

MInternational UON Collider Collaboration



Introduction and Timeline

D. Schulte On behalf of the International Muon Collider Collaboration



Funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them. Muon Collider, Annual Meeting, DESY, May 2025

IMCC Annual Meeting, May, 2025

ESPPU



Collaboration produced ESPPU input:

- Short, ten-page report (10p)
- Addendum to answers specific questions from ESPPU (18p)
- Back-up document (406p)
 - Assessment of collider status
 - R&D Plan
 - Important US contributions
 - Final polishing is ongoing, you Urgently sign up to support

| | | Muon Collabora |
|---|---|---|
| The Muon Collider Input to the European Nirategy for Particle Physics - 3026 update The International Collider Collaboration Contact persons: | Addundum (as The Mass Collidar | |
| Daniel Schulte ⁷ (daniel.schulte ⁴ te ern.ch) Federico Meloni ¹ (federico.meloni ¹⁰ dery.de) Chris Rogern ¹ (chris.nogers ¹⁰ stfc.ac.uk) | Autoendum to: The Nution Collider | |
| Abstract Muons offer a mapper opportunity to built a compact high-energy elec- Muons offer a mapped strategies of the Standard Model and suppo- alleled reach bayout it. It will be a paradigm-shifting tool for particle physics representing the first collider to comhine the high-energy reach of | Terminet Princes Annue Constant's Constructions Constant (French, Andrecht, Constant), Dates (Schultz, (abstract, Andrecht, Constant), Prediction Schultz, (abstract, Constant), Calver, Response (colors.congret) (Glocas.ab) | The Muton Collider Supplementary report to the European Strategy for Particle Physics - 2026 update The International Muco Collider Collaboration |
| a proton collider and the high precision of an electron-position collider, the observation of the high precision of an electron-position of the high precision of the electron of the high the material cost steps in the exploration of fundamental physics after the HL-LHC and a startard complexents in a future low-energy Higgs hereicy. Solve, the higher steps of the high energy community. The last Composed Starting and the precision of the high energy community. The higher composed Starting and the higher steps of the high energy community. The higher composed Starting and the high energy compared to the high energy comparison of the high energy comparison o | Alteriat Mones offer a unique opportunity to build a compact high-energy elec- troweak collider at the 10 TeV scale. A Mone Collider enables direct access to the underlying unique first start of material Model and suppo- playies representing the first collider to combine the high-energy reach of a product collider and the high precision of an electros-positron collider, which is high precision of an electros-positron collider, in the start of the start of the start of the start of the depletion of the first start of the start of the start of the comparison of first start of the start of the start of the comparison of the first start of the start of the start of the comparison of the high-energy remonstration. | The most up-to-date version of this document can be found at the following link: Lttps://webms.cern.ch/document/3284602/1 Abtract Monor offer a using exponently to build a compact high-energy dectoweak collider at the 10°V valie. A Monor Collider analysis direct acres to the us- derive simplicity of 65 scalad bodie for adjournalist rank browsit. B. |
| | The has Baropone Strategy for Farticlic Physics Update and later the Particle Physics Project Protostration Result in the Stropperd a study control of the Stropperd Strategy and the Stropperd Strategy Collider Colliderations. In this document, we direct address the questions listed in the guide- lians for impacts for the large-scale projects by the European Strategy Secretaria. | liter to combine the high-energy reach of a proton collider and the high preci- tion of an electron portion (affect, yielding a spiral posterial i application) generate than the sum of its individual pract, a high-energy masses collider is the matural sense step in the electronic of findament polycics after for HL- LFC and a natural completence to a function-energy Higgs intersey. Such a facility world application where the one-energy Higgs interse Collider, respirate findament of the step of the step of the step of the collider, respirate the step of the step of the facility set. |
| ¹ Oparindin Empirican yao U. Khothan Shakhari (KEN), Gone, Kolimbata Donashi Balkawa Kakawana (KEV, Lange Grang) ¹ STIC Ratefred Applyins Laborary (RAL), Record Dolard, Daine Kinghon | Construction Construction | The last Bingment Strengy for Parkick Physics (Tybes and last the Parkick Physics Physics Physics Index I and I an |
| | "STFC Ratherfoot Appleon Laboratory (IAAL), Mewell Oxford, Daniel Knapleon | |

R&D Progress

 \bigcirc

Design of many collider areas has progressed

- Lattice designs
- Technologies
- Detectors and MDI
- Demonstrator scope and design
- Cost and power consumption scale









D. Schulte Muon Collider, Annual Meeting, DESY, May 2025



| | | CERN | CERN | Green Field |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| | Unit | 3.2 TeV | 7.6 TeV | 10 TeV |
| Proton Driver | MW | 16.70 | 16.70 | 16.70 |
| 6D Cooling | MW | 11.76 | 11.76 | 11.76 |
| RLAs | MW | 10.77 | 10.77 | 10.77 |
| RCSs | MW | 44.19 | 108.93 | 124.68 |
| Collider | MW | 10.00 | 4.10 | 4.10 |
| General Cooling and Ventilation | MW | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| Total Power consumption | MW | 113.42 | 172.26 | 188.01 |

the second second

Site Specific Designs

Started studies for concrete site at CERN and Fermilab

- At CERN re-use SPS and LHC and construct facility on CERN land
- Neutrino flux appears solvable
- Adjusted parameters (3.2 and 7.6 TeV)
- Stage with one tunnel or two different tunnels
 - Use of different technologies



| CERN-specific muon collider parameters | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------|--------------------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Symbol | unit | Scen | ario 1 | Scena | ario 2 | | | |
| | | | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | | | |
| Centre-of-mass energy | $E_{ m cm}$ | TeV | 3.2 | 7.6 | 3.2 | 7.6 | | | |
| Target integrated luminosity | $\int \mathcal{L}_{	ext{target}}$ | ab^{-1} | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | | | |
| Estimated luminosity | $\mathcal{L}_{	ext{estimated}}$ | $10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | 0.9 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 10.1 | | | |
| Collider circumference | $C_{ m coll}$ | $\rm km$ | 11 | 11 | 4.8 | 8.7 | | | |
| Collider arc peak field | $B_{ m arc}$ | Т | 4.8 | 11 | 11 | 14 | | | |
| Collider dipole technology | | | NbTi | Nb ₃ Sn | Nb_3Sn | HTS | | | |
| | | | | or HTS | | | | | |





Goal is to be able to commit to a muon collider in 2036 to enable collider by 2050

- Considering the timeline drivers:
 - Magnet technologies: this excludes high-field HTS dipoles
 - Muon cooling technologies
 - Start-to-end design
 - Detector optimization

Ramping up of programme to rapidly gain confidence and balance risk vs R&D cost



Detector R&D and optimization

Other technologies

- RF technologies
- Cooling technology

D. Schulte Muon Collider, LDG Review, February 2025

R&D Deliverables and Resources

| Technolo | ogies I | Delivera | bles | | | | Ke | y para | meters | and go | als | | | | Munternationa UON Collide Collaboratio |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Magn | ets | | | | | | | | | |
| Target so | rget solenoid Develop conductor, winding and magnet technology | | | | t 1 n len | n inner gth, 20 | / 2.3 m T at 20 | outer o K | diamete | ers, 1.4 m | Technologies | Deliverables Magnets Develop conductor, winding and magnet | Key parameters and goals | | |
| Split 6D solenoid | t 6D cooling Demonstration of solenoid with cell integration | | | | | 51 | $0 \mathrm{mm} \mathbf{b}$ | ore, gap | $0.200\mathrm{m}$ | m, 7 T | at 20 K | Split 6D cooling solenoid Final cooling solenoid | technology Demonstration of solenoid with cell integration Build and test HTS prototype | length, 20 T at 20 K 510 mm bore, gap 200 mm, 7 T at 20 K 50 mm bore, 15 cm length, 40 T at 4 K | |
| Final coo solenoid | bling E | Build and | l test HT | 'S proto | type | | 50 | mm bo | re, 15 c | m lengt | h, 40 T | at 4 K | Fact ramping magnet system LTS collider dipole HTS RCS dipole HTS collider dipole HTS collider | Brototype magnet string and power converter Demonstrate Nb ₃ Sn collider dipole Demonstrate <u>RCS HPS uppole</u> Demonstrate HTS collider dipole Demonstrate HTS IR quadrupole | 20 mm x 100 mm 1 & T 3 3 T/e 160 mm diameter, 11 T, 4.5 K, 5 m long 30 mm x 100 mm, 10 T, 20 K, 1 m long 140 mm diameter, 14 T, 20 K, 1 m long 140 mm diameter, 300T/m, 4.5 K, 1m long |
| | Year | Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X | | quadrupole | Radiofrequency | |
| | Accelerator De | sign and | Technolo | gies | | | | | | | | 1 | Muon cooling RF cavities | Design, build and test RF cavities | 352 MHz and 704 MHz in 10 T held |
| | Material (MCHI | F) 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | | Klystron prototype | Design/build with Industry 704 MHz (and later 352 MHz) klystron | $20\mathrm{MW}$ peak power, $704\mathrm{MHz}/352\mathrm{MHz}$ |
| | FTE | 47.1 | 60.6 | 75.0 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 120.0 | 150.0 | 174.6 | 177.2 | 185.1 | | RF test stands | Assess cavity breakdown rate in magnetic field | $20\text{-}32\mathrm{MV/m},~704\mathrm{MHz}\text{-}3\mathrm{GHz}$ cavities in 7–10 T |
| | Demonstrator | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | SCRF cavities | Design SRF cavities, FPC and HOM couplers, fast tuners, cryomodules | 352 MHz, 1056 MHz, 1.3 GHz, 1 MW peak power (FPC) |
| | Material (MCHI FTE | F) 0.6 9.5 | 2.2 11.0 | 3.9 12.5 | 5.4 29.2 | 7.8 29.7 | 15.1 30.5 | 25.9 25.5 | 32.4 27.7 | 31.8 26.7 | 12.6 25.5 | | First 6D cooling cell 5-cell module | Muon Cooling Build and test first cooling cell Build and test first 5-cell cooling module | |
| | Detector | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | Cooling demonstrator | Design and build cooling demonstrator facility | Infrastructure to test cooling modules with muon beam |
| | Material (MCHI | F) 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | | Final cooling absorber | Experimental determination of final cooling absorber limit | 3×10^{12} muons, 22.5 μm emittance, 40 T field |
| | FTE | 23.4 | 46.5 | 70.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 116.4 | 139.5 | 139.5 | | Neutrino flux mover | Design & Other Technol Protoxne components and tests as needed | Range to reach O(+1mradian) |
| | Magnets | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | system | Instrumentation component designs | Bratevine commonants and tests as needed |
| | Material (MCHI | F) 3.0 | 4.9 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 4.7 | | Instrumentation | msu unientation component designs | Protoype components and tests as needed |
| | FTE | 23.3 | 28.4 | 36.4 | 40.9 | 44.3 | 47.1 | 46.2 | 37.7 | 36.1 | 29.4 | | Target Studies | components | 0.4 MJ/pulse, 5 Hz |
| | TOTALS | 1 | | | <u>I</u> | | | | | | 1 | | Start-to-End Facility Design | A start-to-end model of the machine consistent with realistic performance specifications | Lattice designs of all beamlines, simu- lation codes with relevant beam physics, tuning and feedback procedures |
| | Material (MCHI | F) 5.7 | 11.4 | 20.3 | 23.9 | 30.6 | 41.4 | 51.7 | 54.2 | 53.5 | 32.4 | 1 | | | |
| | FTE | 103.3 | 146.5 | 194.0 | 248.1 | 267.0 | 290.6 | 314.8 | 356.3 | 379.4 | 379.6 | | | | and the second se |

R&D Deliverables and Resources

| Technologi | ies 1 | Deliverat | oles | | | | Ke | y para | meters | and go | als | | | | | MInternationa UON Collider Collaboration |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------|-----------|---|-------|-------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Magnets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Target sole | noid l | Develop c | onducto | or, windi | winding and magnet 1 m inner / 2.3 m outer diameters, 1.4 m | | | | | | Techn | ologies | Deliverables Magnets | Key parameters and goals | | |
| | t | echnolog | У | | | | len | gth, 20 | T at 20 | K | | | Target | solenoid | Develop conductor, winding and magnet | 1 m inner / 2.3 m outer diameters, 1.4 m length 20 T at 20 K |
| Split 6D co | oling I | Demonstr | ation of | solenoi | d with o | cell | 510 | $0 \mathrm{mm}b$ | ore, gap | $5200\mathrm{m}$ | m, 7T | at $20\mathrm{K}$ | Split 6 | D cooling | Demonstration of solenoid with cell | 510 mm bore, gap 200 mm, 7 T at 20 K |
| solenoid | i | ntegratio | n | | | | | | | | | | Final c | cooling | Build and test HTS prototype | $50\mathrm{mm}$ bore, $15\mathrm{cm}$ length, $40\mathrm{T}$ at $4\mathrm{K}$ |
| F ' 1 1' | - | | | | | | 50 | 1 | 15 | 1 . | 1 40 00 | | East ro | mping | Prototype magnet string and power | 30mm x 100mm 1.8 T 3.3 T/e |
| Final coolii | ng I | Build and | test H1 | S proto | vpe | | 50 | mm bo | ore. $15 \mathrm{c}$ | m lengt | th. 40 T | at 4 K | LTS co | et system ollider dipole | converter Demonstrate Nb ₃ Sn collider dipole | 160 mm diameter, 11 T, 4.5 K, 5 m long |
| solenoid | | | | Tata | 1 | | | | | | | | HTS R | RCS dipole | Demonstrate RCS HTS dipole | 30 mm x 100 mm, 10 T, 20 K, 1 m long |
| | | | | IOLA | IS: | | | | | | | | HTS c | ollider dipole | Demonstrate HTS collider dipole | $140\mathrm{mm}$ diameter, $14\mathrm{T},20\mathrm{K},1\mathrm{m}$ long |
| | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | HTS c quadru | ollider apole | Demonstrate HTS IR quadrupole | 140 mm diameter, 300T/m, 4.5K, 1m long |
| | Year | Ι | Π | Dura | ition | 10 v | ears | rs | | | | | | -poie | Radiofrequency | |
| A | Accelerator De | sign and [| Fechnolo | | | - / | | | | | | | Muon cavitie | cooling RF | Design, build and test RF cavities | $352\mathrm{MHz}$ and $704\mathrm{MHz}$ in $10\mathrm{T}$ field |
| Ν | Material (MCH | F) 1.6 | 3.2 | | | | | | | | | | Klystr | on prototype | Design/build with Industry 704 MHz (and later 352 MHz) klystron | $20\mathrm{MW}$ peak power, $704\mathrm{MHz}/352\mathrm{MHz}$ |
| F | TE | 47.1 | 60.6 | Acce | lerat | or 3 | 00 N | ICHF | mate | erial | 1800 |) FTFv | RF tes | t stands | Assess cavity breakdown rate in magnetic field | 20-32 MV/m, 704 MHz–3 GHz cavities in 7–10 T |
| I | Demonstrator | | | / | iciut | 01. 0 | 00 1 | | matt | | 1000 | , , , , , , | SCRF | cavities | Design SRF cavities, FPC and HOM couplers, fast tuners, cryomodules | 352 MHz, 1056 MHz, 1.3 GHz, 1 MW peak power (FPC) |
| N | Material (MCH | F) 0.6 | 2.2 | Dete | ector: | | 20 N | ICHF | mate | erial. | 900 |) FTEV | | | Muon Cooling | |
| T | TTE | 0.5 | 11.0 | | | | | | | , | | •••=, | First 6 | D cooling cell | Build and test first cooling cell | |
| 1 | | 9.5 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 29.2 | 29.1 | 50.5 | 25.5 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 25.5 | | Coolin | ng | Design and build cooling demonstrator | Infrastructure to test cooling modules |
| 1 | Detector | | | | | | | | | | | | demon | nstrator | facility | with muon beam |
| N | Material (MCH | F) 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | | absorb | cooling ber | cooling absorber limit | 3×10^{-6} muons, 22.5 µm emittance, 40 T field |
| F | TE | 23.4 | 46.5 | 70.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 116.4 | 139.5 | 139.5 | | N | 0 | Design & Other Technol | ogies |
| | Magnets | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | system | no nux mover 1 | Protoype components and tests as needed | Range to reach O(±1mradian) |
| | Material (MCH | E) 30 | 40 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 13.4 | 117 | 72 | 66 | 17 | | Beam Instrur | mentation | Instrumentation component designs | Protoype components and tests as needed |
| L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L | | 23.3 | 28 / | 36.4 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 37.7 | 36.1 | 20 / | | Target | Studies | Target design and test of relevant components | $0.4\mathrm{MJ/pulse},5\mathrm{Hz}$ |
| 1 | | 25.5 | 20.4 | 50.4 | 40.9 | 44.5 | 47.1 | 40.2 | 51.1 | 50.1 | 29.4 | | Start-to | o-End Facility | A start-to-end model of the machine | Lattice designs of all beamlines, simu- |
| 1 | TOTALS | | | - | r | | | 1 | | 1 | | | Design | ш | specifications | tuning and feedback procedures |
| N | Material (MCH | F) 5.7 | 11.4 | 20.3 | 23.9 | 30.6 | 41.4 | 51.7 | 54.2 | 53.5 | 32.4 | | | | | |
| F | FTE | 103.3 | 146.5 | 194.0 | 248.1 | 267.0 | 290.6 | 314.8 | 356.3 | 379.4 | 379.6 | | | | | in the second second |

Example Prospective Resources

Already successful

- MuCol, IFAST, MUSIC, ...
- Fermilab site study
- Grants for US detector work
- DoE grant for RF test stand at SLAC

LDG might

- Integrate final cooling solenoid in the HFM programme
- Strengthen the HFM programme contribution to magnet protection studies
- Explore RF panel contributions

Other grant requests

• E.g. one for MUSIC calorimetry

Other sources to try

- Increased contributions from partners
- More grants
- •••







EU co-funding request via IFAST2

- Power converter (PSI, CERN and Infineon)
- FFAG (UKRI and ESS)
- Modulator for klystron (INFN and Scandinova)
- Mover system (CERN and ?)

Collaboration on target solenoid with fusion magnet technology F4P EUROFusion ENI Gauss Fusion



Physics case for intermediate facilities

Could leverage extra funding

Will try to collect this centrally

and the second s

Note: LDG

Reviewed the progress and the proposed R&D plan

• Good progress noted, estimated that 75% of Roadmap goals have been achieved

Reviewers: Norbert Holtkamp (chair), Mei Bai, **Frederick Bordry**, Nuria Catalan-Lasheras, **Barbara Dalena**, Massimo Ferrario, Andreas Jankowiak, Robert Rimmer, Herman ten Kate, Peter Williams



Recommendations:

- **Develop a Start-to-End Performance Simulator:** Create a comprehensive simulation framework to assess the robustness of key parameters, including luminosity, cost, and energy consumption. This tool should enable performance optimization, sensitivity analysis, and risk mitigation across the entire collider complex.
- Define and fund a High-Field HTS and RF Development Strategy: Establish a clear roadmap for the development of the high-field HTS magnet and the RF systems, including well-defined specifications and performance targets. Securing dedicated funding is essential to advance these critical technologies.
- **Conduct an Independent Review of Scope, Schedule, and Costs:** An urgent, independent evaluation is needed to assess the overall scope, timeline, and budget of the Muon Collider R&D program for the period 2026-2036. This review will be crucial to ensure that funding requests for this R&D phase are well-justified and aligned with project objectives.

Mike Seidel (LDG chair) wants to improve the effectiveness of LDG

Prepare a Roadmap update during the ESPPU process (early 2026)



Tentative IAC Charge



Review the R&D plan and give guidance for improvements

- Is the scope of the programme adequate?
- Is the timeline realistic?
- Does the programme set the right priorities?
- Are we exploiting synergies sufficiently and is there additional potential that we should explore?
- Do you have guidance for the muon collider and R&D plan strategy?

Reserve



D. Schulte Muon Collider, Annual Meeting, DESY, May 2025



-1-2

Cost and Power Consumption

Determined the cost scale for the collider

Different sources of uncertainty

- No design for all systems
 - Error bar in both directions
- Technologies (e.g. HTS cost development)
 - Error bar in both directions
- Design has not been optimised for cost
 - Error bar only to lower cost



Some sources of uncertainty exist

Several MW for cooling of losses in RCS cavities required

This is a great basis for future developments and optimisation





See Carlo on Wednesday



Scope (see Addendum)



- **Magnet technology** developments: HTS solenoids for muon production and cooling; and collider ring dipoles and fast-ramping magnet systems.
- **RF technologies**: components such as klystrons; cavities working in high magnetic field and with high beam loading; and test infrastructure.
- **Muon cooling technology**: the technologies for muon cooling and their integration into the 6D cooling and the final cooling system.
- The muon cooling demonstration programme: integration and test of cooling technologies; performance verification; and development of key components like HTS solenoids and RF systems.
- **Design and technologies**: study of key design challenges, including collider modelling; lattice optimization; advanced simulations; site impact studies to balance cost, efficiency, and risk; and technical developments as target, RF and MDI.
- **Detector R&D priorities**: simulation; technology; and software to enhance physics output while reducing beam-induced backgrounds



R&D Plan Resources



| Year | Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Accelerator Design and Technologies | | | | | | | | | | |
| Material (MCHF) | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| FTE | 47.1 | 60.6 | 75.0 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 120.0 | 150.0 | 174.6 | 177.2 | 185.1 |
| Demonstrator | | | | | | | | | | |
| Material (MCHF) | 0.6 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 15.1 | 25.9 | 32.4 | 31.8 | 12.6 |
| FTE | 9.5 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 25.5 | 27.7 | 26.7 | 25.5 |
| Detector | Detector | | | | | | | | | |
| Material (MCHF) | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| FTE | 23.4 | 46.5 | 70.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 116.4 | 139.5 | 139.5 |
| Magnets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Material (MCHF) | 3.0 | 4.9 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 4.7 |
| FTE | 23.3 | 28.4 | 36.4 | 40.9 | 44.3 | 47.1 | 46.2 | 37.7 | 36.1 | 29.4 |
| TOTALS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Material (MCHF) | 5.7 | 11.4 | 20.3 | 23.9 | 30.6 | 41.4 | 51.7 | 54.2 | 53.5 | 32.4 |
| FTE | 103.3 | 146.5 | 194.0 | 248.1 | 267.0 | 290.6 | 314.8 | 356.3 | 379.4 | 379.6 |

D. Schulte Muon Collider, Annual Meeting, DESY, May 2025 - ~

15

IMCC Partners

al er n

| IFIO | CFRN | 17 | | C1 | To see the base of the | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|--|----------|---------------------------|
| 50 | | 11 | INFN | FI | Tampere University | Tv | |
| FK | CEA-IRFU | | INFN, Univ., Polit. Torino | | HIP, University of Helsinki | ., CA | |
| | CNRS-LNCMI | | INFN, LASA, Univ. Milano | LAT | Riga Technical University | CA | Universite Lavai |
| | Ecoles des Mines St-Etienne | | INFN, Univ. Padova | СН | PSI | US | Iowa State University |
| DE | DESY | | INFN, Univ. Pavia | | University of Geneva | | University of Iowa |
| | Technical University of Darmstadt | | INFN, Univ. Bologna | | EPFL | | Wisconsin-Madison |
| | University of Rostock | | INFN Trieste | | HEIA-FR | | University of Pittsburgh |
| | КП | | INFN, Univ. Bari | BE | Univ. Louvain | | Old Dominion |
| UK | RAL | | INFN, Univ. Roma 1 | AU | НЕРНҮ | | Chicago University |
| | UK Research and Innovation | | ENEA | | TU Wien | | Florida State University |
| | University of Lancaster | | INFN Frascati | ES | I3M | | RICE University |
| | University of Southampton | | INFN, Univ. Ferrara | | CIEMAT | | Tennessee University |
| | University of Strathclyde | | INFN, Univ. Roma 3 | | ІСМАВ | | MIT Plasma science center |
| | University of Sussex | | INFN Legnaro | China | Sun Yat-sen University | | Pittsburgh PAC |
| | Imperial College London | | INFN, Univ. Milano Bicocca | | IHEP | | Yale |
| | Royal Holloway | | INFN Genova | | Peking University | | Princeton |
| | University of Huddersfield | | INFN Laboratori del Sud | | Inst. Of Mod. Physics, CAS | | Stony Brook |
| | University of Oxford | | INFN Napoli | | University of CAS | | Stanford/SLAC |
| | University of Warwick | Mal | Univ. of Malta | ко | Kyungpook National University | | |
| | University of Durham | FST | Tartu University | | Yonsei University | DoE labs | FNAL |
| | University of Birmingham | PT | | | Seoul National University | | LBNL |
| | University of Cambridge | SE | FSS | India | CHEP | | JLAB |
| NL | University of Twente | 52 | University of Unreals | mara | | | BNL |
| D Set | aulto Muon Collidor Appu | al Mootin | | Signed | MoC (58), <i>requested MoC</i> , contributor | Brazil | CNPEM 16 |

Tentative Accelerator Design Resources



| | | Millemational |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Area | Tasks | FTE ^{llider} |
| Proton complex | Accumulator ring; combiner ring; target delivery system | 2.6 |
| Target | Spent beam and losses; higher-power alternative | 1.3 |
| Front end | Capture efficiency | 1.3 |
| Cooling | System design optimisation; capture efficiency, tolerances | 3.9 |
| Final cooling | System design optimization; tolerances | 2.6 |
| Bunch merge | Lattice design | 1.3 |
| Linacs | Lattice design | 1.3 |
| Transfer lines | Injection/extraction in rings and transfer lines | 1.3 |
| RCS | Lattice design; neutrino flux mitigation; loss mitigation, tolerances, operational cons.; eddy currents | 3.9 |
| Collider ring | Neutrino flux mitigation/tolerances; optimisation of energy acceptance; magnet field imperfections | 3.9 |
| MDI | Continued support to detectors | 1.3 |
| Start-to-end studies | Code development; collection and simulation of lattices; system specification optimization; version | |
| | control | 3.9 |
| Collective effects | All "conventional" collective effects along the complex | 2.6 |
| Longitudinal dynamics | All along the complex; rings; linacs/cooling | 2.6 |
| Losses | RCS cavities and cold magnets; all along complex | 3.9 |
| Neutrino flux mitigation | Neutrino flux studies along the whole complex | 1.3 |
| Absorber collective effects | Model the collective effects on the absorber and back on the beam | 2.6 |
| Demonstrator | Modelling of demonstrator specific designs | 3.9 |
| Sum | | 45.5 |
| | | and the second second |



R&D Plan Fundamentals

The current R&D is based on the prioritised LDG Accelerator R&D Roadmap

- Goal: Assess whether investment into R&D is justified
- Design of systems containing largest risk for overall performance
- Design of the Critical Technology Elements (CTE)
- Strong interplay exists between CTE and system design
- Use state-of-the-art components where-ever possible

Proposed R&D programme

- Goal: Assess whether muon collider is feasible
- Ramp-up of resources to balance risk and investment
 - E.g. RF test stand -> cooling cell power test -> demonstrator to test one module -> several modules
- Further improve systems and expand study to all systems (start-to-end)
 - Use state-of-the-art components where possible and profit from R&D elsewhere
- Address the CTEs experimentally

Innovative nature of muon collider

- Requires to carefully prioritise the R&D
- Motivates early career scientists and engineers
- Results in important synergy with societal applications, e.g. collaborations with ENI and Infineon





R&D Plan Goals



Proposed R&D programme

- Goal: Assess whether muon collider is feasible
 - Enables to start decision process
- Ramp-up of resources to balance risk and investment
 - E.g. RF test stand -> cooling cell power test -> demonstrator to test one module -> several modules
- Further improve systems and expand study to all systems (start-to-end)
 - Use state-of-the-art components where possible and profit from R&D elsewhere
- Address the CTEs experimentally

Innovative nature of muon collider

- Requires to carefully prioritise the R&D
- Motivates early career scientists and engineers
- Results in important synergy with societal applications, e.g. collaborations with ENI and Infineon

Supporting R&D Timelines



Timeline is based on time required for R&D on the critical path

- **High-field magnets**
- Muon cooling technology and demonstrator

