FastSim Parametrization of Beam Dump using Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)

Oleksander Borysov, <u>Alon Levi</u>, Arka Santra, Noam Tal Hod Feb 12, 2024 LUXE SAS meeting

Overview

- Recap of previous talk (12/2)
 - What is the problem we're facing
 - FastSim approach using a generative neural network
- Issues with FastSim methods and how they were addressed
- Results
- Further steps

Recap

- Ideally use Geant4 to understand the background
- In practice:
 - We have 1.5 [nC] $\sim 10^{10} e^-$ per BX
 - Most of them end up in the beam dump
 - Each particle may generate secondary particles
 - Results in very long computation times: 2.6 hours for only $10^4 e^{-1}$
 - What we generated using Geant4 is $\,\sim 1\,\%\,$ of 1BX which requires 25k hours of computation time

Approaches to background simulation

- FullSim full Geant4 simulation, computationally heavy
- FastSim (correlation sampling) lacks accuracy for backscattered particles
- FastSim using Wasserstein GAN

Schematic diagram of the dump in the LUXE geometry



Particle Features



aken from Arka's slides*

WGAN method

- 1. Apply quantile transformation to the data
- 2. Generate data points from random noise
- 3. Pass real and generated data through critic
- 4. Determine loss via Wasserstein metric
- 5. Monitor Progress with KL divergence
- 6. Apply inverse quantile transformation

 $\mathscr{L}(p_r, p(z)) = \max_{w \in W, \theta \in \Theta} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_r}[f_w(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(z)}[f_w(g_\theta(z))] \\ \text{Expectation value from the} \\ \text{Original distribution} \end{bmatrix} \\ D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P \parallel Q) = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \log\left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) \end{bmatrix}$



Quantile Transformation

Consider energy for example



Data splitting

- GAN struggles to learn sharp feature -> Split the data
- We'll discuss the distribution for outgoing neutrons
- The case is similar for e^- , γ etc.





Beam dump and shielding





Made by Oleksander Borysov

Split learning

- Treat each region separately
- Overlap in training data
- During training we allow networks to produce events anywhere - "Leakage"
- No "Leakage" in production



Learning process









III







Data Production

- Concatenate the 3 regions
- Clean each region from points generated by other networks
- Enforce $r \le 4000$



-500



-3000 - 2000 - 1000

0

x[mm]

1000 2000 3000





FullSim

1D distributions



Computation times

- Generating 50M neutrons takes $\sim 0.5h$
- Advancing them via Geant4 will take $\sim 1h$
- Compared to Geant4 generation times we will save up to 3 orders of magnitude in computation time

Quantifying similarity to data

- Initial hyper-parameter tuning and testing was done until we saw a good match qualitatively, now we require a quantitative approach
- We have two 6-dimensional datasets, one generated by our WGAN: $X \sim H_X$, and another generated by Geant4: $Y \sim H_Y$
- Null hypothesis $H_X \neq H_Y$, we wish to see if $H_X = H_Y$
- Batched Energy Distance

Batched Energy Distance

- 1. Start with generated dataset X, "real" (Geant4) dataset Y
- 2. Split X and Y into 2 sets of batches
- 3. Make a 3rd set by permuting Y batches
- 4. Define Energy Distance $A := \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\|x_i - y_j\|}{N}, B := \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\|x_i - x_j\|}{N}, C := \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\|y_i - y_j\|}{N}$ $E_{n,m}(X, Y) := 2A - B - C$

Batched Energy Distance

- 5. Make 2 histograms: ED(X, Y), ED(Y', Y)
- 6. Perform two-sample-KS test on 1D distributions -> p-value !

Further steps

- Applying the same method to different particle types
- Extracting actual background from generated events

Thank you!