ATLAS NAF user report.

Some use cases, feedback, and requests from the DESY HH ATLAS group

Karl-Johan Grahn, DESY

6th Annual Workshop of the Helmholtz Alliance "Physics at the Terascale"

4.12.2012





Use cases



- >Some use cases from the HH ATLAS group:
- >Analysis
 - Top, SUSY, Standard model, Jet calibration
 - ROOT-based analysis: SFrame, TopRootCore. Some Athena.
 - Typically run on D3PDs stored on local group disk (HH or ZN).
 - I/O driven: Large input, typically tens of terabytes for one analysis iteration.
 - Thousands of jobs not uncommon.
 - Need file-based scratch space for outout. dCache/dq2 data sets not flexible enough.
 - Output stored to Lustre/Sonas. Order ~ 1 TB.
 - Smaller subsequent jobs run with both input and output in Sonas. Either interactive or batch.
- >Event generation, theory calculations
 - E.g., Alpgen
 - No/small input
 - Low(er) I/O, CPU intensive

Cross-site issues



- With current NAF setup, input split between the Hamburg and Zeuthen sites.
- Some analyses experienced problems running cross-site jobs (ZN dCache input on HH nodes or vice versa).
- >Input usually a lot larger output. Need to run where input is.
- >With the harder split of NAF 2.0, highly desirable to have all ATLAS resources in one place.
- >Awaiting NAF 2.0, Sonas mount in ZN would be desirable.

Experience and feedback



- >Asked for feedback within DESY HH ATLAS group. In general, people reasonably happy with current NAF performance.
- Clear improvement seen in NAF Helpdesk response times and problem resolution.
- <u>atlas-germany-naf-users</u> mailing list proven very useful for sharing info ("Am I the only one seeing this problem?").
- >AFS: No problems reported.
- > Sonas
 - In general, no complaints.
 - Migration from Lustre seems to have worked well.
 - General feeling: Faster and more stable than Lustre.
 - Contrary to Lustre, quotas in place. People have so far gotten the quotas they need.

Experience and feedback (cont.)



- >How to handle runaway interactive jobs in WGSs?
- Observation: Job congestion before major conferences. Probably hard to avoid...
- >Request: Schedule and notify of downtime well in advance (weeks).
- Request: Not schedule downtime during run-up to main conferences (Moriond + summer).
- Observation: Highly differing network throughput CERN->NAF between different WGSs.
- > Request: Direct access to CERN EOS possible?
- >Observation/request: DaTRI transfers from grid to local group disk sometimes slow (~days for a few TB). More monitoring?



BACKUP