CF metrology a first look

Melissa Almanza, C. Blanch, S. Huang, <u>A. Irles</u>, Carlos Orero, Roberto Cano

*AITANA group at IFIC – CSIC/UV























Two types of CF requested



 \triangleright 10 x Low Quality

 Same overall precision as first try in 2023 but using reamer for brush up the holes

 \triangleright 10 x High Quality

• "Extraordinary precision" and using reamer too



3



First look – using CMM camera



 \triangleright The goal is to measure

- the hole (C1) and sloted hole (C2) dimensions
- The overal dimensions of the CF
- The thickness
- WORK IN PROGRESS

⊳First look

- Visual inspection of the holes
- Manual tests of CF-Tframe connection.





First look – HQ CF



the hole (C1) and sloted hole (C2) dimensions



CF4





First look – LQ CF



the hole (C1) and sloted hole (C2) dimensions



CF1



LQ vs HQ (visual inspection?)



▷All CF made with LQ seem to have more spherical holes...



LQ vs HQ adjustements

>We made adjustement tests with the T-FRAME and "measured" how tight they are fixed (but manual tests)

HQ → Tight fit

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ubgcJJOubSg
- 6/10 CF fit (With some pressure) in the holes
- 4/10 CF the C1 does not fit... (can we enlarge it at IFIC ?? to be tested)

⊳LQ → loose fit

- https://youtube.com/shorts/3T6rWKYwsY4
- All of them have loose fit





Summary – next steps



▷Not ideal qualities... but enough for the Beam Test.

Detailed metrologies in progress

• To be followed with discussions with the company.

 \triangleright If the quality are bad...

- Other companies?
- Other solutions ? (i.e. using a very thick HVorFanout-kapton instead of a thin one and replacing the CF?)
- Modifications of the design of the CF?
- Alternatives to the "CF+pin+screw"?
- But let's first finish the metrologies and have the beam test experience.