# Introduction to the Phase 2 Tracker Upgrade





Andreas Mussgiller CMS Tracker Upgrade Meeting 20 December 2011



### Outline

- > Tracker Layout
- > Module Design





### **Tracker Layout Tool**

- > Design studies performed by Stefano Mersi
- tkLayout developed by Nicoletta de Maio and Stefano Mersi
  - places modules in 3D space
  - assign material to volumes (with different categories)
  - estimate tracking performance
  - allows for a quantitative comparison of layouts
  - used to optimize tracker layouts
- > No Monte Carlo, no track fit

Transverse momentum error







### **Tracker Module Options**

> Currently two module design options are considered



> Both modules allow for a local pT measurement and trigger output for L1

> PS Module provides z information





### **Tracker Layout Options**

### PS modules up to r = 60cm







> 2S modules for r > 60cm



### **Material Budget**







### **Tracking Resolution**









### **Trigger Resolution Potential**

#### Transverse momentum (10 GeV) Π 4.5 С $0 \rightarrow 0.7$ 4 3.5 10 GeV pT res. [%] $0.7 \rightarrow 1.4$ 3 2.5 F $1.4 \rightarrow 2.1$ σ 2 m 1.5 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1200 2.2 -1000 2.0 σ 1 N 800 2.2 4 600 2.5 400 1.0 1.0 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.5 200 0.6 800 1200 1600 2000 0 **S2**





## **Tracker Layout Options Summary**

### Tracking

- central and intermediate region have similar performance
- forward region favours the layout with end-cap
- > Trigger pT
  - central region insensitive to layout
  - intermediate region favours the unstacked barrel-only layout
  - forward region favours barrel-only layouts
- > Trigger longitudinal impact parameter
  - z-resolution of 7mm in central and intermediate region for all layouts
  - forward region favours stacked barrel-only, then barrel-only and then end-cap layout
- Other considerations
  - barrel-only layouts have twice the amount of material in the forward region
  - Number of modules is higher for barrel-only layouts
  - too many fibres needed for barrel-only layouts and one fibre/GBT per module









### **Design of the 2S Module**



- > 2 sensors (10 cm x 10 cm) at a spacing of 1 mm 4 mm
- > 16 CBCs + 2 concentrator chips + GBT + DC/DC converter
- > 4 contacts/connections to cooling





### **Design of the 2S Module cont.**





DFS

### **Preliminary Thermal Finite Element Results**



- > Power consumption of sensors calculated for 1x10^15 neq/cm^2, 600V Bias and -20°C
- > Final numbers for power consumptions of CBCs still needed





### **Deformation Results**



- > Results shown are from a study of the PS module
- Maximum deformation is ~75 um
- Spacing of sensor stays nearly constant crucial for trigger option





### **Summary and Conclusion**

- Modules without trigger capability have not been followed for the last year
- Current design work and electronics R&D focuses on 2S and PS
  - PS module has trigger logic integrated into pixel chips
  - 2S module needs extra concentrator chip for triggering
- > FEA for the PS module have improved the design
- Currently starting to do the same thing for the 2S module
  - Dedicated workshop on all aspects of the 2S module during the next tracker week (30/01/12)
  - Want to have a balanced (thermal vs. thermo-mechanical vs. material budget) design ready by then
  - Workshop intends to get us to the point at which the design is ready for prototyping
- > FEA are a nice tool in the design phase
- > However, they are only as good as the input provided, e.g. material properties
- One eventually also needs to measure the thermal performance and deformation of prototypes and the final module designs



