

Non Collider Collaboration



## Collider reference configuration & Radial Build for CC/IR (and arcs)

15<sup>th</sup> May 2025





- → Agreement on a baseline for the collider final focus quadrupole (and possibly other magnets) radial build taking the operating temperature, cost and other constraints into account.
- → Establishment of common design constraints for the muon collider ring lattice (IR, CC and ARC sections), developed in agreement between the Beam Optics and Magnet WPs.
- → Identification of the **remaining issues and inputs** still to be provided by each WPs.





### Magnet input:

- What are the upper limits on the performance of the SC magnets: maximum field/gradient (or sextupolar component) vs magnet aperture given a fixed operating temperature and cost per meter?
- What is the **element interconnection length & bending magnetic field** along the interconnection?
- What magnet length is practically achievable for HTS magnets?
- What is the feasibility of reducing the wobbling period (L<sub>P</sub>) from 600m to 100m: require increasing the horizontal dipolar component in all the magnets.
  What is the practically achievable additional horizontal field in the magnets?
- How can we **tune the combined-function magnets** once they are built? Are there independent knobs for the dipolar and quadrupolar components?





### **Cryogenics input:**

- What **operating temperature for HTS magnets** is compatible with cryogenic systems? Is it conceivable to have multiple cryogenic systems to enable higher operating temperatures for magnets with lower field/gradient requirements in different sections of the collider ring?
- What is feasible in terms of **tungsten shielding thickness** for heat load and radiation damage in the different sections of the collider ring? (heat load limits versus operating temperature)

Current assumptions for collider design:

$$\rightarrow$$
 Ap. = 5 $\sigma$  + 5*cm*, T<sub>op</sub> = 10K (CC, MS, Arcs)

$$\rightarrow$$
 Ap. = 5 $\sigma$  + 4*cm*, T<sub>op</sub> = 4.5K (IR)





### **Beam optics input:**

- Where does the current lattice design stand in terms of magnet constraints? Are we far from what is technically feasible for the magnets?
  How the magnet constraints impact the lattice performance?
- Feasibility to adjust the design to meet the magnet constraints by slightly adapting the optics.

### **Other considerations:**

• How many years of operation do the magnets need to sustain? (Radiation damage reaches the limits for few IR quadrupoles after 5 years)





## Back-up/ Preparatory Work

# Beam optics perspective

ollaboration



### Magnets requirements $\rightarrow$ 'Technological constraints' in the design

- Highest possible dipolar and multipolar fields for large apertures.
- Excellent field quality (sensitivity to unwanted multipolar components).

### Impact of magnet performance and W shielding on the lattice performance:

• Conceivable to match  $\beta^*$  with any given magnetic field BUT main issues from chromatic aberrations (large for small  $\beta^*$  and worse for smaller IR quadrupole gradients)!







- Sensitivity study on  $\beta^*$  with different magnet constraints:
  - →Slightly reduced momentum acceptance with IR quadrupole fields meeting AG plots constraints compared to the results found with IR maximum quadrupole field set to 20T (for small  $\beta^*$ ).
- No unique solution for the collider lattice: a given luminosity does not directly correspond to a lattice with specific set of magnet constraints!
  - $\rightarrow$  It is not possible to define a unique set of magnet constraints that would allow to meet the target performances.









Radiation load to the final focusing magnets

- Design target: 5-10 MGy/y → 50 MGy during the collider lifetime.
- We assume an operational time of 1.2 × 10<sup>7</sup> s / year with 5–10 years operation.
- The damage is cumulative. In case of extended collider use lower limits must be taken.

Table: radial build for superconducting magnets				
Shield radial build	Thickness (mm)			
beam screen	0.01			
shield	2.53			
shield support +thermal insulation	1.1			
cold bore	0.3			
insulation (kapton)	0.05			
clearance + liquid helium	0.01			
Sum	4			

@D. Calzolari

MInternational UON Collider Collaboration

he	Table: radiation load for each magnet in the final focus				<b>FLUK</b>	
ar	Name	L [m]	Shield thickness [cm]	Coil aperture (radius) [cm]	Peak TID [MGy/y]	
er	IB2	6	4.53	16	1.3	
	IB1	10	4.53	16	3.1	
	IB3	6	4.53	16	4.9	
	IQF2	6	2.53	14	7.7	
	IQF2_1	6	2.53	13.3	4.6	
	IQD1	9	2.53	14.5	1.1	
	IQD1_1	9	2.53	14.5	3.7	

2.53

2.53

2.53

10.2

8.6

7

2

3

3

IQF1B

**IQF1A** 

IQF1

Front mask in tungsten



1	0	

6.4

3.6

3.5

MInternational UON Collider Collaboration

### Power load to the final focusing magnets



- Design target: 1/2% of the radiated power in the arcs, more margin in the IR?
- Decay product total power in the MDI around 160 kW → up to 15 W/m in cold mass



#### Table: power load for each magnet in the final focus

Name	L [m]	Power in element [W]	Power per meter [W/m]	Power fraction [%]
IB2	6	13.5	2.2	0.4
IB1	10	30.3	3.0	0.6
IB3	6	47.8	8.0	1.6
IQF2	6	77.2	12.9	2.5
IQF2_1	6	61.3	10.2	2.0
IQD1	9	34.3	3.8	0.8
IQD1_1	9	38.6	4.3	0.8
IQF1B	2	33.3	16.7	3.3
IQF1A	3	44.1	14.7	2.9
IQF1	3	47.2	15.7	3.1





## Power deposition & radiation damage Arc magnets

- Limiting factor for the arcs: power load to the cold mass
- Initial assumption: 4 cm shielding for cooling requirements
- If HTS magnets at higher T<sub>op</sub>(20K?): 4cm → 3cm for shielding thickness?



A. Lechner, https://indico.cern.ch/event/1250075/contributions/5342853

