Top at CLIC

Frank Simon^{1,2}, Katja Seidel^{1,2}, Stephane Poss³

¹MPI for Physics, Munich, Germany ²Excellence Cluster 'Universe', Munich, Germany ³CERN, Geneva, Swizerland

LCForum Meeting, DESY Hamburg, February 2012



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)





Measuring the Top Mass at CLIC

- Two approaches at Linear Colliders:
- Threshold scan:
 - Theoretically well understood
 - Measurement of top pair production cross section at several points around threshold
 - Requires dedicated operation of machine for extended period
- Mass measurement from invariant mass of decay products:
 - Theoretically problematic (experiments measure "event generator top mass", treatment of QCD corrections ill-defined) progress is being made
 - Requires excellent jet and lepton reconstruction, helped by kinematic constraints
 - Can be performed at arbitrary energy above threshold does not compete with other measurements, high integrated luminosity unproblematic





The Top Mass as a Benchmark Process

• Invariant mass reconstruction more challenging from the detector perspective:



• At 500 GeV: Direct comparison to studies performed for ILC





Experimental Conditions at CLIC

The bunch structure at CLIC

- 0.5 ns bunch spacing
- 312 bunches per train (354 at 500 GeV)



Beamstrahlung driven by energy and focusing

For 500 GeV:

- mean bunch energy loss:
 - ΔE/E ~ 7%
- coherent e⁺e⁻ pairs:
 - 2.0 x 10² / bunch crossing
- incoherent e⁺e⁻ pairs:
 - 8.0 x 10⁴ / bunch crossing
- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons interactions:
 - 0.3 / bunch crossing (13.3 GeV, 3.4 GeV in calorimeters)







The CLIC_ILD Detector

- Closely following the ILD detector concept, with modifications to account for higher energy and higher backgrounds
 - Relevant modifications:

Increased magnetic field: 4T

Increased depth of HCAL: 7.5 λ , achieved with Tungsten absorbers

Increased inner radius of vertex detector: 25 mm (31 mm @ 3 TeV), compared to 16 mm in ILD

In all detector systems: Time resolution on the few ns level (ns level in calorimeters): Introduce timing in particle flow event reconstruction







CLIC Event Reconstruction

CLIC event (Simulation at 500 GeV):

Integration over a full bunch train

• ~ 312 bunches

Reconstruction challenge:

Suppress pile up from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons interactions

- adds significant energy to events
- in particular in the forward region
- not in time with the physics event Reconstruction Technique: Particle Flow
- Pandora Particle Flow event reconstruction based on geometrical hit assignments
- Application of a combination of timing and pt cuts specially for low p_t particles to reject $\gamma\gamma$ \rightarrow hadron background events
- Different strength of cuts are available for 3 TeV and 500GeV center-of-mass energy



Top at CLIC LCForum 2012





Event Simulation

$\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{GeV}, \text{CLIC}$ beam energy spectrum			
process type	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	cross section σ	event generator
Signal $(m_{\rm t} = 174 {\rm GeV})$	$t\overline{t}$	$528\mathrm{fb}$	PYTHIA
Background	WW	$7.1\mathrm{pb}$	PYTHIA
Background	ZZ	$410\mathrm{fb}$	PYTHIA
Background	q ar q	$2.6\mathrm{pb}$	WHIZARD
Background	WWZ	$40{ m fb}$	WHIZARD

• Always: All possible decay channels simulated, selection of final states of interest as part of the analysis

• Use of PYTHIA to guarantee correct width of intermediate and final-state bosons







Event Classification

 Classification of top pair events based on W decay

Identification of isolated leptons:

- No lepton: fully-hadronic
- One lepton: semi-leptonic
- two or more leptons: fully-leptonic



- For further analysis: fully-hadronic and semi-leptonic candidates
 - Special case: τ final states should be rejected, poor mass measurement due to additional neutrino
 - Depending on τ decay, these events end up may end up in the signal samples
 - Rejection later in the analysis based on kinematic constraints







Jet clustering and Flavor Tagging

• Jet finding with

"hadron k_t " algorithm (Δη, Δφ)

- Exclusive Mode: Force to 4 or 6 jets (lepton excluded)
- Jet algorithm helps to reject background
- R value defines size of jet, cross checked with distribution of events without machine background
- Flavor tagging based on LCFI Vertex Package
 - Based on a neural net, trained with statistically independent top events
 - Every jet gets assigned with a b-tag value
 - Jets with highest two b-tag values are chosen to be b-jets







Jet combinatorics



Full-Hadronic events

- 4 light-jets
- 2 b-jets
- Calculation: $|m_{ij} m_W| + |m_{kl} m_W|$
- Minimum value defines best permutation







Disit

Kinematic Fit

Kinematic fit uses constraints from signal event topology to correct measured properties of decay products

- Constraints for four and six jet events:
 - Energy conservation
 - Momentum conservation
 - W mass equals 80.4 GeV
 - Equal top masses







Kinematic Fit

Kinematic fit uses constraints from signal event topology to correct measured properties of decay products

- Constraints for four and six jet events:
 - Energy conservation
 - Momentum conservation
 - W mass equals 80.4 GeV
 - Equal top masses
- Use kinematic fit for final Wb pairing
- Only very clean events pass kinematic fit
 - In case of fit failure: re-examine flavor assignment (recovers W decay into charm) 10% increase in success rate









Kinematic Fit and Background Rejection

Kinematik Fit

- Powerful Background Rejection for qq, WW, ZZ
- Rejection of unwanted signal events: full-leptonic events, tau- events

Binned likelihood rejection

- Seven input variables (Number of particles in event, value of b-tags, sphericity, ...)
- Likelihood cut of 0.6 chosen
- Training with independent sample Full-Hadronic









Kinematic Fit and Background Rejection

Kinematik Fit

- Powerful Background Rejection for qq, WW, ZZ
- Rejection of unwanted signal events: full-leptonic events, tau- events

Overall background rejection: > 99% Overall signal selection:

> Full-Hadronic: 35% Semi-Leptonic: 56%

- Signal efficiency could be improved
- Analysis goal: clean events, not maximized statistics



- Seven input variables (Number of particles in event, value of b-tags, sphericity, ...)
- Likelihood cut of 0.6 chosen
- Training with independent sample Full-Hadronic





12



Reconstructed Invariant Mass

Un-binned maximum likelihood fit over full range

- Combination of signal and background pdf
- Signal pdf is a convolution of a Breit-Wigner and a detector resolution function







Top Mass for 100 fb⁻¹ with CLIC_ILD at 500 GeV

- Results of the overall fit:
 - Full-Hadronic : m_{top} = 174.08 ± 0.08 GeV (stat)
 - Semi-Leptonic: m_{top} = 174.30 ± 0.09 GeV (stat) (generator values: m_{top} = 174 GeV, width: 1.37 GeV)
 Fitted width: stat errors of 220 MeV and 260 MeV depends on used fit function, smaller errors with ILD LOI fit
- ILC ILD top mass analysis for 100 fb⁻¹:
 - statistical error:
 - Full-Hadronic: 0.11 GeV
 - SemiLeptonic: 0.14 GeV
 - Full-Hadronic: Similar statistics in final distribution for ILD and CLIC_ILD study
 - Semi-Leptonic: Higher statistics in final distribution in CLIC_ILD study (improved handling of neutrino in MarlinKinFit)







Summary / Outlook

- Low energy version of CLIC also suitable for high precision measurements
 - More details in the CLIC_CDR:

http://lcd.web.cern.ch/LCD/CDR/CDR.html

- Machine background conditions and pile up under control
- 100 fb⁻¹ with CLIC_ILD at 500 GeV results in a statistical error of 80 (90) MeV on top mass in all-hadronic (semi-leptonic) decays
 - Comparable to ILD & SiD @ ILC
- Next steps: Study a threshold scan How does CLIC background & beam spectrum affect the precision?
 - Results expected in Summer





Backup







The Power of Kinematic Fitting





Top at CLIC LCForum 2012



A+ Ay>it

Comparison to ILD LOI Study



green markers / histogram: CLIC_ILD, this study

- Slightly narrower distribution for CLIC study in all-hadronic channel
- Increased statistics in CLIC study in semi-leptonic channel due to "neutrino fit object" as a new feature in MarlinKinFit





Conditions at CLIC: Beamstrahlung Details



- Coherent e⁺e⁻ pairs with angles < 10 mrad
 - Crossing angle and beam pipe opening at CLIC: 20 mrad
 - Outgoing beam: coherent pairs disappear in beampipe
- Incoherent pairs: reduced by solenoidal field, constrain innermost radius of vertex detector





Conditions at CLIC: Beamstrahlung Details



- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons: ~ 3.2 events / bx,
 - ~ 28 ch. particles in detector acceptance
 ⇒ 15 TeV in detector during bunch train,
 forward peaked
 - Requires precise time stamping and clever event reconstruction



- Crossing angle and beam pipe opening at CLIC: 20 mrad
- Outgoing beam: coherent pairs disappear in beampipe
- Incoherent pairs: reduced by solenoidal field, constrain innermost radius of vertex detector







Lepton Finder

- Classification of decay branch for each event
- Search for isolated leptons in a cone
 - 10 degree cone opening angle
 - Lepton energy > 10 GeV
 - Particles in cone: charged, energy > 2.5 GeV



No isolated lepton found

- Full-hadronic decay branch
- 6 jets

One isolated lepton found

 Semi-leptonic decay branch

Lepton Finder

 4 jets, isolated lepton, neutrino

- > 1 isolated lepton found
- Full-leptonic decay branch
- Events rejected





CLIC_ILD Detector - Main Features





