# Towards a theory for multiple hard scattering in QCD

M. Diehl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchroton DESY

Hamburg, 4 December 2012

<span id="page-0-0"></span>





## Hadron-hadron collisions

 $\triangleright$  standard description based on factorization formulae

cross sect  $=$  parton distributions  $\times$  parton-level cross sect



- ► factorization formulae are for inclusive cross sections  $pp \rightarrow Y + X$ where  $Y =$  produced in parton-level scattering, specified in detail  $X =$  summed over, no details
- $\blacktriangleright$  have also interactions between "spectator" partons
	- typically affect unspecified system  $X \rightsquigarrow$  underlying event these effects cancel in inclusive cross sections thanks to unitarity
	- but can also produce particles with large  $p_T$  or large mass

```
\rightarrow multiparton interactions
```


Multiparton interactions (MPI)





 $\blacktriangleright$  characteristic final-state signature: individual particles or groups of particles have

 $p_T \ll$  large scale of process

 $\triangleright$  can affect both signal and background processes e.g.  $pp \rightarrow H + Z \rightarrow b \bar{b} + Z$  and  $pp \rightarrow b$ Del Fabbro, Treleani 1999

 $\triangleright$  expected to be important for many processes at LHC

see e.g. Procs. of MPI 2011 (DESY-PROC-2012-03)

significant effect found in  $pp \rightarrow W + 2$  jets + X, ATLAS-CONF-2011-160

 $\triangleright$  in the following restrict ourselves to double parton interactions



## Double parton interactions (DPI)

- $\triangleright$  phenomenology based on simple, physically intuitive formula cross sect  $=$  double parton distributions  $\times$  parton-level cross sect's and simple ansatz for double parton distributions
- $\blacktriangleright$  typically assume

 $F_{ab}(x_1, x_2, y) = f_a(x_1) f_b(x_2) F(y)$ 

where  $y =$  transverse distance between partons 1 and 2

**In then get pocket formula**  $\sigma_{\text{double}} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 / \sigma_{\text{eff}}$ with  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$  depending on  $F(\boldsymbol{y})$ experimentally find:  $\sigma_{\text{eff}} \sim 11 \dots 15 \,\text{mb}$  Tevatron, LHC



<span id="page-3-0"></span>



# Double parton interactions (DPI)

- $\triangleright$  phenomenology based on simple, physically intuitive formula cross sect  $=$  double parton distributions  $\times$  parton-level cross sect's and simple ansatz for double parton distributions
- $\blacktriangleright$  typically assume

 $F_{ab}(x_1, x_2, y) = f_a(x_1) f_b(x_2) F(y)$ 

where  $y =$  transverse distance between partons 1 and 2

**In then get pocket formula**  $\sigma_{\text{double}} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 / \sigma_{\text{eff}}$ with  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$  depending on  $F(\boldsymbol{y})$ 



- $\triangleright$  but independence of long. mom. and transv. positions is approximate for single partons have evidence for correlations from
	- diffractive vector meson production at HERA  $(x \sim 10^{-3})$
	- lattice calculations (dominated by  $x \sim 0.2 \ldots 0.4$ )

correlations have observable effects in DPI Corke, Sjöstrand 2011



## Single versus double hard scattering

• consider gauge boson pair prod'n (analogous results for other processes)

$$
s \frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 d^2 \mathbf{q}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_2} \sim \frac{1}{Q^2 \Lambda^2} \qquad Q^2 \sim q_i^2 \gg \Lambda^2 \sim \mathbf{q}_i^2
$$
  
for both  
  $\mu_1$  and  
  $\mu_2$  and  
  $\mu_3$  and

⇒ double scattering not power suppressed



## Single versus double hard scattering

• consider gauge boson pair prod'n (analogous results for other processes)

$$
s \frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 d^2 \mathbf{q}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_2} \sim \frac{1}{Q^2 \Lambda^2}
$$
\n
$$
Q^2 \sim q_i^2 \gg \Lambda^2 \sim \mathbf{q}_i^2
$$
\nfor both

\nfrom *q* and *q* and *q* and *q* and *q* and *q* and *q* are given by the following way.

\nFor both

\nand

\n
$$
d\sigma
$$
\nin the graph of *q* and *q* and *q* are given by the following equations:

\n
$$
s \frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2} \sim 1
$$
\nsince

\n
$$
\int d^2(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \sim \Lambda^2
$$
\nand

\n
$$
\int d^2(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2) \sim Q^2
$$
\ndouble:

\n
$$
s \frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2} \sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2}
$$
\nsince

\n
$$
\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_1 \int d^2 \mathbf{q}_2 \sim \Lambda^4
$$

i.e. single hard scattering has larger phase space for transv. momenta



#### Single versus double hard scattering

• consider gauge boson pair prod'n (analogous results for other processes)

$$
s \frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 d^2 \mathbf{q}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_2} \sim \frac{1}{Q^2 \Lambda^2}
$$
\n
$$
Q^2 \sim q_i^2 \gg \Lambda^2 \sim \mathbf{q}_i^2
$$
\nfor both

\nfrom *q* and

\nfrom 

- ► at small  $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x$  expect
	- single scattering  $\propto x^{-\lambda}$
	- double scattering  $\propto x^{-2\lambda}$

 $\Rightarrow$  double scattering enhanced at small x

 $-λ$  with  $xf(x) \sim x^{-λ}$ 



## Toward a factorization formula

 $\triangleright$  master formula for measured transverse momenta

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 d^2\mathbf{q}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2 d^2\mathbf{q}_2} = \hat{\sigma}_{ac}(q_1^2) \hat{\sigma}_{bd}(q_2^2)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[ \prod_{i=1,2} \int d^2\mathbf{k}_i d^2\bar{\mathbf{k}}_i \delta(\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{k}_i - \bar{\mathbf{k}}_i) \right] \int d^2\mathbf{y} F_{ab}(x_i, \mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{y}) F_{cd}(\bar{x}_i, \bar{\mathbf{k}}_i, \mathbf{y})
$$

can be derived at tree level from Feynman graphs and kinematic approx's no semi-classical arguments required MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011

- $\blacktriangleright$   $F(x_i, \mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{y}) = k_T$  dependent double parton distribution recover standard formalism by  $\int$  over transv. momenta
- $\triangleright$  for factorization proof must show how to treat additional gluons
	- partly under theoretical control derivation of Sudakov factors from soft/collinear gluons
	- unsolved problem: gluons from Glauber region could spoil factorization

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

 $_{q_2}$   $\sim$  $q_1 \sim$ 



Spin structure

 $i=1,2$ 



$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1\,d\bar{x}_1\,d^2\mathbf{q}_1\,dx_2\,d\bar{x}_2\,d^2\mathbf{q}_2} = \hat{\sigma}_{ac}(q_1^2)\,\hat{\sigma}_{bd}(q_2^2)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[ \prod_{i} \int d^2\mathbf{k}_i\,d^2\bar{\mathbf{k}}_i\,\delta(\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{k}_i - \bar{\mathbf{k}}_i) \right] \int d^2\mathbf{y}\,F_a
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dt_1 dx_2 dx_2 d^2 \mathbf{q}_2} = \hat{\sigma}_{ac}(q_1^2) \hat{\sigma}_{bd}(q_2^2)
$$
\n
$$
\int d^2 \mathbf{k}_i d^2 \vec{k}_i \delta(\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{k}_i - \vec{k}_i) \Big| \int d^2 \mathbf{y} F_{ab}(x_i, \mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{y}) F_{cd}(\bar{x}_i, \vec{k}_i, \mathbf{y})
$$

 $\triangleright$  even in unpolarized proton can have spin correlations between partons

- unpolarized, longitudinal pol., transverse pol. quarks
- unpol., longitudinal pol., linear pol. gluons
- $\triangleright$  in general not suppressed in hard scattering consequences for rate and distributions
- $\triangleright$  detailed calc'n for gauge boson pair production followed by leptonic decay Kasemets, MD 2012; see also Manohar, Waalewijn 2011
- $\blacktriangleright$  transverse quark spin correlation

 $\sim$   $\cos 2\phi$  modulation between decay planes of the two bosons in general: correlated scattering planes

 $\blacktriangleright$  how large are spin correlations? find large effects in constituent quark region

<span id="page-9-0"></span>MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011; Chang, Manohar, Waalewijn 2012



#### Color structure



- quark lines with given  $x_i$  in amplitude and conjugate amp. can couple to color singlet or color octet for two-gluon dist's more color structures: 1,  $8<sub>S</sub>$ ,  $8<sub>A</sub>$ , 10,  $\overline{10}$ , 27
	- $\triangleright$  color singlet distributions: analog to single-parton case
	- $\triangleright$  color octet distributions essentially unknown no probability interpretation as a guide not implemented in phenomenology
- $\triangleright$  for  $k_T$  integrated cross sections/distributions: color octet suppressed by Sudakov logarithms Mekhfi 1988; Manohar, Waalewijn 2011 but not necessarily negligible for moderately hard scales
- in  $k_T$  unintegrated case: Sudakov factors for both color singlet and color octet slightly stronger suppression for octet MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011



#### Problems at short distance

 $\triangleright$  for short interparton distance y can compute double parton distribution from splitting graphs

> $F(x_i, \boldsymbol{k}_i, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim \log \boldsymbol{y}^2$  $F(x_i,\bm{y})\sim 1/\bm{y}^2$



 $\blacktriangleright$  changes scale evolution

Kirschner 1979; Shelest, Snigirev, Zinovev 1982, Gaunt, Stirling 2009



### Problems at short distance

 $\triangleright$  for short interparton distance y can compute double parton distribution from splitting graphs

> $F(x_i, \boldsymbol{k}_i, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim \log \boldsymbol{y}^2$  $F(x_i,\bm{y})\sim 1/\bm{y}^2$



 $\triangleright$  contribution from splitting graphs in cross section gives divergent integrals  $\;\int d^2\bm{y}\, F(x_i, \bm{k}_i, \bm{y})\, F(\bar{x}_i, \bar{\bm{k}_i}, \bm{y})$ 



 $\triangleright$  double counting problem between double scattering with splitting and single scattering at loop level Cacciari, Salam, Sapeta 2009 MD, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011; Gaunt, Stirling 2011; Gaunt 2012 Blok, Dokshitzer, Frankfurt, Strikman 2011; Ryskin, Snigirev 2011, 2012



## Summary

- $\triangleright$  multiple hard interactions are not suppressed in specific parts of phase space
- In multi-parton dist's depend on transverse distance  $y$  between partons correlations with  $x$  have consequences for MPI
- $\blacktriangleright$  nontrivial spin and color structure size of these effects presently unknown  $\rightarrow$  extra uncertainty in quantitative description in addition to uncertainty on "usual" multi-parton dist's
- ighthrould remove small y contribution in order to avoid divergences and double counting
- $\triangleright$  opportunity at LHC:

<span id="page-13-0"></span>investigate in detail final states susceptible to MPI  $\rightarrow$  help to sort out which effects are important and which are not