Update of

# Synchrotron BG

mainly from HER

1. Orbit with 2D solenoid field. X and Y planes

2. Validation of GEANT4 SR model. Angular distribution.

H. Nakayama Y. Soloviev

# Orbit with 2D solenoid field X and Y planes



## SR must not hit Be part. SR is desired not to hit Ti part.

Collimator stops direct SR hit on Ti part for SR which is parallel to the beam.

KEK-DESY meeting



Horizontal 4.8 sigma



#### Horizontal -18 sigma



Assuming gaussian shape of beam angular distribution in X-plane, beam life time = 10min, and uniformly distributed halo electrons one can estimate number of direct hits of SR photons (>5keV) in Be -Ti beam pipe in case of gaussian beam core on top of uniformly distributed beam halo.

The number of electrons per bunch 6\*10e+10.

The fraction of hits in Be part is about 1e-2 of that in Be-Ti one (for sigma values 12 and larger).

Sigma interval	Nsrph > 5keV per 2000 electrons (simulated)	Tail probability	Number of e- in tail including halo electrons	Nsrph >5keV per bunch (hits per sec)
-4.7 – -4.9	23	5.1e-7	3.3e+4	380 (9.5e+10)
-4.9 – -5.5	40	2.9e-7	1.9e+4	380 (9.5e+10)
-5.5 – -7.5	66	1e-9	140	5 (1.2e+9)
-7.5 – -10.5	167	1.1e-19	75	6 (1.5e+9)
-10.5 – -13.5	277	7.2e-34	75	10 (2.5e+9)
-13.5 – -16.5	308	2.5e-51	75	12 (3e+9)
-16.5 – -19.5	430	4e-73	75	16 (4e+9)

## Conclusions

- No direct hits in Beryllium-Titanium beam pipe in vertical plane of aperture and for positive sigma in horizontal plane was found. Hits of scattered photons are possible, needs more statistics to investigate.
- There are direct hits of synchrotron radiation in Be-Ti beam pipe in the horizontal plane of aperture for negative sigma values. <u>There are direct hits</u> <u>in Beryllium part of beam pipe !</u> (for beam divergence over 12 sigma). That may not be negligible in presence of beam halo.
- Scattered (re-emitted) photons may enter Be-Ti pipe at steeper angles, diminishing the stopping power and may go through. If we have ~5 scattered SR(>5keV) photon in 2000 events at large sigma samples then the expected rate of such a SR photons ~ 5e+7Hz. Needs more statistics.
- The geometry in Geant4 (BASF2) is obsolete therefore needs to be updated to simulate more real picture with SR background in beam pipe. With existing geometry in BASF2 frame the SR background is underestimated.
- 3D solenoid field would give more realistic picture.

H. Nakayama K. Itagaki Y. Soloviev

# Validation of GEANT4 SR model Angular distribution

**KEK-DESY** meeting

### G4SynRad New VS G4SynRad Old



- Angular distribution with new SynRad code is closer to real one.
- Still there is no energy dependence of irradiated photon with respect to initial electron. Slices of scattered plot were done for different values of Ec/E, no dependence on photon energy seen.

## Angular distribution vs. photon energy



7GeV, 1.5T , Ec= 50keV 7GeV, 0.1T , Ec = 3keV

## To do

- Simulate SR with 2D field for different beam offset in IP .
- Include bunch lateral shapes and angular divergence in X and Y to estimate fraction of SR hitting the beam pipe.
- Update with 3D solenoid field.
- Discuss with G4 developers further improvements in angular distribution of SR model.
- Validate G4 SR model to simulate scattering and absorption on Au plated surface.
- Tip scattering on ridge structure.

## BACKUP

#### Ver. 20111205

## Aperture Data for SAD simulation

LE	ĒR		HE	ĒR
s[mm	r[mm		s[mm]	r[mm]
-3640	40		-3315	40
-2725	40		-2400	40
-2675	35		-2350	35
-1750	35		-1811	35
-1630	23		-1631	17
-1590	22		-1225	17
-1252	22		-1160	10.5
-1172	14		-620.5	10.5
-1092	10.5		-452	10
-	10.5		-	4.783
-452	10		-	3.197
-	4.226		-90.66	6.182
-	2.822	For	99.98	5.794
-	5.827	-191mm~250mm,	250	10
89.99	6.272	symmetric	620.5	10.5
250	10	Vaperture which is	1160	10.5
620.5	10.5	narrower than real	1225	17
1092	10.5	aperture	1631	17
1172	14		1811	35
1252	22		2675	35
1590	22		2725	40
1630	23		3640	40
1750	35			
2350	35			
2400	40			
3315	40			

Y.Soloviev

#### Ver. 20120201

## Aperture Data for SAD simulation

HER

r[mm]

40

40

35

35

17

17

10 4.783

13.5

13.5

3.197

6.182

5.794

10

13.5

13.5

17

17

35

35

40

40

QC1 を	LE	ER		H
10.5mm[] 13.5m	s[mm	rſmm		s[mm]
mに	-3640	40		-3315
拡げた場合	-2725	40		-2400
	-2675	35		-2350
	-1750	35		-1811
	-1630	23		-1631
	-1590	22		-1225
	-1252	22		-1160
	-1172	14		-620.5
	-1092	13.5		-452
	-	13.5		-
	-452	10	•	-
	-	4.226	For	-90.66
	-	2.822	-191mm~250mm,	99.98
	-	5.827		250
	89.99	6.272	symmetric	620.5
	250	10	Vaperture which is	1160
	620.5	13.5	narrower than real	1225
	1092	13.5	aperture	1631
	1172	14	·	1811
	1252	22		2675
	1590	22		2725
	1630	23		3640
	1750	35		
	2350	35		

40

40

2400

3315

$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	
	For
	-194mm~250mm,
	symmetric
	aperture which is
V	narrower than rea
	aperture

Y.Soloviev

## Estimation of halo



- Ne number of electrons per bunch
- f revolution frequency
- $\tau$  life time

Ne=6.5e+10, f = 1e+5 ,  $\tau$  = 600 sec number of bins in sigma = 37

Ne/( $\tau * f$ ) – lost in one turn =~1000

number of halo electrons per 1 sigma bin ~25

Assuming gaussian shape of beam angular distribution in X-plane ,i.e. only beam core without beam halo, is possible to estimate the number of direct hits of SR photons with energy over 5keV in Be-Ti beam pipe per bunch for this ideal case. The number of electrons per bunch was estimated as 6.5\*10e+10.

Sigma	Nsrph > 5keV per 2000 electrons (simulated)	Tail probability	Number of e- in tail	Nsrph >5keV per bunch
-4.8	23	5.1e-7	3.3e+4	380
-5.0	40	2.9e-7	1.9e+4	380
-6.0	66	1e-9	65	2
-9.0	167	1.1e-19	7.5e-9	6.3e-10
-12.0	277	7.2e-34	5e-23	7e-24

Vertical -50 sigma



h

**KEK-DESY** meeting

### Horizontal -12 sigma



### Horizontal -15 sigma



# HER



22