### Multi-Jet cross section at NLO accuracy in QCD with NJet

### Benedikt Biedermann Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

### In collaboration with Simon Badger, Peter Uwer, Valery Yundin



6th Annual Helmholtz Alliance Workshop on "Physics at the Terascale" 3. – 5. December 2012

### **Multi-Jet Production**

Signal Process:

- Test of QCD
- Constrain coupling  $\alpha_s$  and PDFs

Background Process:

 crucial for physics search beyond the standard model

### Multi-jet production at leading order (LO):

- well automated tools like Alpgen, Madgraph, Sherpa+Comix
- multiplicities up to 12 jets possible
- rough estimate, though residual dependence on renormalisation scale

For precision jet physcis, next-to-leading order (NLO) accuracy required

# Multi-Jet Production @ NLO

| 2-jet production: | [Ellis, Kunszt, Soper 1992]<br>[Giele, Glover, Kosower 1993]                                              |            |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| 3-jet production: | [Nagy 2002, 2003] (all channels)<br>[Trocsanyi 1996] (gluon channe<br>[Kilgore, Giele 1997] (gluon channe | el)<br>el) |  |  |  |  |
| 4-jet production: | [Bern et al. 2012] (7 Tev<br>[Badger, BB, Uwer, Yundin 2013] (8 Tev                                       |            |  |  |  |  |
| toll              | arXiv:1209.0098, accepted for Publication PLB                                                             |            |  |  |  |  |

In this talk:

3-jet and 4-jet production at the LHC for 8 TeV at NLO

 massless QCD (massless b-quark in the initial state, top quark integrated out)

$$pp \rightarrow n \text{ jets} \qquad (a) \text{ NLO}$$

$$d\sigma_n = d\sigma_n^{\text{LO}} + \delta d\sigma_n^{\text{NLO}} + O(\alpha_s^{n+2})$$

$$\int_{\sim \alpha_s^n} \int_{\sim \alpha_s^{n+1}} \int_{\sim \alpha_s^$$

NLO contribution with dipole subtraction: [Catani, Seymour 1996]

$$\delta \sigma^{\text{NLO}} = \int_{n} \left( d\sigma_{n}^{\text{V}} + \int_{1} d\sigma_{n+1}^{\text{S}} \right) + \int_{n} d\sigma_{n}^{\text{Fac.}} + \int_{n+1} \left( d\sigma_{n+1}^{\text{R}} - d\sigma_{n+1}^{\text{S}} \right)$$



### Virtual Corrections

Rapid grow in complexity with increasing number of external legs e.g. 6-gluon one-loop amplitude around 15000 diagrams → use method of generalised unitarity

Ansätze for automation of virtual corrections:

NJet [Badger, BB, Uwer, Yundin 2012]

GoSam, Golem95, Samurai, FeynArts, Helac-1loop, Cuttools

Blackhat, MadLoop, Rocket, OpenLoops "numerical loop integration" [Becker et al.]

### public code

public codes public codes

private codes private codes

## NJet – what you get

provides full colour summed 1-loop amplitudes for all channels of 2-jet, 3-jet, 4-jet and 5-jet production in massless QCD

Based on NGluon [Badger, BB, Uwer 2011] generalised Unitarity with tree-level amplitudes as input to compute ordered 1-loop amplitudes with arbitrarily many legs

Equipped with Binoth Les Houche accord interface [Binoth et al. 2009] to be linked trivially with standard Monte Carlo Programs

Download at: www.bitbucket.org/njet/njet/downloads www.physik.hu-berlin.de/pep/tools arXiv:1209.0100

### • detailed analytical checks (IR-, UV-poles, [Nagy,Bern, Dixon, Kosower,Forde...]

• checks against individual phase space points from existing codes (Helac, Gosam, BlackHat)

# Colour, loop, primitives & co



### NJet – how it works

 Primitive amplitudes computed with generalised unitarity / [Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau] integrand reduction techniques

[Ellis, Giele, Kunszt] [Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Mastrolia]

- Berends-Giele recursion for the tree amplitudes [Berends, Giele 1986]
- Construction of the amplitudes in terms of primitives along the lines of [Ellis, Giele, Kunszt, Melnikov, Zanderighi]
- Sophisticated cache system to reuse tree-amplitudes when doing the permutation and helicity sums
- Dynamical switch on the fly between double and quadruple precision

[Hida,Li,Bailey]

- QCDLoop and FF for scalar integrals [van Oldenborgh 1990; Ellis, Zanderighi 2008]
- Written in C++ and in Python

## Timings for the Virtuals

| 2-jet          | $T_{sd}[s]$    | 3-jet                    | $T_{sd}[\mathbf{s}]$ | 4-jet     | $T_{sd}[\mathbf{s}]$ | 5-jet               | $T_{sd}$ | $[\mathbf{s}]$ |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|
| 4g             | 0.030          | $5\mathrm{g}$            | 0.22                 | 6g        | 6.19                 | 7g                  | 171      | 3              |
| 2u2g           | 0.032          | $2\mathrm{u}3\mathrm{g}$ | 0.34                 | 2u4g      | 7.19                 | 2u5g                | 195      | .1             |
| 2u2d           | 0.011          | 2u2d1g                   | 0.11                 | 2u2d2g    | 2.05                 | 2u2d                | 3g $45.$ | .7             |
| 4u             | 0.022          | 2u2d1g                   | 0.11                 | 4u2g      | 4.08                 | 4u3g                | 92.      | .5             |
| overything in  |                |                          | 2u2d2s               | 0.38      | 2u2d                 | 2s1g 7.9            | 9        |                |
| ever           | yunng          |                          |                      | 2u4d      | 0.74                 | 2u4d                | 1g 15.   | .8             |
| full c         | olour          | + full h                 | elicity              | 6u        | 2.16                 | 6u1g                | 47.      | .1             |
| + scaling test |                |                          |                      |           |                      |                     |          | 7              |
| <b>.</b>       |                | $gg \rightarrow 2g$      | $g gg \rightarrow$   | 58 88     | $\rightarrow 4g$     | $gg \rightarrow 3g$ | _        |                |
|                | standard sum   |                          | 0.03                 | 0.22      | 2 6                  | .19                 | 171.31   |                |
|                | de-symmetrized |                          | 0.03                 | 0.03 0.07 |                      | .57                 | 3.07     |                |

Basic channels for 4-jet production:

$$0 \to ggggggg \qquad 0 \to q\overline{q}q'\overline{q}'gg$$
$$0 \to q\overline{q}ggggg \qquad 0 \to q\overline{q}q'\overline{q}'q''\overline{q}''$$

Benedikt Biedermann

## Numerical set up

- MSTW2008 PDF set
- anti-kt jet algorithm as implemented in FastJet with jet radius R=0.4
- Massless QCD, 5-flavour scheme
- set  $\mu_f = \mu_r \equiv \mu$  and use dynamical scale base on sum of the transverse momentum of the final state partons

$$\hat{H}_T = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{parton}}} p_{T,i}^{\text{parton}} \qquad \exists \mu = \hat{H}_T/2$$

- Scale variation:  $\hat{H}_T/4 \leq \mu \leq \hat{H}_T$
- Kinematical cuts: Transverse momentum of the first jet pt > 80 GeV, subsequent jets at least pt > 60 GeV, Rapidity: eta < 2.8

[ATLAS 2011, BlackHat 2011]

[Cacciari, Salam, Soyez 2012]

$$\sigma_n^{\rm NLO} = \sigma_n^{\rm LO} + \delta\sigma_n^{\rm NLO}$$

Confirmed results at 7 TeV:

$$\sigma_{3}^{7\text{TeV-LO}} = 93.40(0.03)^{+50.37}_{-30.34} \text{ nb} \qquad \sigma_{4}^{7\text{TeV-LO}} = 9.97(0.02) \text{ nb}$$
  
$$\sigma_{3}^{7\text{TeV-NLO}} = 53.74(0.16)^{+2.06}_{-20.72} \text{ nb} \qquad \sigma_{4}^{7\text{TeV-NLO}} = 5.56(0.17) \text{ nb}$$
  
$$\longrightarrow \text{ Excellent agreement with [Bern et. al. 2011]}$$

New results at 8 TeV:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_3^{8\text{TeV-LO}} &= 126.65(0.05)^{+66.56}_{-40.40}\,\text{nb}, \quad \sigma_4^{8\text{TeV-LO}} = 14.36(0.01)^{+10.38}_{-5.6}\,\text{nb}, \\ \sigma_3^{8\text{TeV-NLO}} &= 72.57(0.16)^{+2.71}_{-28.08}\,\text{nb}, \quad \sigma_4^{8\text{TeV-NLO}} = 8.15(0.09)^{+0.0}_{-3.24}\,\text{nb}. \end{split}$$

### Distributions for 3-jets



#### Benedikt Biedermann

### Distributions for 4-jets



# The total jet cross section

In addition to the 3-jet and 4-jet cross section, we evaluated also the 2-jet cross section:

 $\sigma_2^{\text{8TeV-LO}} = 1234.9(1.2) \text{ nb}$  $\sigma_2^{\text{8TeV-NLO}} = 1524.9(2.8) \text{ nb}$ 

Estimate of the total jet cross section: appr. 1600 nb

Ratio between 2-jet, 3-jet and 4-jet cross section: 1 : 0.05 : 0.005

Is the four jet rate relevant?

Answer: YES!!! At the level of differential distributions the ratios become less extreme.

### Ratio between 3 and 4 jets



Small ratio of total four jet and three jet cross section mainly due to low pt region. At high pt the ratio increases up to 0.5 (at NLO)

### Conclusion

- 3-jet and 4-jet production at 8 TeV for the LHC with NJet and Sherpa have been presented
- NLO cross sections reduce LO result by around 45%
- Results at 8 TeV increased around 35 % 50 % with respect to 7 TeV due to larger parton flux at higher energies
- Dynamical scale setting gives almost constant K-factor for differential distributions
- Large corrections at low pt may require beyond fixed order calculation All ingredients for matching NLO to a parton shower are publicly available
- Agreement of individual phase space points of NJet with other existing codes and perfect agreement of full cross sections with results from BlackHat
- NJet is publicly available, includes 2-jet, 3-jet, 4-jet and 5-jet production

### Extra slides

Benedikt Biedermann

## Caching full tree amplitudes



Necessary conditions: 1. Loop Momentum must agree

- 2. External and loop flavours must agree
- 3. External helicities must agree



Benedikt Biedermann

### Scalar Integral Basis

Decomposition of an arbitrary one-loop amplitude: [Passarino, Veltman1979]



$$D_i = (p_i + l)^2 - m_i^2$$

determination of integral coefficients

No tadpoles in massless theories

### **Integrand Properties**

Focus on the **integrand**  $\mathcal{F}_n(l)$  of the amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\text{loop}} = \int d^{4}l \,\mathcal{F}_{n}(l) + \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\text{rat}} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ossola,Papadopoulos,Pittau} \\ \text{[Ellis, Giele, Kunszt]} \\ \text{[Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Mastrolia]} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{n}(l) = \sum_{\{ijkl\}} \frac{\overline{d}_{ijkl}(l)}{D_{i}D_{j}D_{k}D_{l}} + \sum_{\{ijk\}} \frac{\overline{c}_{ijk}(l)}{D_{i}D_{j}D_{k}} + \sum_{\{ij\}} \frac{\overline{b}_{ij}(l)}{D_{i}D_{j}}$$

Numerators: loop-momentum independent part + spurious terms

Spurious terms: loop-momentum tensors which vanish after integration

Loop-momentum independent part is the desired integral coefficient

### Box Example

Integrand  $\mathcal{F}_n(l)$  :

$$\mathcal{F}_n(l) = \sum_{\{ijkl\}} \frac{\overline{d}_{ijkl}(l)}{D_i D_j D_k D_l} + \sum_{\{ijk\}} \frac{\overline{c}_{ijk}(l)}{D_i D_j D_k} + \sum_{\{ij\}} \frac{\overline{b}_{ij}(l)}{D_i D_j}$$

**Tensor structure** of a general box part  $\overline{d}(l)$  well known:

$$\overline{d}(l) = d_0 + \tilde{d}(l) = d_0 + d_1 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_1^{\mu} p_2^{\nu} p_3^{\rho} l^{\sigma} \int d^4 l \frac{d_0 + \tilde{d}(l)}{D_i D_j D_k D_l} = d_0 \int d^4 l \frac{1}{D_i D_j D_k D_l} = d_0 \mathcal{I}^{(4)}$$

Compute  $\overline{d}(l)$  for two different l $\rightarrow$  system of equations  $\rightarrow$  determine  $d_0$  How do we get  $\overline{d}(l)$ ?

### Partial fractioning the integrand

Integral coefficient:

$$\longrightarrow d_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{d}_{ijkl}(\boldsymbol{l_c^+}) + \overline{d}_{ijkl}(\boldsymbol{l_c^-}) \right)$$

### **Rational Part**

1. Absorb epsilon dependence in effective (complex) mass: [Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower 1997]

$$l_{[4-2\varepsilon]} = l_{[4]} + l_{[-2\varepsilon]} \qquad [Bern, Dixon, Durbar, Kosower 198]$$

$$l_{[4-2\varepsilon]}^2 = l_{[4]}^2 - l_{[-2\varepsilon]}^2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad l_{[-2\varepsilon]}^2 = -\mu^2$$

Integrand for the rational part is a polynomial in  $\mu^2$ .

2. Expand integral basis in higher integer dimension and take  $\epsilon \to 0$  limit

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{rat} = -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j,k,l} C_{4;i|j|k|l}^{[4]} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} C_{3;i|j|k}^{[2]} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{s_{i,j-1}}{6} C_{2;i|j}^{[2]}$$

"constant" integral

Integral coefficient

[Giele, Kunszt, Melnikov 2008] [Badger 2009]

- Additional hidden pentagon contributions
- Use SUSY relations to interpret "rational gluons" as scalar contributions
- Use the same four dimensional techniques