

# Deformation quantization of double Poisson brackets

Nikita Safonkin  
Leipzig University

based on arXiv:2506.00699

Quantum Universe Attract Workshop

# Introduction

Kontsevich, 1993 & Kontsevich + Rosenberg, 1998:

*A noncommutative structure of some kind on  $A$  should give an analogous “commutative” structure on all schemes  $\mathrm{Rep}_N(A)$  for  $N \geq 1$ .*

Van den Bergh, 2004:

$$A \text{ with } \{\!\{-, -\}\!\} \quad \overset{\mathrm{Rep}}{\rightsquigarrow} \quad \mathrm{Rep}_N(A) \text{ with } \{-, -\}_N$$

Calaque, 2010: How to quantize double Poisson brackets?

# Double Poisson brackets

## Definition 1 (Van den Bergh, 2004)

A *double Poisson bracket* on  $A$  is a linear map  $\{\!\{-, -\}\!\} : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$  such that

- ▶  $\{\!\{a, b\}\!\} = -(12)\{\!\{b, a\}\!\},$  (cyclic antisymmetry)
- ▶  $\{\!\{a, -\}\!\} \in \text{Der}(A, A \otimes A)$
- ▶  $\mathbb{J}ac(a, b, c) = 0,$  (double Jacobi identity)

$$\text{Rep}_N(A) = \text{algebra homomorphisms } A \longrightarrow \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{k})$$

## Theorem 2 (Van den Bergh, 2004)

Let  $\{\!\{-, -\}\!\}$  be a double Poisson bracket on  $A$ . There is a  $\text{GL}_N$ -invariant Poisson bracket on  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_N(A))$ .

## Quantizations: commutative vs noncommutative

- ▶  $\mathcal{A}$  commutative algebra  $m : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with a Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- ▶ A quantization of  $\{-, -\}$  is an associative linear map

$$\star : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]]$$

such that  $\star = m + O(\hbar)$  and  $[-, -]_\star = \{-, -\}\hbar + O(\hbar^2)$ .

## Quantizations: commutative vs noncommutative

- ▶  $\mathcal{A}$  commutative algebra  $m : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with a Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- ▶ A quantization of  $\{-, -\}$  is an associative linear map

$$\star : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]]$$

such that  $\star = m + O(\hbar)$  and  $[-, -]_\star = \{-, -\}\hbar + O(\hbar^2)$ .

- 
- ▶  $A$  associative algebra  $m : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A$  with a double Poisson bracket  $\{\{-, -\}\} : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$
  - ▶ A quantization of  $\{\{-, -\}\}$  is an “associative” collection of linear maps

$$\star\star_{n,m} : A^{\otimes n} \otimes A^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \left( A^{\otimes n+m} \otimes S(A_{\hbar}) \otimes \mathbb{k}[S(n+m)] \right) [[\hbar]]$$

where  $A_{\hbar} = A / [A, A]$  and  $S(n+m)$  is the symmetric group.

### Definition 3 (S., 2025)

**Sketch:** A quantization of a double Poisson bracket  $\{\{-, -\}\}$  is a collection of linear maps

$$\star\star_{n,m}: A^{\otimes n} \otimes A^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \left( A^{\otimes n+m} \otimes S(A_{\hbar}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[S(n+m)] \right) [[\hbar]]$$

such that

1.  $\star\star_{n,m} = (\text{concatenation } A^{\otimes n} \otimes A^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes n+m}) + O(\hbar)$

2.  $\star\star_{1,1} - (12) \star\star_{1,1} (12) = \left( \{\{-, -\}\} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes (12) \right) \hbar + O(\hbar^2)$

+ higher analogs for  $\star\star_{n,m}$  with  $n, m \geq 1$

3. “associativity”:

$$(\alpha \star\star_{n,m} \beta) \star\star_{n+m,k} \gamma = \alpha \star\star_{n,m+k} (\beta \star\star_{n+m,k} \gamma)$$

4. extra conditions pairing  $\star\star_{n,m}$  with the multiplication in  $A$

# The KR principle and the quantization formula

- $\{-, -\}_N$  the Poisson bracket on  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_N(A))$  induced by a double Poisson bracket  $\{\!\{-, -\}\!\}$

## Theorem 4 (S., 2025)

*Any quantization  $\star\star$  of the double Poisson bracket  $\{\!\{-, -\}\!\}$  canonically induces a (commutative)  $\text{GL}_N$ -invariant quantization of  $\{-, -\}_N$ .*

Quantizations of double Poisson brackets satisfy the  
Kontsevich-Rosenberg principle!

Kontsevich, 1997: quantization formula for  $\mathbb{R}^d$

## Theorem 5 (S., 2025)

*Any double Poisson bracket on  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$  admits a quantization.*

# Double Hochschild cochain complex

- ▶ Associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$
- ▶ Shifted Hochschild cochain complex  $C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})[1]$
- ▶ Deformations of  $m : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \iff MC\left(C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})[1]\right)$

## Theorem 6 (S., 2025)

*There is a dg Lie algebra  $\mathbb{C}^\bullet(A, A)$  such that*

$$\text{double deformations}^1 \text{ of } A \iff MC(\mathbb{C}^\bullet(A, A))$$

*and there is a homomorphism of dg Lie algebras*

$$\mathbb{C}^\bullet(A, A) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_N, \mathcal{A}_N)[1]; \quad \mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}_N(A))$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Definition 3 above without 2) = without fixing the double bracket

# Double Formality Theorem

Ginzburg, Schedler, 2010: Differential operators satisfying KR.

S.,2025: poly-differential operators satisfying  $\text{KR} + \mathcal{C}_{\text{diff}}^{\bullet}(A, A)$ .

## Theorem 7 (S.,2025)

Let  $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$ . There is an  $L_{\infty}$ -quasi-isomorphism  $\mathcal{U}$  making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\mathcal{C}_{\text{diff}}^{\bullet}(A, A)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathcal{C}_{\text{diff}}^{\bullet}(A, A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H(C_{\text{diff}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}_N, \mathcal{A}_N)[1]) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & C_{\text{diff}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}_N, \mathcal{A}_N)[1], \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{k}^{dN^2})$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the  $L_{\infty}$ -quasi-isomorphism constructed by M.Kontsevich in his seminal paper in 1997.