

#### Calorimeter for ILC

### Status Report

### Felix Sefkow



Kick-off Meeting of the ECFA Detector Panel DESY, Hamburg, May 2, 2012





- Introduction: Particle Flow and CALICE
- Detector technology projects
- Physics results
- Future plans





### LC jet energies

(fl)

Felix Sefkow

- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> physics: exclusive final states
  - Q-Qbar events are boring
  - $E_{jet} = \sqrt{s}/2$  is rare
- Mostly 4-, 6-fermion final states
  - e.g. e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → ttH → 8 -10 jets
- At ILC 500:  $E_{jet} = 50...150 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - Mean pion energy 10 GeV
- At ILC 1 TeV:  $E_{iet} < \sim 300 \text{ GeV}$
- At CLIC (3 TeV) < ~ 600 GeV
- Resolution matters!







Hamburg, May 2, 2012

ત્વ



### Challenge: W Z separation



Calorimeter for IL

- ★ In a typical jet :
  - 60 % of jet energy in charged hadrons
  - + 30 % in photons (mainly from  $\pi^0 o \gamma\gamma$  )
  - + 10 % in neutral hadrons (mainly  $_{\mbox{$n$}}$  and  $_{\mbox{$K_L$}}$  )
- Traditional calorimetric approach:
  - Measure all components of jet energy in ECAL/HCAL !
  - ~70 % of energy measured in HCAL:  $\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E} \approx 60\,\%/\sqrt{{\rm E}({\rm GeV})}$
  - Intrinsically "poor" HCAL resolution limits jet energy resolution





**★** Particle Flow Calorimetry paradigm:

- charged particles measured in tracker (essentially perfectly)
- + Photons in ECAL:  $\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E} < 20\,\%/\sqrt{{\rm E}({\rm GeV})}$
- Neutral hadrons (ONLY) in HCAL
- Only 10 % of jet energy from HCAL 
   much improved resolution



### **Particle Flow Reconstruction**

### **Reconstruction of a Particle Flow Calorimeter:**

- **\*** Avoid double counting of energy from same particle
- **\*** Separate energy deposits from different particles



If these hits are clustered together with these, lose energy deposit from this neutral hadron (now part of track particle) and ruin energy measurement for this jet.

Level of mistakes, "confusion", determines jet energy resolution not the intrinsic calorimetric performance of ECAL/HCAL

### Three types of confusion:





Calorimeter for ILC

# Understand particle flow performance

+0.3

%



- Particle flow is always better
  - even at high jet energies
- HCAL resolution does matter
   also for confusion term
- Leakage plays a role, too



#### - Total ••••• Other ---- Resolution ---- Leakage ---- Confusion 3.1 % **Total Resolution** Confusion 2.3 % i) Photons 1.3 % ii) Neutral hadrons 1.8 % iii) Charged hadrons 0.2 % 50 100 200 250 0 150 E<sub>.IFT</sub>/GeV

Particle flow is always better

+0.3

%

- even at high jet energies
- HCAL resolution does matter also for confusion term
- Leakage plays a role, too

## Understand particle flow



Calorimeter for ILC



- Particle flow is always better
  - even at high jet energies
- HCAL resolution does matter
  - also for confusion term
- Leakage plays a role, too



### Particle flow detectors

- large radius, large field, fine 3D calorimeter granularity, compact
  - Typ 1X0 long, transv: 0.5cm ECAL, 1cm gas HCAL, 3cm scint.
- optimized in full simulations and particle flow reconstruction





Calorimeter for ILC

### Calorimeter technology tree









- We are more than 300 physicists and engineers from 57 institutes in Africa, America, Europe and Asia
- Our goal: develop highly granular calorimeter options based on the particle flow approach for an e+e- linear collider
- Twofold approach:
  - Physics prototypes and test beam
    - Proof of principle, test of shower simulation models, development of reconstruction algorithms with real data
  - Technical prototypes
    - Realistic, scalable design (and costing)





### Particle flow calorimeters:

- Particle Flow concept proven in detailed simulations: provides required resolution up to CLIC jet energies
- Extremely fine calorimeter segmentation 100M read-out cells - demands novel read-out technologies and poses new system integration challenges
  - remain compact: Moliere radius, stay inside coil
  - embed electronics, minimize power
- CALICE: collaborative R&D and test beam effort to
  - develop the technologies
  - establish the performance
  - validate the physics models
  - test the algorithms
  - demonstrate the scalability



Technologies for High Granularity

> Si W ECAL Sci W ECAL

not reported this time: MAPS DECAL

#### Physics prototype 2005-2011: demonstrate SiW ECAL technique



18x18cm<sup>2</sup> active area, 30 layers 1x1cm<sup>2</sup> segmentation ~10000 readout channels

5-year test beam campaign muons, electrons, hadrons

detector calibration, EM response validation of simulation, hadronisation models





#### Technical prototype under development

Higher readout granularity

Embedded low power FE electronics

Move towards industrial techniques modular construction





~2/3 scale mechanical module carbon fibre, tungsten completed

Will be partially instrumented over next years, testing different technological solutions Some examples

PCB with embedded ASICs Low-volume interconnections Water-based leakless cooling



Recent beam test of "technological" detector slab at DESY

Test of new ASIC, DAQ system, power/DAQ adapter board for technological prototype





Second round of beam tests planned for summer: larger scale with ~10 layers

### Scintillator ECAL overview and perspectives

- PFA requires highly granular ECAL
- to accommodate within reasonable cost
- scintillator strip ECAL with orthogonal directions to achieve fine segmentation
- very thin and novel photon sensor is developed





## current development

- finer granularity up to 5mm more than 8 p.e. & uniform +-5%
- electronics integration
- Beam test 2012 fall
- with Silicon W ECAL

small-area version of scint HCAL read-out







Technologies for High Granularity

> Sci Fe HCAL Sci W HCAL



### Fe Scint tile AHCAL

- 38 layers steel sandwich
- World's first large device with SiPMs: 7600 tiles / sensors
- Now used in CMS, T2K, medical imaging ,...

#### SIPM: MEPHI /PULSAR



1x1 mm<sup>2</sup> 1156 pixels



### 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> x 5mm



1x1 m<sup>2</sup> 220 tiles

- Extremely robust: 6 years of data taking without problems
- Many trips with dis-and re-assembly of the HCAL
  - DESY CERN DESY FNAL DESY CERN-PS CERN-SPS





### Scint AHCAL calibration and electromagnetic performance

Events

200

150

100

50

0 pixels

1 pixels

rob

A<sub>0</sub> mean,

mean.

A<sub>2</sub> mean

A<sub>3</sub> mean.

A<sub>4</sub> mean,

2 pixels

0.991 3.086e+04 ± 27

 $1109 \pm 0.6$ 

 $60.96 \pm 0.55$ 2758e+04 + 303

 $59.05 \pm 0.70$ 

 $6553 \pm 13$ 

2057 + 2.8

- SiPM gain monitoring: self-calibrating
- Cell equalization: MIPs
- Temperature correction:  $\sim 4\%/K$
- Validation of calibration and simulation with electrons





### AHCAL technological prototype





### SiPMs and tiles

- Options for direct fibre-less - coupling
- uniformity problems solved
- industrialized injection molding process
  - first tests 🗕



 much reduced noise and occupancy

Status Report to ECFA-DP















### Tungsten AHCAL prototype



Main purpose: Validation of Geant4 simulation for hadronic showers in tungsten



Scintillator tiles 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> (in centre) Read out by SiPM

### Data taken 2010/11 at CERN-PS/SPS, mixed beams 1 – 300 GeV



#### ine radial externe of the shower

#### 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

1



**F3B: <u>Tipp</u>enstructure of s**hower: one row of 15 tiles with pico-scope read-out

#### Data Analysis - Technique

For each channel, a complete waveform with 3000 samples (800 ps /sample) is saved
Waveform decomposed into individual photon signals, using averaged 1 p.e. signals
Average 1 p.e. signal taken from calibration runs between spills, refreshed every 5 minutes: Continuous automatic gain calibration







### Digital glass RPC tungsten HCAL

- 2012: test tungsten HCAL with gaseous readout.
  - Due to slow neutrons from W, energy resolution of a W-HCAL with gas detectors might not be the same as with scintillators. This needs testing.
  - Have two independent data sets to validate tungsten Geant4 simulations.

 Infrastructure has been adjusted to accommodate the new equipment →

RPC version of T3B in preparation, too





Technologies for High Granularity

(Semi-) Digital HCAL RPC, GEM, Micromegas

### **The Digital Hadron Calorimeter - DHCAL**

### **RPC** – based imaging calorimeter

DHCAL = First large scale calorimeter prototype with

Embedded front-end electronics Digital (= 1 – bit) readout Pad readout of RPCs (RPCs usually read out with strips) Extremely fine segmentation with 1 x 1 cm<sup>2</sup> pads

#### DHCAL = World record channel count for calorimetry World record channel count for RPC-based systems

479,232 readout channels

#### **DHCAL** construction

Started in fall 2008 Completed in winter 2011

#### Test beam activities

10 Million muon events 25 Million secondary beam events Tests with Tungsten absorber ← starting now at CERN



### **Some nice DHCAL events**



### **Muons in the DHCAL**

#### **Broadband muons**

Obtained from +32 GeV beam with beam blocker

#### Reconstruct

Tracks in the DHCAL  $\rightarrow$  Software alignment of layers

#### Measure

Efficiency, average pad multiplicity...

#### Tune

Monte Carlo simulation







### Construction of one unit of the SDHCAL prototype: 2-bit 3-threshold r/o



#### The homogeneity of the detector and its readout electronics were studied



Power-Pulsing mode was tested in a magnetic field of 3 Tesla



The Power-Pulsing mode was applied on a GRPC in a 3 Tesla field at H2-CERN (2ms every 10ms) No effect on the detector performance




Construction of the SDHCAL prototype 460800 electronic channels and self-supporting mechanical structure with planarity requirements fulfilled

Planarity

*lerification* 

# First technological prototype 50 units (>6 $\lambda_1$ ) working with power-pulsing

Currently in TB



#### GEM Test Beam with KPiX: Efficiencies, Hit multiplicities



#### GEM Test Beam with KPiX: Efficiencies, Hit multiplicities



# Toward 100cmx100cm GEM Planes!!



Each of the GEM 100cmx100cm planes will consist of three 33cmx100cm unit chambers Qualification of five 33cmx100cm GEM foils completed!!

Two 33cmx100cm chamber parts delivered Class 10,000 clean room (12'x8') construction completed

Jig for 33cmx100cm chamber being procured



# MICROMEGAS for a SDHCAL

Woven mesh

ront-end ASIC

LAR CAR

lrfu

saclav

#### Characteristics:

lapp

- Proportional mode
- Bulk-MICROMEGAS
- 1cm<sup>2</sup> pad readout
- embedded readout electronics (3 thresholds)

NIVERSITE

- Operating at low voltage < 500 V</p>
- High detection rate
- Robust, cheap (industrial process)
- Thickness: down to 6 mm

#### Prototype basic performances

- MIP most probable value : ~20fC
- At 1.5 fC threshold :

- Efficiency > 97%, channel disparity < 1%</p>
- Multiplicity < 1.1</p>
- Excellent behaviour in electromagnetic and hadronic showers



**EN-ICE-DEM** 

PH-LCD

Charged particle



2 mm steel

3 mm gas

1 mm PCB 2 mm epoxy

# MICROMEGAS for a SDHCAL

#### 1m<sup>2</sup> MICROMEGAS layer:

lapp

- 9216 pads of  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  (2% dead areas)
- 6 independent bulks
- 7 mm total thickness
  - + 2 mm stainless steel (SS)
- fits in SS and W CALICE structures.
- Prototype with MICROROC chip
  - Non-flammable mixture Ar/CF4/iC4H10 95/3/2
  - 2 weeks operation in August 2011 (SPS) with less than 10 HV trips, no dead channels (~ 6 millions of recorded triggers: 150 GeV μ and π)
  - 10 days in GRPC-DHCAL in October 2011 (SPS)
    (~ 1 millions of recorded hadron triggers: 60 to 180 GeV)
  - Efficiency = 98 %, hit multiplicity = 1.15, Noise = 0.1 Hz for the complete 1m<sup>2</sup>



EN-ICE-DEM

PH-LCD



- Response in hadronic showers, triggerless mode
- 4 MICROMEGAS layers expected for 2012 beam tests in GRPC-HCAL with common DAQ!

MICROMEGAS for a SDHCAL

LIR CAL

1m<sup>2</sup> MICROMEGAS layer:

lapp

- 9216 pads of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> (2% dead areas)
- 6 independent bulks
- 7 mm total thickness
  - + 2 mm stainless steel (SS)
- fits in SS and W CALICE structures.
- Prototype with MICROROC chip
  - Non-flammable mixture Ar/CF4/iC4H10 95/3/2
  - 2 weeks operation in August 2011 (SPS) with less than 10 HV trips, no dead channels (~ 6 millions of recorded triggers: 150 GeV μ and π)
  - 10 days in GRPC-DHCAL in October 2011 (SPS)
    (~ 1 millions of recorded hadron triggers: 60 to 180 GeV)
  - Efficiency = 98 %, hit multiplicity = 1.15, Noise = 0.1 Hz for the complete 1m<sup>2</sup>
  - Response in hadronic showers, triggerless mode
- 4 MICROMEGAS layers expected for 2012 beam in GRPC-HCAL with common DAQ!



**EN-ICE-DEM** 

PH-LCD

# Common developments

# Front end electronics DAQ

not reported here: test beam infrastructure, software and computing



- Requirements for electronics
  - Large dynamic range (15 bits)
  - Auto-trigger on ½ MIP
  - On chip zero suppress
  - Front-end embedded in detector
  - 10<sup>8</sup> channels

Calorimeter

- Ultra-low power : (25µW/ch)
- Compactness
- « Tracker electronics with calorimetric performance »











#### April 2012

#### **CALICE FE Electronics**

#### ASICs for ILC prototypes



#### COMMON READOUT: TOKEN RING Mode

mega

## Readout architecture **common to all calorimeters** and **minimization of data lines & power**

- Daisy chain using token ring mode
- □ Open collector, low voltage signals
- □ Low capacitance lines

5 events	3 events	0 event	Cevent	<sup>1</sup> event
Chip 0	Chip 1	→ Chip 2	→ Chip 3	→ Chip 4

#### <u>Data bus</u>





#### CALICE FE Electronics

### **CALICE DAQ2 scheme**

Original ideas and R&D from CALICE-UK (UCL, Cambridge U., Manchester U., RHUL)



Debug USB — External Trigger

ODR = Off Detector Receiver LDA = Link Data Agregator

Vincent.Boudry@in2p3.f

DCC = Data Concentrator Card DIF = Detetcor InterFace CCC = Clock & Control Card

### **CALICE DAQ2 scheme**

Implementation & debug made by CALICE-France: LLR, IPNL, LAPP



LDA-DIF on HDMI (Config, Control, Data, Clock, Trig, Busy, Sync)
 Clock, Trig, Busy & Sync on HDMI (compatible LDA-DIF)
 Optique (alt. Cable) GigE
 Debug USB External Trigger

ODR = Off Detector Receiver LDA = Link Data Agregator DCC = Data Concentrator Card DIF = Detetcor InterFace CCC = Clock & Control Card

#### Vincent.Boudry@in2p3.f

### **CALICE DAQ2 scheme**



ODR = Off Detector Receiver LDA = Link Data Agregator DCC = Data Concentrator Card DIF = Detetcor InterFace CCC = Clock & Control Card

#### Vincent.Boudry@in2p3.f

### **USB** readout for SDHCAL



Vincent.Boudry@in2p3.f

#### SW framework

#### XDAQ framework + Oracle DB for config. + LCIO for Data Output USB or HDMI readout





# Technologies

- High granularity needs spur the use of novel detection techniques in calorimetry
  - Si pads at large scale, SiPMs, pad RPCs, MPGDs
  - ultra-low power mixed-circuit ASICs are key
- All major technologies have undergone or are undergoing extensive full-scale beam tests
- Si W ECAL and Sci Fe AHCAL analysis nearly complete
- Analysis of the more recent tests has just begun, but all results so far are encouraging and confirm the expectation
- Technological demonstrators of scalable systems start to provide first results

No show stoppers seen, but more tests are necessary



Test beam experiments



Calorimeter for ILC

### Test beam experiments





Calorimeter for ILC

## Test beam experiments 2010+





Ð

Calorimeter for ILC

## Summary of data taken



- Muon, LED and noise runs not included
- event size ~ 50kB -> 20 TB of physics data on the GRID

#### W-AHCAL Data taken at PS and SPS



## **Physics results**

Validation of Geant 4 simulations, Tests of particle flow algorithm



Calorimeter for ILC

# Shower simulation in Geant 4

- Low energy: cascade models
- High energy: partonic models





SiW ECAL data

12 GeV  $\pi^-$  FTFP BERT Very precise information thanks to others high granularity protons electrons positrons Shower decomposition very mesons Monte Carlo : all instructive CALICE note zero suppression<sub>30</sub> CALICE QGS BIC GSP BER QGSP BIC GSP\_FTFP\_BERT FTFP\_BERT - LHEP 90% containment 00% containment 50 20 X0 40 50 Depth 0.8 λ 12 GeV π QGSP\_BERT 80 /GeV CALICE PRELIMINARY showers wider in data 10<sup>3</sup> TB data than most lists, QGSP BERT but FTFP\_BERT does well at low energy reversed 20 60 2010 JINST 5 P05007; 10 Mean shower radius (mm) Status Report to ECFA-DP 58 Felix alorismeter for ILC 20 30 40 50

# **CERN and FNAL Fe AHCAL data**



# **CERN and FNAL Fe AHCAL data**



# Timing in Tungsten HCAL

- For CLIC energies, containment becomes a major issue.
- Addressed using Tungsten HCAL same scintillators with W absorber instead of Fe.
- Timing is also an issue at CLIC.
- Timing tests carried out using dedicated layer in the CALICE W-HCAL.
- Overlapping) pulses can be resolved; examine time of first hit.



AN-

ω



- not many data available anyway
- Amazing agreement for a difficult material in a difficult range



Calorimeter for ILC



- not many data available anyway
- Amazing agreement for a difficult material in a difficult range



### Shower fine structure

Digging Deeper: 3D Substructure - Particle Tracks





ower: Correlatic

500MeX (w/o e<sup>±</sup>)

Action into MCPalled

Status Report to ECFA-DP

publication draft

### Containment – use of Tail Catcher

- Tail catcher gives us information about tails of hadronic showers.
- Use ECAL+HCAL+TCMT to emulate the effect of coil by omitting layers in software, assuming shower after coil can be sampled.
- Significant improvement in resolution, especially at higher energies.



#### 2012\_JINST\_7\_P04015



#### ECFA detector R&D Panel



### Software compensation

#### Scint HCAL



- Dream: s/w compensation with fine segmentation
- Significantly improved resolution AND linearity
- High granularity many possibilities, local and global



Status Report to ECFA-DP

Felix Sefkow Hamburg, May 2, 2012

publication draft

64



### PFLOW with test beam data



- The "double-track resolution" of an imaging calorimeter
- Small occupancy: use of event mixing technique possible
- test resolution degradation if second particle comes closer
- Important: agreement data simulation

JINST 6 (2011) P07005

## DHCAL first results: pions



32 GeV data point is not included in the fit.

Standard pion selection + No hits in last two layers

> MC predictions for a large-size DHCAL based on the small-size prototype results.



Status Report to ECFA-DP


### Summary on analysis

- The high granularity and the wide energy range covered allow unprecedented tests of the Geant 4 physics lists
- Altogether, the state of the art models yield a precise description up to a level of a few percent - of response, resolution and topology
- New observables like track multiplicity or timing give novel input to model builders
- The particle flow performance has been validated with test beam data
- There is still a huge potential on tape or in-coming, in particular with gaseous digital read-out, with Fe and with W





### Future plans

- We must fully exploit the existing prototypes
  - more data taking after LS1
- We must fully exploit the existing data
  - physics analysis is involved, but rewarding
- We must proceed from single or few layer demonstrators to full-scale tests of the integration concepts
- New physics possibilities: 4x finer ECAL, timing in AHCAL
- There is lots to do on system level powering, cooling, data concentration - before we can proceed to pre-production prototypes (module 0)





### Conclusion

- Calorimetry is in revolutionary change modern imaging calorimeters give insight
  - granularity redundancy modeling
- Particle flow detectors achieve W / Z separation, are experimentally validated in beams, and maturing in design
- Proof-of-principle test beam campaign to be completed for all technologies
  - Analysis partially completed, ongoing or just started
- Ready for the next phase
- Wealth of shower physics for the HEP community





### Acknowledgments

- Thanks for providing me with material for this talk:
  - Catherine Adloff, Vincent Boudry, Daniel Jeans, Erik van der Kraaij, Imad Laktineh, Shaojun Lu, Angela Lucaci-Timoce, Jose Repond, Nathalie Seguin-Moreau, Frank Simon, Tohru Takeshita, Mark Terwort, David Ward, Jae Yu
- Thanks to all my CALICE colleagues for continuous support
- Thank **you** for your attention!



Back-up slides



### Tile granularity

• Recent studies with PFLOW algorithm, full simulation and





M.Thomson (Cambridge)

Status Report to ECFA-DP



### Tile granularity

• Recent studies with PFLOW algorithm, full simulation and





M.Thomson (Cambridge)

Status Report to ECFA-DP



## Tile granularity

• Recent studies with PFLOW algorithm, full simulation and



M.Thomson (Cambridge)



Status Report to ECFA-DP

Felix Sefkow Ha



## PFLOW under CLIC conditions

- Overlay γγ events from 60 BX (every 0.5 ns)
- take sub-detector specific integration times, multi-hit capability and time-stamping accuracy into account
- apply pt and timing cuts on cluster level (sub-ns accuracy)







+ 1.4 TeV BG (reconstructed particles)



## PFLOW under CLIC conditions

- Overlay γγ events from 60 BX (every 0.5 ns)
- take sub-detector specific integration times, multi-hit capability and time-stamping accuracy into account
- apply pt and timing cuts on cluster level (sub-ns accuracy)







+ 1.4 TeV BG (reconstructed particles)



## PFLOW under CLIC conditions

- Overlay γγ events from 60 BX (every 0.5 ns)
- take sub-detector specific integration times, multi-hit capability and time-stamping accuracy into account
- apply pt and timing cuts on cluster level (sub-ns accuracy)







Status Report to ECFA-DP

e :	6 energy points in range from 10 to 40 GeV	2.3 M
μ:	for calibration over full surface	4.7 M
π/e:	10 energy points in range from 1 to 10 GeV	17.5 M
μ:	for calibration, mostly inner region	10 M
T3B:	A dedicated experiment to study shower time development.	

Took the same events in sync with AHCAL, plus standalone

- **π**: 16 energy points in range from 10 to 300 GeV including ~400k Kaons at 60 and at 80 GeV
- Data taken

events.



SPS H8

PS T7 & T9

# events

25.8 M

- ✓ Phase I (Through late 2011) → Completion of 30cm x 30cm characterization and DCAL chip integration
  - Performed beam tests @ FTBF with 30cm x 30cm double GEM chambers, one with KPiX9 and 3 with DCAL
  - Completion of 33cmx100cm large foil evaluation

- Phase I (Through late 2011) -> Completion of 30cm x 30cm characterization and DCAL chip integration
  - Performed beam tests @ FTBF with 30cm x 30cm double GEM chambers, one with KPiX9 and 3 with DCAL
  - Completion of 33cmx100cm large foil evaluation
- Phase II (late 2011 early 2013): 33cm x 100cm unit chamber development and characterization
  - Begin construction of 2 unit 100cmx33cm chambers, one with kPiX and one with DCAL
  - Bench test with sources and cosmic rays and beam tests
  - Construction of 100cmx100cm plane

- Phase I (Through late 2011) -> Completion of 30cm x 30cm characterization and DCAL chip integration
  - Performed beam tests @ FTBF with 30cm x 30cm double GEM chambers, one with KPiX9 and 3 with DCAL
  - Completion of 33cmx100cm large foil evaluation
- Phase II (late 2011 early 2013): 33cm x 100cm unit chamber development and characterization
  - Begin construction of 2 unit 100cmx33cm chambers, one with kPiX and one with DCAL
  - Bench test with sources and cosmic rays and beam tests
  - Construction of 100cmx100cm plane
- Phase III (Early 2013 mid 2014): 100cmx100cm plane construction
  - Construct 6 unit chambers with DCAL for two 100cmx100cm planes
  - Characterize 100cmx100cm planes with cosmic rays and beams

- Phase I (Through late 2011) -> Completion of 30cm x 30cm characterization and DCAL chip integration
  - Performed beam tests @ FTBF with 30cm x 30cm double GEM chambers, one with KPiX9 and 3 with DCAL
  - Completion of 33cmx100cm large foil evaluation
- Phase II (late 2011 early 2013): 33cm x 100cm unit chamber development and characterization
  - Begin construction of 2 unit 100cmx33cm chambers, one with kPiX and one with DCAL
  - Bench test with sources and cosmic rays and beam tests
  - Construction of 100cmx100cm plane
- Phase III (Early 2013 mid 2014): 100cmx100cm plane construction
  - Construct 6 unit chambers with DCAL for two 100cmx100cm planes
  - Characterize 100cmx100cm planes with cosmic rays and beams
- Phase IV (Mid 2014 late 2015): 100cm x 100cm plane GEM DHCAL performances in the CALICE stack
  - Complete construction of five 100cm x 100cm planes inserted into existing CALICE calorimeter stack and run with either Si/W or Sci/W ECALs, and RPC or other technology planes in the remaining HCAL

### a DAQ for all technological prototypes

### Requirements

- «Generic» DAQ extensible for large detectors usable
  - In Test Beams for CALICE τ protos
  - ► as **prototype** for ILC calorimeters
- Features (more on next slide)
  - Common interface for all protos:
    Detector InterFace (DIF) cards
  - ▶ 1 or 2 concentrator cards
  - all signals on 1 cable with secure communication protocol (8b/10b)

#### 3 CALICE prototypes en route:

- SDHCAL : ~400.000 ch; Digital (2b/ch)
- ECAL : ~ 22.000 ch; Energy (12b)
- ► AHCAL : ~ 52.000 ch: Energy & time (2×12

- Acquisitions modes
  - Standard mode (ext<sup>al</sup> trigger) : not used
  - Triggered mode
    - ROC in auto-trigger; readout on external trigger (typical TB mode)
  - «ILC like»:
    - bunch acquisition without trigger (opt<sup>lly</sup> power pulsing): during a spill; readout on ROC full.

#### Calibration Key elements:

- Noise taming;
- huge configurations;
- Stability

#### b) Vincent.Boudry@in2p3.f

### **History & Status**

- Genesis
  - Most HW and FW blocks have been developed in UK; support vanished in 2011
    - Integration taken over @ LLR in 2010  $\rightarrow$  debug and dev<sup>t</sup> (with DCC card)
- Implementation
  - First set-up on SDHCAL (LAPP) & ECAL (LLR); AHCAL just started (DESY)
  - SW started from scratch in 2010 @ IPNL on XDAQ (for SDHCAL) + Oracle
- Test beams:
  - SDHCAL with HDMI in 2011: too many instabilities... (mix of HW, FW, SW).
    - 2012: running 400 kCh / 50 planes / 150 DIFs on USB (⊖ perfs but now very stable...)
  - ECAL with full system (3 DIFs) in April
- Work in progress:
  - Deployment of SW for ECAL & AHCAL; later deployment of HDMI for SDHCAL
  - Replacement of HW: LDA  $\rightarrow$  GigaDCC (LLR) and CCC  $\rightarrow$  CCC2 (Mainz)...
  - Integration with AIDA DAQ (aka EUDAQ + beam interface)

#### Vincent.Boudry@in2p3.f

ROC Chips performance (Testbench and at System le emean

### • HARDROC2 (DHCAL, RPC):

- semi digital readout with 3 thresholds
- Auto trigger on 10fC up to 20 pC
- Scalable readout scheme successfully tested
- power pulsing in magnetic field successfully tested in 2010
- SDHCAL technological proto with 40 layers (5760 HR2 chips) built in 2010-2011.



### MICROROC: (DHCAL, µMEGAS)

- Similar to HARDROC (semi digital readout) with charge preamp input (smaller signals)
- Noise: 0.2fC (Cd=80 pF). Auto trigger on 1fC up to 500fC
- Very good performance of the electronics and detector (Threshold set to 1fC on 1 m2 in TB )



### **ROC Chips performance**

### • SPIROC2 (AHCAL, SiPM):

- □ Autotrigger on 1 spe (150 fC)
- □ Charge measurement (up to 300 pC)
- □ Time measurement (~ 1 ns)
- □ 16 deep analog memory
- Internal 12 bits ADC



neaa

### • SKIROC2 (AHCAL, SiPM):

□ Similar to SPIROC2 but with Charge preamp input (1 MIP= 4fC)

- Very good performance on testbench
- First measurements performed in Test beam: very promising



April 2012

Embedded electronics - Parasitic effects?

Exposure of front end electronics to electromagnetic showers





Chips placed in shower maximum of 70-90 GeV elm. showers



Possible Effects: Transient effects Single event upsets Comparison: Beam events (Interleaved) Pedestal events



 No sizable influence on noise spectra by beam exposure

 $\Delta \text{Mean}$  < 0.01% of MIP  $\Delta \text{RMS}$  < 0.01% of MIP

- No hit above 1 MIP observed
  - => Upper Limit on rate of faked MIPs:  $\sim$ 7x10<sup>-7</sup>

NIM A 654 (2011) 97

### Tests of GEANT4 physics lists



EUDET-Memo-2010-15

ECFA detector R&D Panel

## **Tests of Particle Flow**

- Ultimate aim is to design \* calorimeter optimised for particle flow.
- Test by overlaying charged and \* (fake-)neutral showers from data and reconstructing using PandoraPFA.
- Check simulation of performance \* as a function of separation between showers





#### ECFA detector R&D Panel

### Software Compensation

- CALICE calorimeters not compensating.
- But can use granularity to distinguish electromagnetic and hadronic energy deposits, and weight accordingly.
- Various techniques give similar results.
- Improve resolution by ~20% across wide energy range; also slightly improve linearity of response.





# AN-035

#### ECFA detector R&D Panel

### Correction of leakage using AHCAL alone?

- How well can we do using HCAL alone?
- Correction based on \* observables sensitive to leakage:
  - Shower start point
  - Fraction in last 5 layers
- Can achieve improvement in \* both linearity and resolution.

RMS90/MEAN improvement%

- 10

40

20

40



80

E<sub>beam</sub> [GeV]

100

CALICE preliminary

60

ECAL+AHCAL energy [GeV]

80

60

40

20

20

## **Digital HCAL**



#### ECFA detector R&D Panel