



Detection of Punchthrough of High Energetic Jets





Ulla Gebbert University of Hamburg



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

GEFÖRDERT VOM

DESY-CMS HCAL Upgrade Meeting 30.03.2012

Overview



Mismeasured high energetic jets

Understanding of tails fundamental for SUSY/Exotica searches

- Try to identify jets in tail according to effects (punchthrough,heavy flavor jets) using HO & hits in muon chambers
- Today:
 - results from an old study (2009)
 - only MC simulation





 B/C jets: heavy flavor jets with large fraction of energy in b-/c-quarks Muons from b/c decays in jets should be measured in muon system
 Punchthrough: only part of jet energy deposited inside calorimeters Hadron calorimeter in barrel region ~7 interaction lengths (~10 with HO) Including the 4 muon chambers ~20 interaction lengths

Punchthrough jets should cause hits in muon system



UH



Used Variables





Idea: Use muon hits in jet cone and HO to measure energy not deposited in calorimeters (barrel) → Use muon system as calorimeter!

Variables:

- #Hits in DT/RPC in muon station 1, 2, 3, 4, 3+4, 1+2, 1+2/3+4
- HO-fraction of jet
- P₁ of reconstructed muons behind jet

```
Event selection: Summer09 10TeV MC; QCD dijet samples \hat{P}_t >120 GeV; L2L3 corrected jets; MET corrected for JES and Muons
```



UHI

<u>Mismeasured B/C s on gen level</u>: Invisible fraction of jet > 5% and B/C-fraction >20%







- Select b/c jets in tail on data level using soft muon b tag (> 0.15)
- b/c jets in tail can be selected with higher purity and efficiency using other muon system variables & other b tags
- Here: cut only used to reject b/c jets for punchthrough study
 use simple data level selection







ΙH



- Reject B/C jets selected on data level!
- Divide jets left/right from mean in $\frac{CaloJet Pt GenJet Pt}{GenJet Pt}$ -distribution
- Assumption: Difference between low/high response jets (sensitive to muon var.) is <u>punchthrough</u>
- Select most separating variables:

Optimize $\frac{L-H}{\sqrt{L+H}}$ for each variable/ variable combination







Identify jets in jet resolution tail in data using dijet balance Event selection:

- at least 2 jets in barrel region ($|\eta| < 1.2$)
- Δφ₁₂>2.7 (0>Δφ<π)









Selection of Punchthrough

Selected variable: #Hits in Muon RPC>9 and other less separating variables



- Rather complete description of events in tail
- Data driven selection of variables and cuts P_{t.GenJet} used only for validation
- Only small percentage of high energetic jets is mismeasured
- \bullet But jets in tail cause fake MET \rightarrow implications for SUSY/Exotica searches



QCD dijet events \rightarrow only fake MET

On gen level ~86% of MET tail are tagged as punchthrough or B/C On data level ~ 54% (due to B/C jets not sensitive to muon variables)



- Percentage of punchthrough increases with jet energy
- Percentage of B/C jets not strongly dependent on jet energy
- Both effects play an important role for studies with high energetic jets and high cuts on MET will become more important in 2012 & beyond!

Punchthrough tags using only HO



Less complete description of events in tail (compared to usage of muon hits)

 Percentage of punchthrough decreases from 2.4% to 1.9% and considering only the outermost tail (36 below mean) from 15% to 7%

UH





- B/C jets and punchthrough: <u>complete description of tail</u> in resolution for high p_t jets
 - e.g masked ECAL cells not considered in simulation
- <u>Data driven</u> tagging of punchthrough
 - Muon system: use hits behind jet variables in JetID
 - HO only: lower efficiency
 - Generator level information of energy deposited outside HCAL would be very useful for further studies
- First look on <u>data</u>: similar results (slightly more muon hits)
 - Tail analysis of high MET events shows contributions from punch through more importance in 2012 & beyond!
 - MET group interested in more detailed studies (lack of manpower)











Only B/C jets on data or gen level are shown







- SUSY sample (CMS Benchmarkpoint LM1)
- Same event selection as for dijet samples (jet P₊ >500 GeV, etc.)



- Rather complete description of mismeasured tail
- Selection efficiency of B/C jets comparable to dijet samples
- Percentage of tagged (fake) MET much smaller than in dijet samples (as expected due to high intrinsic MET in SUSY events)