_B = \begin{pmatrix} -g^{-1}B & g^{-1} \\ g - Bg^{-1}B & Bg^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1.5)

which is the generalized metric induced by g and B. Since  $\mathcal{G}^2 = I$ ,  $\pm 1$  eigenspaces of  $\mathcal{G}$  which are denoted by  $V^{\pm}$  give a metric splitting of E

$$TM \oplus T^*M = V_+ \oplus V_-$$

corresponding to maximally positive/negative definite subbundles. The generalized metric  $\mathcal{G}$  can be written in terms of the bilinear form restricted to  $V_{\pm}$  as follows

$$\mathcal{G}(\,,\,) = <\,,\,>_{+} - <\,,\,>_{-}$$
 (1.6)

We can also define an admissible metric for which the choices of  $V_{\pm}$  correspond to

$$V_{\pm} = \left\{ X \pm \widetilde{X} : X \in \Gamma TM \right\}.$$

Similar to the Lie bracket [,] of vector fields on  $\Gamma TM$ , we can also define a bracket operation on E called Courant bracket as  $[,]_C: \Lambda^2 E \to \Gamma E$ . For two generalized vectors  $\mathcal{X} = X + \xi$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = Y + \eta$ , Courant bracket is defined as

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2} d \left( i_X \eta - i_Y \xi \right)$$

$$\tag{1.7}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_X$  is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field X and d is the exterior derivative. If we define the anchor map  $\pi : \Gamma E \to \Gamma TM$  as  $\pi(\mathcal{X}) = X$ , then the Courant bracket satisfies

$$\pi([\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C) = [\pi(\mathcal{X}), \pi(\mathcal{Y})] \tag{1.8}$$

which can easily be seen from the definition. Although the Courant bracket is an antisymmetric bracket, it does not satisfy the Jacobi identity and hence does not correspond to a Lie bracket. However, with the definition of the Courant bracket, E admits a Courant algebroid structure. For  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \Gamma E$ , a Courant algebroid  $(E, \lceil, \rceil_C, <, >, \pi)$  satisfies the following properties

$$\pi([\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C) = [\pi(\mathcal{X}), \pi(\mathcal{Y})]$$

$$[\mathcal{X}, f\mathcal{Y}]_C = f[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C + (\pi(X)f)\mathcal{Y} - \langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \rangle df$$

$$\langle df, dg \rangle = 0$$

$$[\mathcal{X}, [\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}]_C]_C + [\mathcal{Y}, [\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{X}]_C]_C + [\mathcal{Z}, [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C]_C = dNij(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$$

denotes the Nijenhuis operator defined by

which is satisfed by the generalized tangent bundle  $E = TM \oplus T^*M$ . Here Nij

$$Nij(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Z}) = \frac{1}{3} \left( < [\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}]_C, \mathcal{Z} > + < [\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Z}]_C, \mathcal{X} > + < [\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{X}]_C, \mathcal{Y} > \right).$$

On E, one can define an isotropic splitting  $s: \Gamma TM \to \Gamma E$  and this determines a closed 3-form H on M given by

$$H(X, Y, Z) = (i_Y i_X H)(Z) = \langle [s(X), s(Y)]_C, s(Z) \rangle$$
 (1.9)

for  $X,Y,Z\in\Gamma TM$ . The cohomology class of H classifies the Courant algebroids on M up to isomorphism. In the presence of non-zero H, one can modify the Courant bracket and define the twisted Courant bracket  $[\,,\,]_H$  as follows

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_H = [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C - i_X i_Y H. \tag{1.10}$$

 $[\,,\,]_H$  also defines a Courant algebroid structure for dH=0. Another bracket operation on E which satisfies the Jacobi identity but not antisymmetric is the Dorfman bracket  $[\,,\,]_D$  and it is defined as

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_D = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - i_Y d\xi. \tag{1.11}$$

Courant bracket correspond to the antisymmetrization of the Dorfman bracket and it can also be written as

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_C = [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_D - d < \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} > . \tag{1.12}$$

Dorfman bracket originates from the Lie derivative on differential forms and it is also considered as the generalized Lie derivative

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{Y} = [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_D$$

and it satisfies

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}(f\mathcal{Y}) = (\pi(\mathcal{X})f)\mathcal{Y} + f\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{Y}$$

$$[\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}] = \mathbb{L}_{[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_{D}}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z}) = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{Z}.$$
(1.13)

We can define a generalized connection  $\mathbb D$  on E which is compatible with the bilinear form <, > corresponding to the linear operator

$$\mathbb{D}: \Gamma E \to \Gamma(E^* \otimes E)$$

satisfying the following identities

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(f\mathcal{Y}) = \pi(\mathcal{X})(f)\mathcal{Y} + f\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{Y}$$
 (1.14)

$$\pi(\mathcal{X}) < \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} > = < \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} > + < \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Z} >$$
 (1.15)

where f is a function,  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \Gamma E$  and we denote  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} = i_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ . Here i is the contraction operator of elements in  $\Gamma E^*$  with elements in  $\Gamma E$ . Given a standard connection  $\nabla$  on TM, we can define the generalized connection  $\mathbb{D}^{\nabla}$  induced by  $\nabla$  as

$$\mathbb{D}^\nabla = \nabla \oplus \nabla$$

corresponding to

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\nabla} \mathcal{Y} = \nabla_{\pi(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{Y}. \tag{1.16}$$

However, there is a freedom in choosing a connection as  $\mathbb{D}' = \mathbb{D} + \alpha$  also defines a connection where  $\alpha \in \Gamma(E^* \otimes o(E))$  and o(E) denotes the bundle of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of E w.r.t. <,>. However, by considering relevant torsion-free, metric compatible and divergence-fixing conditions, we can define a unique connection on E [ref].