

## chunked reading and memory consumption in columnflow

Mathis Frahm

columnflow meeting

29.11.2024

## 2 Memory consumption in cf.CreateHistograms



chunked reading	g in Creat	~													
Task ~	Events	, c	chunksize v	#	Pool size	<b>~</b>	debug?	~	materialzation 🗸	Runtime (total)	~	0	Runtime (loop body) 🗸	# Max memory (VIRT) ~	# Max memory (RES) ~
CreateHistograr	227125	9 1	k			1	yes		PARTITIONS					6800	4700
		1	k			1	no		PARTITIONS					5600	3500
		1	k			2	no		PARTITIONS					6000	3800
		1	k			20	no		PARTITIONS					15500	9300
		1	k			1	yes		SLICES					3607	1611
		1	k			1	no		SLICES					3991	1615
		1	k			20	no		SLICES					5245	1620
		5	60k			2	no		PARTITIONS	0.	1:58		01:10	4067	1615
		1	00k			2	no		PARTITIONS	0.	1:12		00:50	4083	1638
		2	.00k			2	no		PARTITIONS	00	0:59		00:46	4100	1676
		2	.00k			4	no		PARTITIONS	0.	1:00		00:43	4240	1668
		5	60k			2	no		SLICES (fixed)	0.	1:13		00:54	4100	1651
		1	00k			2	no		SLICES (fixed)	00	0:54		00:43	4100	1662
		2	.00k			2	no		SLICES (fixed)	00	0:51		00:44	4100	170
		2	.00k			4	no		SLICES (fixed)	00	0:49		00:42	4400	171
		4	00k			4	no		SLICES (fixed)	00	0:45		00:42	4400	1810

- Input files: reduced events (500mb) + producer outputs (1400mb)
- Chunk size and pool size (after fix) does not significantly affect memory consumption (we always load full parquet files)
- larger chunks and more pools improve runtime
- processing during histogramming (complicated expressions) might introduce memory spikes during processing

## Memory consumption in cf.SelectEvents



chunked reading	in Salact	/ <b>(</b>								
		Chunksize ~	# Pool size	√ debι	ıg? v	materialzation ~	③ Runtime (total) ✓		# Max memory (VIRT) ~	# Max memory (RES)
SelectEvents 10	1042230	50k		2 no		SLICES (fixed)	02:32	01:26	3000	14
		100k		1 no		SLICES (fixed)	02:25	01:09	3200	16
		100k		2 no		SLICES (fixed)	01:56	01:06	4100	18
		100k		4 no		SLICES (fixed)	01:56	01:06	4600	23
		200k		2 no		SLICES (fixed)	01:50	00:59	3800	23
		50k		2 no		PARTITIONS	02:40	01:29	3100	14
		100k		2 no		PARTITIONS	02:19	01:08	3400	18
		100k		4 no		PARTITIONS	02:03	01:08	4200	22
		200k		2 no		PARTITIONS	01:46	01:00	4300	19
		200k		4 no		PARTITIONS	01:42	01:02	4700	29
		400k		1 no		PARTITIONS	02:34	00:55	5200	33
		400k		2 no		PARTITIONS	01:36	00:55	5600	35
		> filesize		1 no		PARTITIONS	02:22	01:03	8100	56
		200k		2 yes		PARTITIONS	02:29	00:56	3200	19
		100k		2 yes		PARTITIONS	02:47	01:02	2900	15

- pool size of 2 seems to be optimal (no runtime improvements with >2, significant runtime increase with ==1)
- chunksize of 100k as compromise between runtime and memory consumption (<2GB for 2.2GB nano input file)
  - reducing to 50k increases runtime by 30% and reduces memory consumption by 25%
  - increasing to 200k improves runtime by 5% and increases memory consumption by 25%
  - might be very different for other analyses/selectors (e.g. memory spikes during processing of chunk)