





# Analysis of Z( $\rightarrow$ $\mu\mu$ )+Jet Events and Jet Energy Calibration in CMS

6th Annual Helmholtz Alliance Workshop "Physics at the Terascale" | DESY Hamburg | 04/12/12

#### Dominik Haitz • CMS Collaboration

INSTITUT FÜR EXPERIMENTELLE KERNPHYSIK (EKP) · FAKULTÄT FÜR PHYSIK



#### www.kit.edu

## **Introduction & Motivation**



#### 1. <u>Analysis of $Z(\rightarrow \mu\mu)$ +Jet Events</u>

An interesting topology and well suited for calibration purposes

#### 2. Jet Energy Calibration in CMS

A well-described *jet energy scale* is important for many analyses

 Deriving Absolute Residual Corrections with Z(→μμ)+Jet Events Combining both of the above

## Topology of Z( $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ ) Events

 <u>Drell-Yan process</u>: quark-antiquark annihilation produces a virtual photon / Z decaying in two leptons

 <u>Clear signature</u> of the outgoing leptons

 The design of the CMS detector allows especially <u>precise muon</u> <u>measurement</u>







# Topology of Z( $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ )+Jet Events



 An <u>additional outgoing parton</u>, balanced to the Z/photon, hadronizes into a <u>particle jet</u>

The jet response is the ratio between the measured and the 'true' jet energy

The initial <u>transverse momentum</u> p<sub>T</sub> of the colliding partons is zero on average, its <u>conservation</u> can be used for calibration



# Jet Energy Calibration in CMS





Factorized jet energy correction approach:

- 1) Remove *pile-up effects* and *detector noise* 
  - $\rightarrow$  derived from data/MC and scaled to MC-Truth
- **2)** Correct for *different*  $n / p_T$  regions

 $\rightarrow$  derived from MC-Truth

- 3) Correct for residual data/MC difference (applied on data only)
  - $\rightarrow$  relative: derived from dijet balancing
  - $\rightarrow$  absolute: derived from Z/photon+jet balancing

#### **6/10** 04/12/12

## **Increased Pile-up in 2012**

- <u>~70% increase</u> in number of primary vertices (compared to 2011) due to higher luminosity
- Two complementary methods to deal with pile-up:
  - <u>Charged Hadron Subtraction</u> (CHS)
     Charged hadrons stemming from pile-upvertices are *ignored by the jet algorithm*
  - Pile-up jet energy correction

Two key quantities for calculation:

• *jet area*  $A_i$  (determined from the y- $\phi$  extent of artificially added,

infinitely soft 'dust' particles clustered in the jet)

•  $p_{\tau}$ -density  $\rho$  (defined on an event-by-event basis as the median of

the  $p_{T,i}$  /  $A_i$  distribution for  $k_T$  (R=0.6)-jets)





#### **Event Selection**



- An average event contains: ~50 Jets, ~12 reconstructed primary vertices, lots of low-p<sub>τ</sub> pile-up jets and detector noise
- How to obtain a 'clean'  $Z(\rightarrow \mu\mu)$ +jet sample?
  - Kinematic cuts on the muons and the reconstructed Z
  - Kinematic cuts on the leading jet
  - Topological cuts
    - Second jet cut  $p_T^{Second Jet} / p_T^Z < 0.2$
    - **Back-to-back cut**  $|\Delta \phi (Z, \text{Leading Jet}) \pi | < 0.34$

 $\rightarrow$  Only events are selected where the <u>Z is balanced by exactly one jet</u>!

#### **Absolute Residual Correction**



- Combination of the jet response derived from
  - Z( → µµ)+jet
  - Z(→ee)+jet
  - photon+jet

- Average data/MC ratio:
   r = 0.983 +- 0.004
  - → Final correction factor on data:
    c = 1.017



# **Combined Uncertainties**





- Very small uncertainties for  $|\eta| < 2.4$ ,  $p_T^{\text{Jet}} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- High pile-up uncertainties for low-p<sub>⊤</sub> jets

#### Conclusion



- $Z(\rightarrow \mu\mu)$ +jet topology is <u>well understood</u>
- CMS successfully uses <u>factorized jet energy corrections</u>
  - Advanced <u>MC-Truth-based</u> techniques and robust <u>data-driven</u> methods are combined
- Jet energy uncertainty as small as 1% in the central detector region

<u>Further improvements</u> down to the per mill level possible

Approach and methods: Recent results: <u>JINST 6 (2011) P11002</u> <u>DP 2012/012</u>

**10/10** 04/12/12



# **Backup Slides**

#### Two Methods to Measure the Jet Response



 $\mathbf{p}_{\tau}$  balance



MPF

(Missing  $E_{\tau}$  Projection Method)

$$R_{MPF} = 1 + \frac{\vec{E}_T^{miss} \cdot \vec{p}_T^Z}{(p_T^Z)^2}$$



#### **Jet Composition**





# **Pileup-Reweighting**





# **Pile-up and Offset Correction**



#### Pileup composition

#### Correction

