MC methods in pricing and risk assessment February 20, 2013

Gabor Molnar-Saska Morgan Stanley

- What is pricing
- Pricing by replication
- Binomial tree
- Risk-Neutral pricing
- Monte Carlo simulation
- Risks
- An example in commodity
- American type options
- LSM algorithm and the bias correction

Pricing is NOT forecasting

- Pricing is NOT forecasting
- Example: pricing real estates
 - Current prices of estates in a neighborhood help you figure out the current price for a particular estate,
 - but give no information about future prices.

- Pricing is NOT forecasting
- Example: pricing real estates
 - Current prices of estates in a neighborhood help you figure out the current price for a particular estate,
 - but give no information about future prices.
- Example: pricing liquid instruments
 - Your decision whether to buy/sell a particular stock may be governed by your expectation of its future price, but the price on which you will buy or sell is determined by current market price.

- Pricing is NOT forecasting
- Example: pricing real estates
 - Current prices of estates in a neighborhood help you figure out the current price for a particular estate,
 - but give no information about future prices.
- Example: pricing liquid instruments
 - Your decision whether to buy/sell a particular stock may be governed by your expectation of its future price, but the price on which you will buy or sell is determined by current market price.

The fair price of an instrument is the price

- which rules out arbitrage
- allows a static or dynamic replication portfolio with the same payoff
- is an inter- / extrapolation of market prices of related products.

Assumptions

- No transaction costs
- We can borrow and lend arbitrary amounts of money at the same rate
- Underlying products are infinitely divisible (e.g. we can buy $\sin(e/\pi)$ number of shares)
- Underlying products can be selled short (selling without owning)
- Market is liquid
 - prices can be observed,
 - underlying can be bought / sold at the currently observed market price,
 - our trades do not influence market prices.

Assumptions

- No transaction costs
- We can borrow and lend arbitrary amounts of money at the same rate
- Underlying products are infinitely divisible (e.g. we can buy $\sin(e/\pi)$ number of shares)
- Underlying products can be selled short (selling without owning)
- Market is liquid
 - prices can be observed,
 - underlying can be bought / sold at the currently observed market price,
 - our trades do not influence market prices.

 \Rightarrow Owning an instrument or owning its current market value in cash is equivalent in value.

• Party A and B agree on the following deal: a year from now A will give one share of CCC Corp. to B, in return B will give *S* dollars to A. What is the "fair" value of *S*?

- Party A and B agree on the following deal: a year from now A will give one share of CCC Corp. to B, in return B will give *S* dollars to A. What is the "fair" value of *S*?
- Market info:
 - Current price of CCC is 30 dollars
 - Analysts expect CCC to trade at 39 dollars in a year from now
 - The risk free interest rate is 10%

- Party A and B agree on the following deal: a year from now A will give one share of CCC Corp. to B, in return B will give *S* dollars to A. What is the "fair" value of *S*?
- Market info:
 - Current price of CCC is 30 dollars
 - Analysts expect CCC to trade at 39 dollars in a year from now
 - The risk free interest rate is 10%
- Replication strategy for B
 - Borrow 30 dollars for 1 year
 - Buy the share
 - After 1 year pay back 33 dollars. $\Rightarrow S \leq$ 33

- Party A and B agree on the following deal: a year from now A will give one share of CCC Corp. to B, in return B will give *S* dollars to A. What is the "fair" value of *S*?
- Market info:
 - Current price of CCC is 30 dollars
 - Analysts expect CCC to trade at 39 dollars in a year from now
 - The risk free interest rate is 10%
- Replication strategy for B
 - Borrow 30 dollars for 1 year
 - Buy the share
 - After 1 year pay back 33 dollars. $\Rightarrow S \leq$ 33
- Replication strategy for A
 - Short (sell) the share
 - Lend the 30 dollars for 1 year
 - After 1 year receive 33 dollars. $\Rightarrow S \ge 33$

- Party A and B agree on the following deal: a year from now A will give one share of CCC Corp. to B, in return B will give *S* dollars to A. What is the "fair" value of *S*?
- Market info:
 - Current price of CCC is 30 dollars
 - Analysts expect CCC to trade at 39 dollars in a year from now
 - The risk free interest rate is 10%
- Replication strategy for B
 - Borrow 30 dollars for 1 year
 - Buy the share
 - After 1 year pay back 33 dollars. $\Rightarrow S \leq$ 33
- Replication strategy for A
 - Short (sell) the share
 - Lend the 30 dollars for 1 year
 - After 1 year receive 33 dollars. $\Rightarrow S \ge 33$

Eliminating risk by hedging

- Enter into the same (similar) contract from the other side
 - Common practice, market makers charge some fee on top of the fair price.
- Perform the opposite replication strategy
 - Hedging may be partial



• Derivatives may be bought / sold before expiry

- Derivatives may be bought / sold before expiry
- 6 months after the trade the price of CCC Corp increases to 31 dollars. The interest rate remains 10%. B decides to sell its position to Q. What will the price be?

- Derivatives may be bought / sold before expiry
- 6 months after the trade the price of CCC Corp increases to 31 dollars. The interest rate remains 10%. B decides to sell its position to Q. What will the price be?
- The fair strike (based on today's data) would be 32.55, but Q will need to pay 33, so Q will loose 45 cents in 6 months if it enters the trade. B needs to compensate Q for a future loss of 45 cents.

- Derivatives may be bought / sold before expiry
- 6 months after the trade the price of CCC Corp increases to 31 dollars. The interest rate remains 10%. B decides to sell its position to Q. What will the price be?
- The fair strike (based on today's data) would be 32.55, but Q will need to pay 33, so Q will loose 45 cents in 6 months if it enters the trade. B needs to compensate Q for a future loss of 45 cents.
- Present value of 45 cents paid in 6 months time is ~ 42.86 cents

Call option – binomial model



Morgan Stanley

Call option – binomial model



Fair price of a call option strike at 100 ?

Call option - binomial model



Fair price of a call option strike at 100 ?

Morgan Stanley

Call option payoff = ?

Call option - binomial model



Fair price of a call option strike at 100 ?

Morgan Stanley

Call option payoff = ? $max(S_1 - 100, 0)$



Call option payoff = ? $max(S_1 - 100, 0)$ Replication by stock and cash

Fair price of a call option strike at 100 ?



Morgan Stanley

Call option payoff = ? $max(S_1 - 100, 0)$ Replication by stock and cash

 Ask 100/3 for the option and borrow 100/3 at t = 0.



Morgan Stanley

- Ask 100/3 for the option and borrow 100/3 at *t* = 0.
- Buy 2/3 number of shares at t = 0.



Morgan Stanley

- Ask 100/3 for the option and borrow 100/3 at *t* = 0.
- Buy 2/3 number of shares at t = 0.
- Give back the borrowed 100/3 at time *t* = 1.



Morgan Stanley

- Ask 100/3 for the option and borrow 100/3 at *t* = 0.
- Buy 2/3 number of shares at t = 0.
- Give back the borrowed 100/3 at time *t* = 1.



Morgan Stanley

- Ask 100/3 for the option and borrow 100/3 at *t* = 0.
- Buy 2/3 number of shares at t = 0.
- Give back the borrowed 100/3 at time *t* = 1.
- No loss or gain on any trajectory. Perfect replication!



Morgan Stanley

- Ask 100/3 for the option and borrow 100/3 at t = 0.
- Buy 2/3 number of shares at t = 0.
- Give back the borrowed 100/3 at time *t* = 1.
- No loss or gain on any trajectory. Perfect replication!

• As we have seen all replication portfolio consists of stock and cash

As we have seen all replication portfolio consists of stock and cash

• Value at T = 0 is V_0

- As we have seen all replication portfolio consists of stock and cash
 - Value at T = 0 is V_0
 - Assuming option payoffs V_1 , V_2 are given. Then

- As we have seen all replication portfolio consists of stock and cash
 - Value at T = 0 is V_0
 - Assuming option payoffs V_1 , V_2 are given. Then

$$V_1 = V_0 + b(S_1 - S_0)$$

$$V_2 = V_0 + b(S_2 - S_0)$$

As we have seen all replication portfolio consists of stock and cash

- Value at T = 0 is V_0
- Assuming option payoffs V_1 , V_2 are given. Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} V_1 &=& V_0 + b(S_1 - S_0) \ V_2 &=& V_0 + b(S_2 - S_0) \end{array}$$

• We get:

$$V_0 = rac{S_0 - S_2}{S_1 - S_2} V_1 + rac{S_1 - S_0}{S_1 - S_2} V_2$$

This is an expectation under a new measure!

Risk neutral measure



Investors need to be compensated for risk

Risk neutral measure



- Investors need to be compensated for risk
- If we change transition probabilities


- Investors need to be compensated for risk
- If we change transition probabilities
- ... expectation of <u>share price</u> equals value of risk-less investment.



- Investors need to be compensated for risk
- If we change transition probabilities
- ... expectation of <u>share price</u> equals value of risk-less investment.
- Expectation of <u>option payoff</u> equals value of risk-less investment of the option price.



- Investors need to be compensated for risk
- If we change transition probabilities
- ... expectation of <u>share price</u> equals value of risk-less investment.
- Expectation of <u>option payoff</u> equals value of risk-less investment of the option price.
- Using risk-neutral probabilities derivative prices can be written as



- Investors need to be compensated for risk
- If we change transition probabilities
- ... expectation of <u>share price</u> equals value of risk-less investment.
- Expectation of <u>option payoff</u> equals value of risk-less investment of the option price.
- Using risk-neutral probabilities derivative prices can be written as

$$I(0) = \mathbb{E}[V(T)]D(0,T)$$

- V(T) is the payoff at T, unknown at t < T
- D(0, T) < 1 is the deterministic discount factor



- Investors need to be compensated for risk
- If we change transition probabilities ...
- ... expectation of <u>share price</u> equals value of risk-less investment.
- Expectation of <u>option payoff</u> equals value of risk-less investment of the option price.
- Using risk-neutral probabilities derivative prices can be written as

 $V(0) = \mathbb{E}[V(T)]D(0,T)$

• V(T) is the payoff at T, unknown at t < T

• D(0, T) < 1 is the deterministic discount factor

Derivative payoff is replicated by a combination of stock and cash.

Under risk-neutral measure both grow in average at the risk-free rate, so their combination, which is the option value also grows at the risk-free rate.











- Unique price exists because there is perfect replication.
- At t = 1 replication portfolio has to be rebalanced based on outcome.
- Portfolio is self-financing: no need to add money/no money is gained at t = 1.



- Unique price exists because there is perfect replication.
- At t = 1 replication portfolio has to be rebalanced based on outcome.
- Portfolio is self-financing: no need to add money/no money is gained at t = 1.



- Unique price exists because there is perfect replication.
- At t = 1 replication portfolio has to be rebalanced based on outcome.
- Portfolio is self-financing: no need to add money/no money is gained at t = 1.



- Unique price exists because there is perfect replication.
- At *t* = 1 replication portfolio has to be rebalanced based on outcome.
- Portfolio is self-financing: no need to add money/no money is gained at t = 1.



- Unique price exists because there is perfect replication.
- At t = 1 replication portfolio has to be rebalanced based on outcome.
- Portfolio is self-financing: no need to add money/no money is gained at t = 1.
- Price depends on set of available paths

Using $V(0)\mathbb{E}[V(T)]D(0, T)$ to price derivatives

Pricing a forward

 $V_{\rm FW}(0) = \mathbb{E}[S(T) - K]D(0, T) = \mathbb{E}[S(T)]D(0, T) - KD(0, T) = S(0) - KD(0, T)$

Pricing a forward

 $V_{\rm FW}(0) = \mathbb{E}[S(T) - K]D(0, T) = \mathbb{E}[S(T)]D(0, T) - KD(0, T) = S(0) - KD(0, T)$

• No assumptions needed \Rightarrow price is unique and unambiguous.

Pricing a forward

 $V_{\rm FW}(0) = \mathbb{E}[S(T) - K]D(0, T) = \mathbb{E}[S(T)]D(0, T) - KD(0, T) = S(0) - KD(0, T)$

• No assumptions needed \Rightarrow price is unique and unambiguous. <u>Pricing a call option</u>

 $V_{\text{CALL}}(0) = \mathbb{E}[\max(S(T) - K, 0)]D(0, T) = ?$

Pricing a forward

 $V_{\rm FW}(0) = \mathbb{E}[S(T) - K]D(0, T) = \mathbb{E}[S(T)]D(0, T) - KD(0, T) = S(0) - KD(0, T)$

• No assumptions needed \Rightarrow price is unique and unambiguous. <u>Pricing a call option</u>

$$V_{\text{CALL}}(0) = \mathbb{E}[\max(S(T) - K, 0)]D(0, T) = ?$$

 Need to have some assumptions, a MODEL ⇒Model for the possible trajectories!

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu(t, S_t) dt + S_t \sigma(t, S_t) dW_t$$

where *r* is the riskless interest rate, σ is the volatility, and μ is the drift of the stock. Both instruments are freely and instantaneously tradable either long or short at the price quoted.

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu(t, S_t) dt + S_t \sigma(t, S_t) dW_t$$

where *r* is the riskless interest rate, σ is the volatility, and μ is the drift of the stock. Both instruments are freely and instantaneously tradable either long or short at the price quoted.

Let X be a payout at time T (e.g. call option: $X = (S_T - K)^+$)

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu(t, S_t) dt + S_t \sigma(t, S_t) dW_t$$

where *r* is the riskless interest rate, σ is the volatility, and μ is the drift of the stock. Both instruments are freely and instantaneously tradable either long or short at the price quoted.

Let X be a payout at time T (e.g. call option: $X = (S_T - K)^+$)

What is the price today?

Although mathematically more challenging, the theory works!

Although mathematically more challenging, the theory works! The price of the claim can be computed as an expectation under an artificial new measure (risk neutral measure)

 $exp(-rT)\mathbb{E}_Q(X)$

Although mathematically more challenging, the theory works! The price of the claim can be computed as an expectation under an artificial new measure (risk neutral measure)

 $exp(-rT)\mathbb{E}_Q(X)$

Price of the call option: (if $X = (S_T - K)^+$) is

 $exp(-rT)\mathbb{E}_Q((S_T - K)^+)$

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu dt + S_t \sigma dW_t$$

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu dt + S_t \sigma dW_t$$

The price of the call option paying
$$X = (S_T - K)^+$$
 is
 $exp(-rT)\mathbb{E}_Q((S_T - K)^+) = S_0\Phi\left(\frac{\log \frac{S}{K} + (r+\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) - Kexp(-rT)\Phi\left(\frac{\log \frac{S}{K} + (r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu dt + S_t \sigma dW_t$$

The price of the call option paying
$$X = (S_T - K)^+$$
 is
 $exp(-rT)\mathbb{E}_Q((S_T - K)^+) = S_0\Phi\left(\frac{\log \frac{S}{K} + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) - Kexp(-rT)\Phi\left(\frac{\log \frac{S}{K} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$

The world is not as simple, the dynamics is more complex!

$$B_t = \exp(rt)$$

$$dS_t = S_t \mu dt + S_t \sigma dW_t$$

The price of the call option paying $X = (S_T - K)^+$ is

$$exp(-rT)\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left((S_{T}-K)^{+}\right) = S_{0}\Phi\left(\frac{\log\frac{S}{K}+\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) - Kexp(-rT)\Phi\left(\frac{\log\frac{S}{K}+\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

The world is not as simple, the dynamics is more complex!

We need MONTE CARLO simulation!

Monte Carlo simulation

The task is:

• Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path
- The price is the average value (Calculate expected value)

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path
- The price is the average value (Calculate expected value)

Standard techniques

• For path generation: Euler Scheme, Milstein Scheme, Euler scheme with predictor corrector

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path
- The price is the average value (Calculate expected value)

Standard techniques

• For path generation: Euler Scheme, Milstein Scheme, Euler scheme with predictor corrector

Variance reduction

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path
- The price is the average value (Calculate expected value)

Standard techniques

- For path generation: Euler Scheme, Milstein Scheme, Euler scheme with predictor corrector
- Variance reduction
- Random generator

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path
- The price is the average value (Calculate expected value)

Standard techniques

- For path generation: Euler Scheme, Milstein Scheme, Euler scheme with predictor corrector
- Variance reduction
- Random generator
- Sampling

- Generate Monte Carlo paths for the underlying
- Evaluate the cashflows on every path
- The price is the average value (Calculate expected value)

Standard techniques

- For path generation: Euler Scheme, Milstein Scheme, Euler scheme with predictor corrector
- Variance reduction
- Random generator
- Sampling
- Weighting Morgan Stanley




Hedging



Hedging

Risk management



Hedging

Risk management

We need the partial derivatives (GREEKS)!



Hedging

Risk management

We need the partial derivatives (GREEKS)!

But with the same random source.

Even if we generate a single path with a relatively simple dynamics, evaluation step is computationally expensive.

Even if we generate a single path with a relatively simple dynamics, evaluation step is computationally expensive.

Can we avoid regenerating and reevaluating paths to compute partial derivatives?

Even if we generate a single path with a relatively simple dynamics, evaluation step is computationally expensive.

Can we avoid regenerating and reevaluating paths to compute partial derivatives?

The answer is yes!

Assume we know the joint distribution function of the underlyings with a density function

 $f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1, \ldots, p_L)$

and the cashflow function is $C(p_1, \ldots, p_L)$

Assume we know the joint distribution function of the underlyings with a density function

 $f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1, \ldots, p_L)$

and the cashflow function is $C(p_1, \ldots, p_L)$ The price is

$$\hat{V} = rac{1}{N_{\omega}}\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\omega}} C\left(p_{1}\left(\omega_{k}
ight), \ldots, p_{L}\left(\omega_{k}
ight)
ight)$$

Likelihood ratio weighting

Since

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta} E\left[C\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{L}
ight)
ight] =$$

$$\int C(p_1,\ldots,p_L) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L)}{f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L)} f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L) dp_1 \ldots dp_L,$$

The partial derivatives (greeks) can be computed as

Likelihood ratio weighting

Since

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta} E\left[C\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{L}
ight)
ight] =$$

$$\int C(p_1,\ldots,p_L) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L)}{f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L)} f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L) dp_1 \ldots dp_L,$$

The partial derivatives (greeks) can be computed as

$$\hat{V} = \frac{1}{N_{\omega}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\omega}} C\left(p_{1}\left(\omega_{k}\right), \ldots, p_{L}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{L}}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{L}\right)}{f_{P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{L}}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{L}\right)}$$

Likelihood ratio weighting

Since

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta} E\left[C\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{L}
ight)
ight] =$$

$$\int C(p_1,\ldots,p_L) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L)}{f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L)} f_{P_1 \times \ldots \times P_L}(p_1,\ldots,p_L) dp_1 \ldots dp_L,$$

The partial derivatives (greeks) can be computed as

$$\hat{V} = \frac{1}{N_{\omega}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\omega}} C\left(p_{1}\left(\omega_{k}\right), \ldots, p_{L}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{L}}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{L}\right)}{f_{P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{L}}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{L}\right)}$$

- If dynamics is simple (e.g. lognormal), then weights can be computed fast
- We have to evaluate function C only once!

Option pricing

- European option
 - a contract that provides the right but not the obligation to engage in transaction on an asset at a reference price at maturity
- American option
 - a contract that provides the right but not the obligation to engage in transaction on an asset at a reference price any time before or at maturity
- Many American type option in practice
 - Bermudian option
 - Callable bond
 - Loan

Stochastic calculus

Determining the underlying dynamics

- Black-Scholes dynamics: $dS(t) = r \cdot S(t)dt + \sigma \cdot S(t)dW(t)$
- the discounted stock price dynamics under the risk neutral measure: $d\tilde{S}(t) = \sigma \cdot \tilde{S}(t) d\tilde{W}(t)$

No arbitrage asset pricing theory

• the true value of an asset is the expectation of all future discounted cash flows with respect to the risk neutral measure

Brute-Force algorithm

A natural approach to pricing



Figure: Brute-Force algorithm

- Pros: simple, flexible and transparent
- Cons: computationally intractable

The least squares method by Longstaff and Schwartz (2001)

Objective

- determine the exercise policy which maximizes the value of the option
- The algorithm works backwards
 - determines the expected value of continuation by regression
 - regression: spot price $(S) \rightarrow cash flow(y)$
 - exercise if intrinsic value is equal or greater than the expected value of continuation
 - average for all ω paths

Analysis of the regression model

- Using a set of basis functions those form a basis
- Regression value: $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X^TX)^{-1}Xy = Vy$
- The theoretical value of the regression Y

• Errors are
$$\epsilon = y - Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$

- Residuals are $r = y \hat{Y} \sim N(0, \sigma^2(I V))$
- Paths removed from the bulk of the cases are biased

At-the money American option values

LSM algorithm and independent path method respectively



Figure: Biased and unbiased approximations

At-the money American option values

Theoretical solution is to increase the number of paths to infinity



Figure: Convergence of the methods

Fries (2006) suggests to consider:

 $E(max((K - S_i)^+, Y_i)|\mathcal{F}(t)) = E(max((K - S_i)^+, \hat{Y}_i + e_i)|\mathcal{F}(t))$ where: $e_i \doteq r_i - \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \delta_i^2)$

Fries (2006) suggests to consider:

 $E(max((K - S_i)^+, Y_i)|\mathcal{F}(t)) = E(max((K - S_i)^+, \hat{Y}_i + e_i)|\mathcal{F}(t))$ where: $e_i \doteq r_i - \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \delta_i^2)$

One can derive that the above equals to:

$$\left((\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{S}_i)^+-\hat{Y}_i\right)\cdot\Phi\left(\frac{(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{S}_i)^+-\hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}\right)+\delta_i\cdot\varphi\left(\frac{(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{S}_i)^+-\hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}\right)+\hat{Y}_i$$

Fries (2006) suggests to consider:

$$E(max((K - S_i)^+, Y_i)|\mathcal{F}(t)) = E(max((K - S_i)^+, \hat{Y}_i + e_i)|\mathcal{F}(t))$$

where: $e_i \doteq r_i - \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \delta_i^2)$

One can derive that the above equals to:

$$\left((\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{S}_i)^+-\hat{Y}_i\right)\cdot\Phi\left(\frac{(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{S}_i)^+-\hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}\right)+\delta_i\cdot\varphi\left(\frac{(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{S}_i)^+-\hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}\right)+\hat{Y}_i$$

Thus the bias of the algorithm equals to:

$$m{b} \doteq \left((m{K} - m{S}_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i
ight) \cdot \Phi \left(rac{(m{K} - m{S}_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}
ight) + \delta_i \cdot arphi \left(rac{(m{K} - m{S}_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}
ight)$$

Kovacs - MSG (2012) • $r = y - \hat{Y}$

Kovacs - MSG (2012) • $r = y - \hat{Y}$ • $\epsilon = (I - V)^{-1} \cdot r$

Kovacs - MSG (2012) • $r = y - \hat{Y}$ • $\epsilon = (I - V)^{-1} \cdot r$ • $\sigma^2 \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\epsilon_i - E(\epsilon_i))^2}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\epsilon_i)^2}{n}$

Kovacs - MSG (2012) • $r = y - \hat{Y}$ • $\epsilon = (I - V)^{-1} \cdot r$ • $\sigma^2 \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\epsilon_i - E(\epsilon_i))^2}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\epsilon_i)^2}{n}$ • $var(e_i) = v_{ii} \cdot \sigma^2$

Kovacs - MSG (2012)
•
$$r = y - \hat{Y}$$

• $\epsilon = (I - V)^{-1} \cdot r$
• $\sigma^2 \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i - E(\epsilon_i))^2}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_i)^2}{n}$
• $var(e_i) = v_{ii} \cdot \sigma^2$
• $b = \left((K - S_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i\right) \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{(K - S_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}\right) + \delta_i \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{(K - S_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i}\right)$

Kovacs - MSG (2012)
•
$$r = y - \hat{Y}$$

• $\epsilon = (I - V)^{-1} \cdot r$
• $\sigma^2 \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\epsilon_i - E(\epsilon_i))^2}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\epsilon_i)^2}{n}$
• $var(e_i) = v_{ii} \cdot \sigma^2$
• $b = \left((K - S_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i \right) \cdot \Phi \left(\frac{(K - S_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i} \right) + \delta_i \cdot \varphi \left(\frac{(K - S_i)^+ - \hat{Y}_i}{\delta_i} \right)$
• $\begin{cases} exercise & \text{if } (K - S_i)^+ \ge \hat{Y}_i + b_i \\ no \text{ exercise } & \text{else} \end{cases}$

At-the-money American option values

The strike is 100 and volatility is 0.2 and 0.4 respectively



Figure: Comparison of the four introduced methods

Thank you for your attention

• Questions?