## Engineering Gravity via CFTs

Alejandra Castro, University of Cambridge WPC Theoretical Physics Symposium 2025 DESY, Hamburg

 $AdS_{d+1}/CFT_{d}$ 

The most influent result from string theory in the XXI century.

The AdS/CFT correspondence argues that a theory of quantum gravity in negatively curved spaces (AdS) is equivalent a conformal field theory (CFT).



It is an equivalence between two systems that live in different number of dimensions.

 $AdS_{d+1}/CFT_{d}$ 

Inspired by quantum black holes



Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  
$$S_{BH} = k_B \frac{c^3}{G_N \hbar} \frac{A_H}{4}$$

 $AdS_{d+1}/CFT_{d}$ 

Inspired by quantum black holes



Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  $S_{BH} = k_B \frac{c^3}{G_N \hbar} \frac{A_H}{4}$ 



### AdS/CFT: Practical uses

Quark-gluon plasma

High Tc superconductors

Relativistic hydrodynamics

Non-relativistic strongly coupled systems

Quantum information

Gravity teaches us about quantum phenomena!



### AdS/CFT & Quantum Gravity



A strategy: engineering quantum gravity in AdS via the Conformal Field Theory.

This requires a fundamental understanding of the duality, and a new perspective on CFTs.

### AdS/CFT: lessons on Quantum Gravity

A centerpiece in recent years: the Gravitational Path Integral (GPI)





A centerpiece in recent years: the Gravitational Path Integral (GPI)





Applied to black holes in various dimensions, yields quantities that are deeply rooted in properties of an underlying microstate description, e.g., black hole entropy and the Page curve.

A centerpiece in recent years: the Gravitational Path Integral (GPI)



Based on explicit results, the emerging lessons are:

- The low-energy description of quantum gravity in terms of a metric and matter fields needs to be understood as a coarse-graining.
- Classical results can change drastically due to quantum effects.
- Observables need to be organized according to new principles: quantum chaos, algebraic structures, ...







Can we derive in detail the GPI description from the UV?





Can we derive in detail the GPI description from the UV?

For specific  $AdS_{d+1}$  backgrounds in string theory, the duality involves a single Hamiltonian with a discrete spectrum.







Can we derive in detail the GPI description from the UV?

For specific  $AdS_{d+1}$  backgrounds in string theory, the duality involves a single Hamiltonian with a discrete spectrum.

How the GPI arises from this fundamental description is unclear.



Present two sharper questions that could address part of this puzzle.

$$Tr(e^{-\beta H}) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}g \, \mathcal{D}\phi_i \, e^{-I(g,\phi_i)}$$

Present two sharper questions that could address part of this puzzle.

$$Tr(e^{-\beta H}) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}g \, \mathcal{D}\phi_i \, e^{-I(g,\phi_i)}$$

1) Given a CFT, how to organize it such that quantum gravity is manifest?

2) Which CFTs capture classical (geometric) properties of gravity?

Simple situation based on CFT<sub>2</sub> that are well known:

Simple situation based on CFT<sub>2</sub> that are well known:



Simple situation based on CFT<sub>2</sub> that are well known:



Organized in terms of primary operators  $(h, \bar{h})$  and descendants.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{CFT}(\tau,\bar{\tau}) &= \sum_{h,\bar{h}} N_{h,\bar{h}} \, \chi_h(\tau) \chi_h(\bar{\tau}) \\ \mathbf{\dot{z}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{z}} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{Grav}(\tau,\bar{\tau}) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}g \; e^{-I(g)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{CFT}(\tau,\bar{\tau}) &= \sum_{h,\bar{h}} N_{h,\bar{h}} \,\chi_h(\tau) \chi_h(\bar{\tau}\,) \\ & \swarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}_{Grav}(\tau,\bar{\tau}) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}g \; e^{-I(g)} \end{aligned}$$









Important remarks:

- These are the only 2 minimal models that admit this very specific form.
- Alternative proposal by R. Janik [2502.19015], where  $Z_{Grav}$  is defined differently to accommodate an approximate definition of chaos in the Ising Model.
- Gravitational theory is very quantum (low+fixed central charge). We have no access to classical properties of the theory on AdS.

Moving forward, re-think how on should recast CFT observables.

Moving forward, re-think how on should recast CFT observables. For example, the partition function in

$$\mathcal{Z}_{CFT}(\tau,\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{h,\bar{h}} N_{h,\bar{h}} \,\chi_h(\tau) \chi_h(\bar{\tau}\,) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_c \setminus SL(2,\mathbb{Z})} Z_{light}(\gamma\tau,\bar{\gamma}\bar{\tau}) \,+ Z_{spec}(\tau,\bar{\tau})$$

One guiding principle could be the aspects of the GPI that lead to coarse/chaotic features: G. Di Ubaldo, E. Perlmutter, 2023 G. Di Ubaldo, E. Perlmutter, 2023

F. Haehl, C. Marteau, W. Reeves, M. Roshali, 2023 N. Benjamin, S. Collier, A.L. Fitzpatrick, A. Maloney, E. Perlmutter, 2021

Moving forward, re-think how on should recast CFT observables. For example, the partition function in

$$\mathcal{Z}_{CFT}(\tau,\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{h,\bar{h}} N_{h,\bar{h}} \,\chi_h(\tau) \chi_h(\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_c \setminus SL(2,\mathbb{Z})} Z_{light}(\gamma\tau,\bar{\gamma}\bar{\tau}) + Z_{spec}(\tau,\bar{\tau})$$

One guiding principle could be the aspects of the GPI that lead to coarse/chaotic features: single those features out in the CFT.

G. Di Ubaldo, E. Perlmutter, 2023 F. Haehl, C. Marteau, W. Reeves, M. Roshali, 2023 N. Benjamin, S. Collier, A.L. Fitzpatrick, A. Maloney, E. Perlmutter, 2021

This has two motivations behind it:

- Interplay of quantum gravity with quantum matter in the GPI.
- We are terrible at understanding the space of CFTs. This could give an interesting perspective of what is a "generic" CFT.
- Challenges:
  - Definition of Quantum Chaos in CFTs (tension with integrability).
  - Implementation of chaos in classification/construction of CFTs.

2) Which CFTs capture classical (geometric) properties of gravity?

Holographic CFT: A CFT whose dual gravity theory has a low-energy EFT description.

A few (but not all) properties associated to them are:

• Large central charge (large-N)

• Sparse spectrum (degeneracy of light operators are not controlled by N).

• Factorization of correlation functions, i.e., Generalized Free Fields.

0 ...

A few (but not all) properties associated to them are:

• Large central charge (large-N)

$$N \sim \frac{(\ell_{AdS})^{d-2}}{G_N} \gg 1$$

• Sparse spectrum (degeneracy of light operators are not controlled by N).

• Factorization of correlation functions, i.e., Generalized Free Fields.

0 ...

A few (but not all) properties associated to them are:

• Large central charge (large-N)

• Sparse spectrum (degeneracy of light operators are not controlled by N).

o Factorization of correlation functions, i.e., Generalized Free Fields.

0 ...

A few (but not all) properties associated to them are:

• Large central charge (large-N)

• Sparse spectrum (degeneracy of light operators are not controlled by N).

• Factorization of correlation functions, i.e., Generalized Free Fields.

0 ...

How many conditions do I need to impose? How stringent are the conditions?

A few (but not all) properties associated to them are:

• Large central charge (large-N)

• Sparse spectrum (degeneracy of light operators are not controlled by N).

• Factorization of correlation functions, i.e., Generalized Free Fields.

0 ...

How many conditions do I need to impose? How stringent are the conditions? Can we engineer CFTs that satisfy these criteria?

To engineer, start by selecting the material: CFT<sub>2</sub> based on Symmetric Product Orbifolds



To engineer, start by selecting the material: CFT<sub>2</sub> based on Symmetric Product Orbifolds



The orbifold introduces two class of states:

- $\circ$  untwisted sector: it keeps states that are invariant under  $S_N$ .
- $\circ$  twisted sectors: new states labelled by conjugacy classes of  $S_N$ .

Symmetric Product Orbifolds are excellent material since

• Appeal: Mathematical and analytic control, e.g., DMVV formula.

o Familiarity: D1D5 CFT.

• Utility: compelling features for AdS/CFT.



Symmetric Product Orbifolds are excellent material since

• Appeal: Mathematical and analytic control, e.g., DMVV formula.

• Familiarity: D1D5 CFT.

• Utility: compelling features for AdS/CFT.



What does  $Sym^{N}(C)$  teach us about sufficient conditions for a holographic CFT?

Differences from low-energy gravity

Similarities with low-energy gravity

Classification of Symmetric Product orbifolds

Differences from low-energy gravity

Similarities with low-energy gravity

Classification of Symmetric Product orbifolds



Universality: Several properties of  $Sym^{N}(C)$  are independent of the seed theory

| Universal Properties of Symmetric Product Orbifolds  |
|--|
| Similarities with low-energy gravity   |
| <ul> <li>Factorization of correlation functions</li> <li>Phase structure and entropy</li> <li>Thermal correlation functions of single trace operators</li> <li></li> </ul> |
| Differences with low-energy gravity  |

- Higher spin currents
- Hagedorn growth of light states
- o ...

Lesson: not all necessary conditions are sufficient to make a CFT holographic.

# Conclusion

Engineering tasks continues...

2) Which CFTs capture classical (geometric) properties of gravity?

• Determine observables that are better adapted to chaos and coarse-graining.

• Use these to understand the classification of CFTs.

2) Which CFTs capture classical (geometric) properties of gravity?

What are possible theories of quantum gravity that can be designed?

• What are the materials needed to assemble them?



Thank you!