### Early Career Researcher Input to the European Strategy for Particle Physics Update: White Paper

Fifty-five recommendations for the future of our field

#### Editors

Jan-Hendrik Arling<sup>1,\*</sup>, Alexander Burgman<sup>2,\*</sup>, Christina Dimitriadi<sup>3</sup>, Ulrich Einhaus<sup>4</sup>, Axel Gallén<sup>5</sup>, Abdelhamid Haddad<sup>6</sup>, Laura Huhta<sup>7</sup>, Armin Ilg<sup>8,§</sup>, Jan Klamka<sup>9</sup>, Elizabeth Long<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Madlener<sup>1</sup>, Arnau Morancho Tardà<sup>11</sup>, Emanuela Musumeci<sup>12,‡</sup>, Krzysztof Mękała<sup>1,9,†</sup>, Elena Pompa Pacchi<sup>13</sup>, Marvin Pfaff<sup>14</sup>, Daniel Reichelt<sup>15</sup>, Leonhard Reichenbach<sup>15,16</sup>, Birgit Stapf<sup>15</sup>, Francesco P. Ucci<sup>17,18</sup>, Erik Wallin<sup>19</sup> and Harriet Watson<sup>20,+</sup>

Contact: eppsu-ecr-organisers@cern.ch.

\*jan-hendrik.arling@cern.ch, \*alexander.burgman@fysik.su.se, \$armin.ilg@cern.ch, †k.mekala@uw.edu.pl, ‡emanuela.musumeci@cern.ch, +harriet.watson@cern.ch

#### Authors

Sagar Vidya Addepalli<sup>21</sup>, Bruno Alves<sup>22</sup>, Robert Mihai Amarinei<sup>23</sup>, Ricardo Barrué<sup>24</sup>, Lydia Brenner<sup>25</sup>, Giacomo Da Molin<sup>24</sup>, Arturo de Giorgi<sup>26</sup>, Bohdan Dudar<sup>27</sup>, Francesco Giuli<sup>28,29</sup>, Andrea Gurgone<sup>30,31</sup>, César Jesús-Valls<sup>32</sup>, Antoine Laudrain<sup>1</sup>, Martin J. Losekamm <sup>33</sup>, Rafał Masełek<sup>34</sup>, Wrishik Naskar<sup>35</sup>, Miquel Nebot-Guinot<sup>20</sup>, Marko Pesut<sup>8</sup>, Thomas Pöschl<sup>15</sup>, Efrain P. Segarra<sup>36</sup>, Rebecca Taylor<sup>14,15</sup>, Pavel Vana<sup>10</sup>, Hannah Wakeling<sup>37</sup> and Aidan R. Wiederhold<sup>38</sup>

Endorsed by the ECFA ECR Panel 27th of March, 2025

[2503.19862]

#### Krzysztof Mękała (UW/DESY)

36th Future Colliders @ DESY meeting, 04.04.2025

## Organisation

- process initiated by the the ECFA ECR panel in September '24 to collect the perspectives of young researchers (non-permanent or <10 years after PhD, studying or employed in Europe or at CERN)
- fully community-driven and open to everyone fulfilling the criteria, focused on commonalities, trying to converge on a common vision
- communication via Mattermost and regular online/hybrid meetings (2 dedicated in-person meetings at CERN)
- a broad survey circulated to get wider input from the community
- **general spirit**: today's ECRs are tomorrow's leaders

## Structure of the document

- Introduction
- Survey
- Recommendations
  - Careers and wellbeing of early career researchers
  - Early career community building, leadership and recognition
  - Communicating the importance of particle physics
  - Future particle physics projects
- Conclusions

# (Very) personal highlights

ECRs are concerned by the instability caused by frequent relocations and short-term contracts [10]. Post-doctoral positions should not be shorter than two years. The emphasis on long-term mobility for career advancement must be reconsidered to foster a more inclusive field (R. 1.2, 1.3).

ECRs should be more included in topical working groups and the organisation of events. Dedicated ECR sessions should be an integral part of conferences and similar events (R. 2.3).

# (Very) personal highlights II

In this view, the storytelling of particle physics research should emphasize the role of future experiments as particle observatories, rather than focusing solely on their potential for discovering new particles. Highlighting their ability to provide pro

ticles. Highlighting their ability to provide precise measurements, test fundamental theories, and explore unknown phenomena can reinforce enthusiasm within the field and enhance public

support for fundamental research (R. 3.9).

Thus, we conclude that the selection of the next collider facility should be guided by its technological innovation as the driving factor. The project should provide a comprehensive and exhaustive baseline programme; while a long-term vision for particle physics is important, the upgrade path should not be the primary motivation for building a specific collider. Regardless of the location of the next major project, it is crucial to ensure its openness to global collaboration (R. 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and ECFA question 3.b).

# More to be found <u>here</u> You can support the message by <u>signing the WP!</u>