

Integrating Microbunching and Intrabeam Scattering into OCELOT

Alex Brynes | STFC DESY-STFC-XFEL Collaboration Meeting

5/12/2024

Alex Brynes | Senior Accelerator Physicist UKRI STFC Daresbury Laboratory alexander.brynes@stfc.ac.uk



Motivations



High-brightness FELs rely on the **understanding** and **mitigation** of collective effects in order to achieve ultimate performance.

Two such effects that merit further study are:

- Microbunching instability (MBI);
- Intrabeam scattering (IBS).

A full understanding of these effects requires harmony between:

- Theory / semi-analytic calculations [1-3];
- Simulations [4-5];
- Measurements [6-8].

Many XFEL facilities could benefit from a systematic campaign of measurements and simulations to develop our understanding of these phenomena, and the interplay between them.



Alex Brynes | MBI & IBS in OCELOT | 5/12/24

Previous Studies







evaluations indicate that standard tools to model electron beam sources, not covering the observed physics effects, are insufficient and that new approaches are required.



Our result demonstrates the expected low slice energy spread ($\sim 2 \text{ keV}$) from the Cs₂Te based photoinjector and indicates slice energy spread growth in the high energy photoinjector, e.g., intrabeam scattering, microbunching instability, which is worth further studies.

Alex Brynes | MBI & IBS in OCELOT | 5/12/24

Implementation in OCELOT



Two new PhysProc classes have been added for MBI and IBS

IBS	IBS
For every unit_step along the beamline: • Calculate the bunching factor bo and the kernel of the integral equation K(t, s) (and the same in the energy plane) $b(s) = b_0(s) + \int_0^s K(\tau, s)b(\tau)d\tau$ • This includes the LSC and CSR impedances, and Landau damping (beam and lattice properties). We can also use a realistic value of the uncorrelated slice energy spread – a fundamental improvement over post-hoc calculations as in [3]. Note: this PhysProc does not change the properties of the beam; it only calculates the bunching factor along the lattice.	 unit_step along the beamline: the the increase in uncorrelated slice energy spread as a n of beam and lattice parameters. e formalism of [15], which provides different formulae for drifts, dispersive regions, and linacs. a kick in energy to each particle in the bunch based on a an distribution e are many assumptions built into the analytic theory of hvestigating their limits will be complicated! indamentally simpler method of simulating IBS than full rlo or particle-to-particle tracking, but may provide a stic' simulation for ultrarelativistic beams.

Initial Results





Comparisons between simulations and measurements are needed!

References



- 1. Z. Huang & K.-J. Kim, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 5, 074401 (2002)
- 2. M. Veturini, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 11, 034401 (2008)
- 3. C.-Y. Tsai et al, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 23, 124401 (2020)
- 4. J. Qiang et al, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 20, 054402 (2017)
- 5. M. Borland, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 11, 030701 (2008)
- 6. D. Ratner et al, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 18, 030704 (2015)
- 7. A. D. Brynes et al, Sci. Rep., 10, 5059 (2020)
- 8. A. D. Brynes et al, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 23, 104401 (2020)
- 9. S. Di Mitri, Proceedings of LEDS '24 (2024)
- 10. E. Gjonaj, Proceedings of LEDS '23 (2023)
- 11. S. Di Mitri et al, New J. Phys., 22, 083053 (2020)
- 12. A. D. Brynes et al, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 27, 074402 (2024)
- 13. E. Prat et al, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 25, 104401 (2022)
- 14. H. Qian et al, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 25, 083401 (2022)
- 15. S. Di Mitri & G. Perosa, Sci. Rep., 11, 7895 (2021)