Searches for direct supersymmetric gaugino and slepton pair production in final states with leptons with the ATLAS detector



Janet Dietrich DESY 6th Annual Workshop of the Helmholtz Alliance 03.12.2012





#### **Motivation**

- > if coloured SUSY particles (  $\tilde{g}$ ,  $\tilde{q}$  ) are very massive while non-coloured SUSY particles are light
- → weak gauginos (charginos  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}$  or neutralinos  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_3^0$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$ ) and sleptons ĩ may dominate the SUSY production at the LHC
- Iimits on squark/gluino masses are being pushed higher and naturalness favours gaugino masses around 100 GeV

 $\rightarrow$  search for events with missing transverse energy  $E_T^{miss}$  and leptons (electrons and muons)

```
Present the results for the 2 leptons analysis
(7TeV 4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> arXiv: 1208.2884, accepted by
PLB) and
3 lepton analysis (8TeV 13fb<sup>-1</sup>
ATLAS-CONF-2012-154)
```



# **2(3)-LEPTON SUSY SEARCHES**

Looking for events with exactly 2(3) leptons (e or μ) (+ jets) and relative missing transverse energy E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss, rel</sup>

$$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel} = \begin{cases} E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} & \text{if } \Delta \phi_{\ell,j} \ge \pi/2 \\ E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \times \sin \Delta \phi_{\ell,j} & \text{if } \Delta \phi_{\ell,j} < \pi/2 \end{cases}$$

 $\Delta \Phi$ =azimutal angle between the direction of  $E_T^{miss}$  vector and the nearest lepton or jet

→ reduce the impact of events where an object is badly reconstructed such that it is aligned with E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>



# **2-LEPTON SUSY SEARCHES**

- > define same charge (SS) and opposite charge (OS) signal regions depending on the SUSY process
- > four SR are optimized for slepton production and different gaugino decay modes





# **SM BACKGROUNDS- 2 LEPTON**





#### **RESULTS -2 Lepton 7TeV 4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>**



Signal Region	Background	Data
$m_{\mathrm{T2}}$	$32.8\pm3.2\pm6.3$	24
OSjveto	$161.7 \pm 6.7 \pm 30.8$	139
SSjveto	$11.0\pm1.5\pm3.9$	9
2jets	$65.5 \pm 4.0 \pm 31.8$	78



2011 Data,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 4.7$  fb<sup>-1</sup> arXiv:1208.2884



J. Dietrich| Searches for gaugino and slepton pair production | Page 6

## **3-LEPTON SUSY SEARCHES**

require exactly three leptons of same-flavour opposite sign (SFOS) with m<sub>SFOS</sub> > 12 GeV

$$\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \tilde{\ell}\bar{\ell} \to \ell\bar{\ell}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \ell \bar{\ell} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

neutralino decay via sleptons or off-shell Z-bosons 2 SRs with similar cuts – one SR with tighter cuts to enhance sensitivity to large mass splitting neutralino decay via on-shell Zbosons

3 leptons opposite charge		3 lepton opposite charge
Z mass veto E <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup> > 75 GeV b-jet veto		Z-candidate:  m <sub>SFOS</sub> -m <sub>Z</sub>   < 10 GeV E <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup> > 120 GeV any number of b-jets
no transverse mass m <sub>⊤</sub> cut lepton p <sub>T</sub> > 10 GeV	m <sub>⊤</sub> > 110 GeV lepton p <sub>T</sub> > 30 GeV	m <sub>τ</sub> > 110 GeV lepton p <sub>T</sub> > 10 GeV
SR1a	SR1b	SR2

# **SM BACKGROUNDS- 3 LEPTON**

#### "reducible" fake background (at least one fake lepton):

dominate: top-antitop pair production, Z + jets  $\rightarrow$  determined with matrix method

> "real" irreducible backgrounds:

diboson (WW, ZZ), triboson (WWW, ZZZ, ZWW) and top-antitop W/Z production

 $\rightarrow$ using MC approach

main background WZ/ $\!\gamma^*$ 

 $\rightarrow$  determined via semi-data driven

approach

 $\rightarrow$  select three validation regions,

fit MC to data



J. Dietrich| Searches for gaugino and slepton pair production | Page 8



#### **RESULTS -3 Lepton 8TeV 13 fb<sup>-1</sup>**



Signal Region	Background	Data
SR1a	$50\pm8$	48
SR1b	$3.1\pm1.0$	4
SR2	$6.1^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$	4



2012 Data, 
$$\sqrt{s}=$$
 8 TeV $\int \mathcal{L} \mathrm{d}t = 13~\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ ATLAS-COM-CONF-2012-192

SUSY Ref. Point 1:  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\ell}_{L}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} = 500, 500, 250, 0 \,\text{GeV}$ SUSY Ref. Point 2:  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} = 250, 250, 0 \,\text{GeV}$ 

J. Dietrich| Searches for gaugino and slepton pair production | Page 9

# **SUSY MODELS**

#### pMSSM models

- gaugino xsection governed by the gaugino masses M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and higgs mass parameter tanβ and μ
- > gluino/squark masses, left-handed sleptons > 2TeV
- right-handed sleptons degenerated  $m_{\tilde{\ell}} = \frac{m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}}{2}$
- grids are parameterized in M<sub>1</sub>,M<sub>2</sub> and μ, tanβ = 6



#### Simplified models

- Minimal particle content necessary to produce SUSY-like events
- parameterization in SUSY particles masses; only free parameter are:

mass of the neutralino1, sneutrino, left-handed slepton, chargino1 and neutralino2



# **SUSY MODELS**

#### Direct slepton models:

- > direct production of sleptons, models based on pMSSM, but left-handed sleptons are included via:  $m_{\tilde{\ell}_L} = m_{\tilde{\ell}_R}$
- masses of the gauginos except for LSP set to 2.5 TeV
- models contain only selectrons and smuons

$$m_{ ilde{e}}=m_{ ilde{\mu}}$$





# **2 LEPTON EXCLUSION LIMITS**

#### simplified model arid 200 Lange (GeV) 450 Lange (GeV) 450 Lange (GeV) 400 Lange (GeV ATLAS Observed limit (±1 $\sigma_{c}^{SUSY}$ Expected limit $(\pm 1 \sigma_{oxp})$ $\int L dt = 4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{TeV}$ $\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \times \widetilde{h}v(\widetilde{v}I) \rightarrow 2 \times hv\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ 350F 300F = 0.5 250 200 150 100 50 0 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 100 500 $\widetilde{\chi}_{t}^{\pm}$ mass [GeV]

chargino masses between 110 and 330 GeV are excluded for a neutralino mass of 10 GeV best limit with SR m<sub>T2</sub> earlier gaugino searches focused on  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$  production  $\rightarrow$  new mass limit on chargino 1 mass independent of the neutralino 2 mass

#### slepton grid



limits based on  $m_{T2}$  signal region results sensitivity decreases with  $m_{NLSP}-m_{LSP}$  $\rightarrow$  need considerable mass gap to the LSP

slepton masses between 90-185 GeV for 20 GeV neutralinos are excluded



## **3 LEPTON EXCLUSION LIMITS**





- > 95% exclusion limits for chargino-neutralino production in the pMSSM grids for
  - $M_1$ = 100, 140 and 250 GeV and light sleptons
- Imits are optimized using in each grid point the CL values from the most sensitive SR



# **3 LEPTON EXCLUSION LIMITS**

95% CL limit contours for chargino and neutralino production in the simplified model scenario with intermediate slepton decay and intermediate gauge boson decay





#### **SUMMARY**

- > dedicated searches for slepton/gaugino production in final states with 2/3 leptons have been performed with the ATLAS detector
- > searches are complementary and optimized independently
- > good agreement between ATLAS data and standard model prediction is observed, no significant excess was found
- ATLAS limits for slepton and chargino/neutralino production are set using full 2011 data (2-lepton search) and 13 fb<sup>-1</sup> 2012 data (3-lepton search)



 $m_{T2}$ 

- The stransverse mass  $m_{\rm T2}$  is defined for pair produced particles that each decay to two particles, out of which one goes undetected.
- If the undetected particles are massless, the two-lepton  $m_{\rm T2}$  distribution (for  $\tilde{\ell}$  pair production) has an endpoint given by

$$m_{\mathrm{T2}}^{2} = m_{\tilde{\ell}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2} + \frac{m_{\tilde{\ell}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2}}{2m_{\tilde{\ell}}} \left( \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_{\tilde{\ell}}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2}}{2m_{\tilde{\ell}}}\right)^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2}} - \frac{m_{\tilde{\ell}}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2}}{2m_{\tilde{\ell}}} \right)$$

- It can be shown that this equation simplifies to  $\approx m_{\tilde{\ell}} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ .
- In particular,  $m_{T2}$  is a powerful tool rejecting WW background, since the  $WW m_{T2}$  distribution will end at the W mass.
- J.Phys. G29 (2003) 2343-2363, Phys.Lett. B463 (1999) 99-103.



 $\rm m_{\rm CT}$ 

 The m<sub>CT</sub> variable is defined for two pair-produced particles δ decaying to an invisible particle α and visible decay products χ<sub>i</sub>.

$$m_{\mathsf{CT}}(\chi_1,\chi_2) = \left[ E_t^2(\chi_1) + E_t^2(\chi_2) \right]^{1/2} - \left[ p_T^2(\chi_1) + p_T^2(\chi_2) \right]^{1/2}$$

• The  $m_{CT}$  variable can be constructed using combinations of leptons and jets. If  $m_{\chi_1} = m_{\chi_2} = m_{\chi}$  in the above equation, then there is an endpoint given by

$$m_{\mathsf{CT}}\left[m^{2}\left(\chi\right)\right] < m_{\mathsf{CT}}^{\max}\left[m^{2}\left(\chi\right)\right] = \frac{m^{2}\left(\chi\right)}{m\left(\delta\right)} + \frac{m^{2}\left(\delta\right) - m^{2}\left(\alpha\right)}{m\left(\delta\right)}$$

- The tt̄ system should fulfill this inequality for (δ, α) pairs of (W, ν),
   (t, W) and (t, ν).
- Each dilepton event in SR-2jets is checked against this inequality, and events consistent with tt kinematics are removed.

MATRIX METHOD

- Define a set of tight and loose object selection criteria.
- Determine the *real efficiency r*, i.e the probability for a real, prompt lepton to pass the tight selection. This is done using real data.
- Determine the *fake rate f*, i.e the probability for a fake, non-prompt lepton to pass the tight selection. This is done using MC truth.
- Let  $N_{TT}$  denote the number of events with two tight leptons,  $N_{TL}$  the number of events with one tight and one loose lepton and so on.
- Let  $N_{RR}$  denote the number of events with two real leptons,  $N_{RF}$  the number of events with one real and one fake lepton and so on.
- The number of events with at least one fake lepton is found by inverting the matrix below. For 3-Lepton, the method is applicable under the assumption that the leading lepton is always real.

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{TT} \\ N_{TL} \\ N_{LT} \\ N_{LL} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 r_2 & r_1 f_2 & f_1 r_2 & f_1 f_2 \\ r_1 (1 - r_2) & r_1 (1 - f_2) & f_1 (1 - r_2) & f_1 (1 - f_2) \\ (1 - r_1) r_2 & (1 - r_1) f_2 & (1 - f_1) r_2 & (1 - f_1) f_2 \\ (1 - r_1) (1 - r_2) & (1 - r_1) (1 - f_2) & (1 - f_1) (1 - r_2) & (1 - f_1) (1 - f_2) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} N_{RR} \\ N_{RF} \\ N_{FR} \\ N_{FF} \end{pmatrix}$$



J. Dietrich| Searches for gaugino and slepton pair production | Page 18

#### SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

- The following sources of systematic uncertainty are considered (where applicable).
- Luminosity
- Cross section
- Jet Energy Scale
- Jet Energy Resolution
- Trigger Reweighting
- b-tag Efficiency
- Generator
- Parton Shower
- PDF

- Electron Efficiency
- Electron Energy Resolution
- Electron Energy Scale
- Muon Efficiency
- Muon Energy Resolution
- Muon Energy Scale
- Muon MS Momentum
- Muon ID Momentum



Exactly 2 leptons +

SR-	$m_{T2}$	OSjveto	SSjveto	2jets
charge	OS	OS	SS	OS
flavour	any	an	iy	SF
$m_{ll}$	Z-veto	Z-veto	-	Z-veto
signal jets	= 0	=	0	$\geq 2$
signal <i>b</i> -jets	-	-		= 0
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$	> 40	> 1	00	> 50
other	$m_{\rm T2} > 90$	-		m <sub>CT</sub> -veto

Syst. uncert. in %

SR-	$m_{T2}$	OSjveto	2jets	SSjveto
Total statistical	9	4	6	13
Total systematic	19	19	49	35
Jet systematics	9	8	5	3
Lepton systematics	14	1	5	1
b-tagging efficiency	1	1	14	0
MC modelling	7	17	45	4
Fake leptons	5	5	4	35

	SR-1077					
	e+e-	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$	u+u-	all	SF	
Z+X	$3.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.7$	$0.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$	$3.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.7$	$7.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1$	$6.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1$	
WW	$2.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$	$4.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.7$	$3.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$	$10.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.5$	$5.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.9$	
tī, single top	$2.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$	$6.2 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.9$	$4.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.6$	$12.9 \pm 2.4 \pm 4.6$	$6.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.3$	
Fake leptons	$1.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$	$1.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.8$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05$	$2.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.4$	$1.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$	
Total	$9.2 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.5$	$12.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.1$	$1.2 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.0$	$32.8 \pm 3.2 \pm 6.3$	$20.4 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.9$	
Data	7	9	8	24	15	
$\sigma_{\rm vin}^{\rm obsicupl}$ (fb)	1.6 (1.9)	1.7 (2.2)	1.7 (2.1)	2.6 (3.8)	2.0 (2.7)	
		SR-0	)Sjveto			
	e+e-	$\epsilon^* \mu^*$	$\mu^{*}\mu^{-}$	a	11	
Z+X	$4.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2$	$3.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.5$	$4.7 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.2$	12.2 ± 1	.8±1.8	
WW	$8.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 4.4$	$20.9 \pm 2.6 \pm 6.2$	$13.3 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.5$	43.0 ± 3	$.7 \pm 12.2$	
tī, single top	$21.1 \pm 2.3 \pm 4.2$	$47.7 \pm 3.4 \pm 20.5$	$27.5 \pm 2.5 \pm 9.0$	96.2 ± 4	.8 ± 29.5	
Fake leptons	$2.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2$	$6.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.6$	$0.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$	$10.3 \pm 2$	$2.2 \pm 4.1$	
Total	$37.2 \pm 3.3 \pm 6.4$	$78.5 \pm 4.7 \pm 20.9$	$45.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 9.4$	161.7 ± 6	5.7 ± 30.8	
Data	33	66	40	13	39	
$\sigma_{\rm vis}^{\rm obs(exp)}$ (fb)	3.5 (4.0)	8.1 (9.6)	4.3 (5.1)	11.4 (	(14.1)	
		SR	-2jets			
	e+e-	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$	μ*μ-	S	F	
Z+X	3.8 ± 1.3 ± 2.7	_	$5.8 \pm 1.6 \pm 3.9$	9.6±2	.0 ± 5.1	
WW	$6.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 4.3$	_	$8.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 5.7$	14.8 ± 0	).7 ± 9.9	
11, single top	$14.8 \pm 1.9 \pm 9.2$	-	$22.1 \pm 2.1 \pm 20.7$	36.9 ± 2	.9 ± 29.6	
Fake leptons	$2.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.5$	—	$1.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8$	4.2 ± 1	.8 ± 2.3	
Total	$27.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 10.6$	_	$37.9 \pm 3.0 \pm 21.0$	65.5±4	$.0 \pm 31.8$	
Data	39	—	39	7	8	
$\sigma_{\rm vis}^{\rm obspects}$ (fb)	7.1 (5.1)	—	9.7 (9.6)	15.6	(13.9)	
		SR-S	Sjveto			
	e+e-	e <sup>±</sup> µ <sup>±</sup>	μ*μ-	n	11	
Charge flip	$0.49 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.17$	$0.34 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11$	-	$0.83 \pm 0.$	04±0.18	
Dibosons	$0.62 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.18$	$1.93 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.36$	$0.94 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.26$	$3.50 \pm 0.$	31 ± 0.54	
Fake leptons	$3.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.7$	$2.9 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.9$	$0.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$	6.6 ± 1	.4 ± 3.8	
Total	$4.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.7$	$5.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.9$	$1.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$	$11.0 \pm 1$	.5 ± 3.9	
Data	1	5	3	9	)	
or (fb)	0.8 (1.2)	1.5 (1.5)	1.3 (0.8)	2.0	(2.3)	









je 22

Selection	SR1a	SR1b	SR2
Targeted $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decay	Ĩ <sup>(*)</sup>	or Z*	on-shell Z
$ m_{\rm SFOS} - m_Z $	> 10	)GeV	< 10  GeV
Number of <i>b</i> -jets		0	any
$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	> 75	5 GeV	> 120  GeV
m <sub>T</sub>	any	> 110  GeV	> 110 GeV
$p_{\rm T}$ of leptons	> 10  GeV	> 30  GeV	> 10  GeV

Selection	VR1	VR2	VR3
$m_{ m SFOS} - m_Z _{ m T}$	> 10 GeV	SFOS veto	< 10 GeV
	30 GeV	50 GeV	30 GeV



Selection	SR1a	SR1b	SR2
tt+V	$0.62 \pm 0.28$	$0.13 \pm 0.07$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$
triboson	$3.0 \pm 3.0$	$0.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.34 \pm 0.34$
ZZ	$2.0 \pm 0.7$	$0.30 \pm 0.23$	$0.10\pm0.10$
WZ (normalised)	$34 \pm 4$	$1.2 \pm 0.6$	$4.7 \pm 0.8$
Reducible Bkg.	$10 \pm 6$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.012^{+1.6}_{-0.012}$
Total Bkg.	$50 \pm 8$	$3.1 \pm 1.0$	$6.1^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$
Data	48	4	4
SUSY Ref. Point 1	$13.9 \pm 1.0$	$11.4 \pm 0.9$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$
SUSY Ref. Point 2	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.0 \pm 0.6$
Visible $\sigma$ (exp)	< 1.5 fb	< 0.4 fb	< 0.5 fb
Visible $\sigma$ (obs)	< 1.3 fb	< 0.5 fb	$< 0.4  {\rm fb}$



Selection	VR1	VR2	VR3
$t\bar{t}+V$	$3.1 \pm 1.2$	$2.5 \pm 0.8$	$3.9 \pm 1.9$
triboson	$4 \pm 4$	$2.1 \pm 2.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.7$
ZZ	64 ± 17	$0.41 \pm 0.23$	$49 \pm 4$
WZ (normalised)	$161 \pm 19$	$4.5 \pm 0.7$	$385 \pm 50$
Reducible Bkg.	$121 \pm 50$	$27 \pm 13$	$185 \pm 70$
Total Bkg.	$353 \pm 60$	$36 \pm 14$	$624 \pm 90$
Data	391	36	692
SUSY Ref. Point 1	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
SUSY Ref. Point 2	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$1.5\pm0.2$



Selection	SR1a	SR1b	SR2
tt+V	$0.62 \pm 0.28$	$0.13 \pm 0.07$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$
triboson	$3.0 \pm 3.0$	$0.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.34 \pm 0.34$
ZZ	$2.0 \pm 0.7$	$0.30 \pm 0.23$	$0.10\pm0.10$
WZ (normalised)	$34 \pm 4$	$1.2 \pm 0.6$	$4.7 \pm 0.8$
Reducible Bkg.	$10 \pm 6$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.012^{+1.6}_{-0.012}$
Total Bkg.	$50 \pm 8$	$3.1 \pm 1.0$	$6.1^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$
Data	48	4	4
SUSY Ref. Point 1	$13.9 \pm 1.0$	$11.4 \pm 0.9$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$
SUSY Ref. Point 2	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.0 \pm 0.6$
Visible $\sigma$ (exp)	< 1.5 fb	< 0.4 fb	< 0.5 fb
Visible $\sigma$ (obs)	< 1.3 fb	< 0.5 fb	< 0.4 fb

"SUSY Ref. Point 1" with intermediate sleptons,  $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\ell}_L}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = 500, 500, 250, 0 \text{ GeV})$ "SUSY Ref. Point 2" with no intermediate sleptons,  $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 250, 250, 0 \text{ GeV})$ 

J. Dietrich| Searches for gaugino and slepton pair production | Page 26



GAUGINO PRODUCTION



 $\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \mathsf{Mode}\,\mathsf{C} & & \mathsf{Mode}\,\mathsf{D} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ &$ 

- only Mode A and C are considered in the simplified models, because they have the highest cross section



MSSM: minimal supersymmetric standard model

SFOS: same flavor opposite sign pair (e.g. electron positron pair)

 $E_{T}^{miss}$ : missing energy in the transverse (i.e. perpendicular to beam axis) plain.

 $M_{\tau}$ : transverse mass. Invariant mass in the transverse plain formed by  $E_{\tau}^{\rm miss}$  and the lepton that does not belong to the SFOS pair that forms the best Z-candidate (mass)

 $tan\beta$ : ratio of vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets

μ: Higgs mass parameter

m<sub>T2</sub>: related to transverse mass. End-point of WW expected at 90 GeV. J.Phys. G29 (2003) 2343-2363, Phys.Lett. B463 (1999) 99-103

m<sub>CT</sub>: top-tagging, calc. from selected jets and leptons:  $m_{CT}^2(v_1, v_2) = [E_T(v_1) + E_T(v_2)]^2 - [p_T(v_1) - p_T(v_2)]^2$ 

 $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.} = \begin{cases} E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} & \text{if } \Delta \phi_{\ell,j} \geq \pi/2 \\ E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \times \sin \Delta \phi_{\ell,j} & \text{if } \Delta \phi_{\ell,j} < \pi/2 \end{cases}$