<u>SU(3) Structure Compactifications</u> and Calabi-Yau Model Building in <u>Heterotic</u>

James Gray, LMU, Munich

with M. Larfors And D. Lüst: arXiv: 1205.6208

with L.Anderson, A. Lukas and E. Palti: arXiv: 1202.1757 1106.4804

and with A. Constantin: to appear.

SU(3) Structure Backgrounds:

• Consider compactification on a six manifold admitting an SU(3) structure.

Torsion classes:

$$dJ = -\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Im}(W_1 \overline{\Omega}) + W_4 \wedge J + W_3$$

$$d\Omega = W_1 J \wedge J + W_2 \wedge J + \overline{W}_5 \wedge \Omega$$

- SU(3) Holonomy: Calabi-Yau $W_i = 0 \forall i$
- SU(3) Structure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vacuum: Strominger System

 $W_1 = W_2 = 0$ $W_4 = \frac{1}{2}W_5 = d\hat{\phi}$ Lopes et al: hep-th/0211118

• SU(3) Structure $\mathcal{N} = 1/2$ vacuum: Generalized half-flat

 $W_{1-} = W_{2-} = 0$ $W_4 = \frac{1}{2}W_5 = d\hat{\phi}$ Lukas et al: hep-th/1005.5302

We will add extra fluxes to the analysis, and provide solutions for the supergravity fields.

The setup:



Metric and associated field ansatzes

$$ds_{10}^2 = e^{2A(x^m)} \left(ds_3^2 + e^{2\Delta(x^u)} dy dy + g_{uv}(x^m) dx^u dx^v \right)$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = f\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \qquad H_{\alpha mn} = H_{\alpha\beta n} = 0 \qquad \partial_{\alpha}\hat{\phi} = 0$$

- Three dimensional space is maximally symmetric.
- New fluxes: f and H_{yuv}
- Gravitino variation in x^{α} directions

$$\implies A(x^m) = \text{constant}$$

• Define $\Theta = d\Delta$

The Killing spinor equations and Bianchi Identities become...

Consistency at fixed y

$$J \wedge dJ = J \wedge J \wedge d\hat{\phi}$$
, $d\Omega_{-} = 2d\hat{\phi} \wedge \Omega_{-} - e^{-\Delta} * H_y - \frac{1}{2}fJ \wedge J$,

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} * f - \Omega_+ \wedge H - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Delta} H_y \wedge J \wedge J \quad , \quad e^{\Delta} * d\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} H_y \wedge \Omega_- - \frac{1}{2} e^{\Delta} H \wedge J \quad ,$$

$$dH = 0$$
 , $d(*e^{-2\hat{\phi}-\Delta}H_y) = 0$, $df = 0$

Flow eqns

$$\begin{split} J \wedge J' &= e^{\Delta} d\Omega_{+} - \frac{1}{2} e^{\Delta} * (H \wedge \Omega_{-}) J \wedge J - 2e^{\Delta} d\hat{\phi} \wedge \Omega_{+} - e^{\Delta} \Omega_{+} \wedge \Theta \\ \Omega'_{-} &= e^{\Delta} dJ - e^{\Delta} * (H \wedge \Omega_{-}) \Omega_{-} - 2e^{\Delta} d\hat{\phi} \wedge J + e^{\Delta} J \wedge \Theta - * H e^{\Delta} - f e^{\Delta} \Omega_{+} \\ \hat{\phi}' &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\Delta} * (H \wedge \Omega_{-}) \\ H' &= dH_y \ , \ (*e^{-2\hat{\phi} - \Delta} H_y)' = -d * (e^{-2\hat{\phi} + \Delta} H) \ , \ f' = 0 \end{split}$$

reduces correctly to previous cases.

Rewrite fluxes and y derivatives

Helps with solving equations in a construction independent manner

$$H = A_{1+}\Omega_{+} + A_{1-}\Omega_{-} + A_{2+} \wedge J + A_{3+}$$

$$H_{y} = B_{1}J + B_{2} + B_{3+} \cdot A_{3+} \wedge \Omega_{\pm} = 0$$

such that $A_{3+} \wedge J = 0$
 $B_{2} \wedge J \wedge J = 0$.

and write:

- $J' = \gamma_1 J + \gamma_{2+} + \gamma_3$ $0 = \gamma_{2+} \wedge J \wedge J = \gamma_3 \wedge J \wedge J.$ $\begin{aligned} \Omega'_- &= \alpha_{1+} \Omega_+ + \alpha_{1-} \Omega_- + \alpha_{2+} \wedge J + \alpha_3, \\ \Omega'_+ &= \beta_{1+} \Omega_+ + \beta_{1-} \Omega_- + \beta_{2+} \wedge J + \beta_3, \\ 0 &= \Omega_{\pm} \wedge \alpha_3 = J \wedge \alpha_3, \\ 0 &= \Omega_{\pm} \wedge \beta_3 = J \wedge \beta_3. \end{aligned}$
 - The quantities α , β and γ can easily be found in any given example (see paper for many worked cases).

Solving consistency conditions:

$$d\hat{\phi} = W_4$$

$$H_y = e^{\Delta}(-f - 2W_{1-})J - e^{\Delta}W_{2-} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\Delta}((2W_4 - W_5) \lfloor \overline{\Omega} + \text{c.c})$$

Also specifies some of the components of ${\cal H}$

 Setting new fluxes to zero we recover the generalized half-flat conditions

$$W_{1-} = W_{2-} = 0$$
 $W_4 = \frac{1}{2}W_5 = d\hat{\phi}$

In general all but one of these conditions is relaxed. Solving flow equations:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\Delta}\hat{\phi}'\Omega_{+} + (\frac{7}{8} + \frac{3}{2}W_{1-})\Omega_{-} + *((3W_4 - 2W_{5+}) \wedge J - W_3 + e^{-\Delta}\alpha_3)$$

We also get equations for the flow itself.
 For example:

$$\gamma_3 = e^{\Delta} W_{2+}$$
 and $\alpha_{1+} = -3e^{\Delta} W_{1-} - \frac{15}{8}e^{\Delta} f$

- The explicit expressions for H allow us to check the Bianchi Identities and form field equations of motion trivially in any case.
- The equations for the flow yield the *Y* dependence of the parameters in the SU(3) structure when used with any explicit construction.

Please see paper for egs:

- CY with flux
- Cosets
- Toric varieties (SCTV's)

Calabi-Yau Model building:

- Traditionally in heterotic model building we choose a Calabi-Yau threefold and an irreducible rank 3,4,5 gauge bundle over it as our background.
 e.g. rank 5: E8 ⊃ SU(5) × SU(5) E8 → SU(5)
- Break GUT group to the standard model with Wilson lines (requires non-simply connected Calabi-Yau) $SU(5) \rightarrow SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- Must also ensure we have a solution to the theory and the standard model particle spectrum.

Hard: 4 known examples.

Bouchard and Donagi hep-th/0512149 Braun, He, Ovrut and Pantev hep-th/0501070 Anderson, Gray, He and Lukas arXiv/0911.1569 Braun, Candelas, Davies, Donagi arXiv/1112.1097

- We take the visible sector gauge bundle to be a sum of line bundles \longrightarrow simpler! $V = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_a$
- Any additional U(1) symmetries then broken by Green-Schwarz or by deforming the bundle
- This sum must obey a series of conditions to provide a good heterotic vacuum:
 - We must be able to solve the Bianchi Identity $Ch_2(TX) - Ch_2(V) = [C] + Ch_2(V')$
 - The sum must be holomorphic (automatic)
 - The sum must be polystable and slope zero
 -each piece of sum must be stable (automatic)
 -each piece of sum must be slope zero

Manifolds: Favourable CICY's Symmetries: arXiv: 1003.3235 (Braun) CICY 6784: $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^3 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{4,36}$ Symmetry:

- Simple ambient space
- CY defined as intersection of vanishing loci of polynomials
- All Kahler forms descend from ambient space
- Manifold can be quotiented by freely acting symmetries to obtain non-trivial $\pi_1 \longrightarrow$ wilson lines

possible

Bundles: Sums of Line Bundles

Line bundles on a CY are defined by their first Chern class

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\text{tr}F \right]$$

• For favourable CICYs we may write

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\text{tr}F] = \sum_{i=1}^{h^{1,1}} c_1^i(\mathcal{L}) J_i$$

- here $c_1^i(\mathcal{L})$ are integers and the J_i are the Kahler forms descending from the ambient space factors
- We denote:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(c_1^i(\mathcal{L}))$$

Line bundle standard models:

- Time to scan! In addition to those already discussed what conditions must our bundles satisfy?
 - Must quotient CICY by freely acting symmetry to allow Wilson lines

$$\rightarrow V = \bigoplus_{a} \mathcal{L}_{a}$$
 must be EQUIVARIANT
- We must get the right spectrum!

- $h^1(X, \wedge^2 V) - h^1(X, \wedge^2 V^*) = 3|\Gamma|$

 \rightarrow Chiral asymmetry of 3 $\overline{5}$'s after quotienting

- $h^1(X, \wedge^2 V^*) > 0$

 \longrightarrow At least one Higgs $5 \overline{5}$ pair before quotient

 One additional condition (a little more complicated) which ensures that all Higgs triplets are removed by the Wilson line and at least I pair of Higgs doublets survives

So what do we get?...

- Scanned ~ 10^{12} models (desktop only for now. Algorithm improvements underway with Andrei Constantin.)
 - There are 23 CICYs which are favourable, have $h^{1,1} = 5$ and have freely acting symmetries. We scan over integers between -2 and 2 in the line bundles for these.
 - There are 19 CICYs which are favourable, have $h^{1,1} = 4$ and have freely acting symmetries. We scan over integers between -3 and 3 in the line bundles for these.

→ 202 models on 13 Cicys

- The 6 such CICYs with $h^{1,1} = 2$ and 12 with $h^{1,1} = 3$ gave nothing, even scanning for integers as large as 10 in the first case.

Note that when I give the number of models I am not including different possible choices of Wilson line and equivariant structure for each one - so there are in fact many more than I am saying (between 100 and 1000 choices for each model - not all phenomenologically viable).

• Keeping just one example of each spectrum generated each time: 2122 standard models.

Keeping just one example of models which look identical at this level of detail on each Calabi-Yau: 407 standard models.

Full database available here:

http://www-thphys.physics.ox.ac.uk/projects/CalabiYau/ linebundlemodels/index.html

Some example statistics:

standard	no mass-	1 Higgs	2 Higgs	3 Higgs	$\operatorname{rk}(Y^{(u)})$	no proton decay,	1 Higgs, $\operatorname{rk}(Y^{(u)}) > 0$,
models	less $U(1)$	pair	pairs	pairs	> 0	$\lambda = \lambda' = 0$	$\lambda = \lambda' = 0, U(1)$ s massive
407	237	262	77	63	45	198	13

Table 1: Statistics of basic properties in the standard model database [42].

In conclusion: • One can create very large numbers of heterotic standard models in this manner.

• One can push the phenomenological analysis of these models beyond merely getting the correct spectrum.

Summary

- SU(3) structure backgrounds:
 - Showed how to generalise the torsion classes giving rise to a good heterotic background.
 - Gave explicit solutions for supergravity fields: especially important for solving Bianchi Identities.
- Calabi-Yau model building:
 - Have constructed a few thousand standard models on smooth Calabi-Yau compactifications of heterotic.
 - Technical trick was to use line bundles rather than higher rank vector bundles in the construction.
 - Large number of models allows us to aim for more detailed phenomenology.