Beyond Supersymmetry

Cigdem Issever University of Oxford

Wilhelm und Else Heraeus-Seminar 9.-12. December 2012 Physikzentrum Bad Honnef



EXPERIMENT Bun Number = 189288

Event Number = 2779906Z(ee)+jet mass = 1858.8 GeV

associated jet

Leading electron pT = 485.1 GeVSecond electron pT = 335.1 GeVLeading jet pT = 905.8 GeVAssociated jet pT = 96.5 GeV

and the second second

leading jet

electrons

Why look beyond Supersymmetry (SUSY)?

SUSY is only one possible model among many others.

- Many more ways to solve problems with Standard Model
- What if nature has not chosen SUSY?
- Make sure to cover every feasible corner...

SUSY mass limits pushed to 1 TeV

SUSY becoming more "Exotic" the higher the mass limits get.

What Characterizes Exotics Searches?

No specific Model to guide us. No unified parameter phase

 $V(\phi)$

No unified parameter phase space to map results





C. Issever, University of Oxford

What Characterizes Exotics Searches?

- Exotics Search Strategy
 - Cover wide range of final states
 - Largely Model independent
 - Look for resonances
 - Look for any disagreement from expectations
 - Cover interesting new BSM models





Poster: S. Beranek, et al.

The Role of Models in "most" Exotics Searches



Toscanelli's model of the geography of the Atlantic Ocean, which directly influenced Columbus's plans

C. Issever, University of Oxford

The Role of Models in "most" Exotics Searches

Columbus' voyages



C. Issever, University of Oxford

The Role of Models in "most" Exotics Searches

- Models used to quantify our reach.
 - How far did we get?
 - How do we compare to previous searches?
- We use so called Bench Mark Models
 - Used before by other experiments
 - Add new features: wider widths
- Simplified Models or generic resonances

Basic Principles of a Search

- Most important: Robust background estimation!
 - Data-driven
 - Use background MCs
 - Use data to normalize in control regions MCs

Biases ?

- Fully blind not a realistic approach for Exotics searches
- Need to think beforehand about control regions
- Need to think beforehand about how to minimize bias.
- Trade-off between Signal and Background
 - Do NOT optimize towards a specific model
 - Selection cuts defined by triggers and background reduction.

Basic Principles of a Search

- You have a background estimate...what now?
- Check if data agrees with this expectation.
- If it does not agree...
 - Is the significance increasing with more data?
 - Look at time dependences...
 - Cross checks....
 - Discovery if significance is greater than 5 sigma.
- If it does agree....
 - How far did we explore the new physics phase?
 - Use Bench Mark models to quantify the search reach.
 - Make sure to publish also the acceptance for these models such that theorists can use your results to test other models.

Comment to Search Result Selection in this Talk

"What is the impact of the newly discovered boson on Exotics searches at the LHC?"

8 TeV Results

C. Issever, University of Oxford

Exotics Searches

Heavy resonances

- Dileptons
- Dijets
- Ttbar

4th gen quarks and vector-like quarks

Dark matter and extra dimension

Displaced muonic lepton jets from light higgs

CMS Highest Dimuon Invariant Mass Event; 8 TeV



C. Issever, University of Oxford

Models:

ATLAS-CONF-2012-129 PAS EXO12015

- ■Randall-Sundrum ED → Kaluza-Klein graviton
- •GUT-inspired theories, Little Higgs \rightarrow heavy gauge boson(s)
- ■Technicolor → narrow technihadrons



ATLAS-Electron selection

- diphoton trigger
- E¹_T>40 GeV Use && E²_T> 30 GeV
- |η|<2.47, excluding crack regions
- Cluster ID cuts
- Leading electron isolated
 - $(\Sigma E_T E_T^1) |_{(\Delta R < 0.2)} < 7 \text{ GeV}$
 - Reject jets faking electrons
- Require pixel hit
 - Rejects photon conversions
- A*ε(Z→ee, m=2 TeV) ~ 70%

CMS-Electron selection

- Use dielectron trigger
- E^{1,2}_T>30 GeV
- |η|<2.5, excluding crack regions
- Cluster ID cuts
- Leading electron isolated

$$(\Sigma P_{T} - P_{T}^{e})|_{(\Delta R < 0.3)} < 5 \text{ GeV}$$

$$(\sum E_{T} - E_{T}^{e})|_{(\Delta R < 0.3)} < 3\% E_{T}^{e}$$

- $E_{Hcal}/E_{ECAL}|_{(\Delta R < 0.15)} < 5\%$
- A^{*}ε(Z→ee, p_T=100 GeV) ~ 90%

Heavy Resonances Search: 8 TeV Dileptons Backgrounds

- SM Drell-Yan: γ*/Ζ-> I⁺I⁻
 - shape taken from Monte Carlo
 - normalisation taken from Z peak in data
- t-tbar:
 - where tt goes to e+e-, mu+mu-
 - est. from MC, cross-checked in data
 - also includes Z->ττ, WW, WZ
- Jet Background:
 - di-jet, W+jet events where the jets are misidentified as electrons/muons
- Cosmic Ray Background:
 - muons from cosmic rays
 - estimated <0.1 event after vertex and angular difference requirements



Heavy Resonances Search: 8 TeV Dileptons Backgrounds

PAS EXO12015

Source	Number of events				
	Dimuon sample		Dielectron sample		
	(120 – 200) GeV	>200 GeV	(120 – 200) GeV	>200 GeV	
Data	13831	3503	12030	2904	
Total background	13007 ± 589	3627 ± 160	12241 ± 592	2968 ± 258	
Z/γ^*	11703 ± 571	2919 ± 139	10657 ± 533	2198 ± 220	
$t\bar{t}$ + others	1278 ± 146	698 ± 78	1222 ± 183	557 ± 84	
jets	26 ± 3	10 ± 1	362 ± 181	213 ± 106	

No deviation from expectation found.

Heavy Resonances Search: 7+8 TeV Dileptons



m(SSM Z') > 2.59 TeV at 95% CL

C. Issever, University of Oxford



C. Issever, University of Oxford

W' → Iv in 8 TeV Data

Many models possible

- right-handed W' bosons with standard-model couplings
- Ieft-handed W' bosons including interference
- Kaluza-Klein W'кк-states in split-UED
- Excited chiral boson (W*)
- Event Selection and Backgrounds
 - back-to-back isolated lepton and E^{miss}
 - Plot transverse mass of lv system
 - backgrounds from W, QCD, tt+single t, DY, VV from data



C. Issever, Universit $M_{\mathrm{T}} = \sqrt{2 \cdot p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell} \cdot E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} \cdot (1 - \cos \Delta \phi_{\ell, \nu})}$ 19

$W' \rightarrow Iv$ in 8 TeV Data



М(W' _{SSM}) 95% CL	Expected	Observed
ATLAS e+µ, 2011,4.7fb ⁻¹	> 2.55 TeV	> 2.55 TeV
CMS e+µ, 2012, 3.7fb ⁻¹	> 2.80 TeV	> 2.85 TeV
CMS e+µ, 2011+2012,5.0+3.7 fb ⁻¹	> 2.85 TeV	> 2.85 TeV

M(W'_{SSM}) > 2.85 TeV 95% CL

[ATLAS hep-ex 1209.4446] [CMS PAS EXO-12-010]

Dijet Event Display with m_{inv} = 4.69 TeV



- Strong gravity, excited quarks
- Selections
 - Two anti-kt 0.6 jets
 - p^j_T>150 GeV && m_{ii}>1 TeV
 - |y|<2.8 && dijet CM rapidity |y*|</p> < 0.6, $y^* = \pm 0.5^*(y_1 - y_2)$
- Look for resonance above phenomenological fit of data

$$f(x) = p_1 (1-x)^{p_2} x^{p_3 + p_4 \ln x}$$
$$x = m \dots / \sqrt{s}$$

Probing quark structure ~ 5 TeV



ATLAS-CONF-2012-148

 $\lambda = m_{11}/\sqrt{3}$

Good agreement btw data and fit.

- Global χ^2 /NDF=15.5/18 = 0.86 \rightarrow p-value = 0.61
- good agreement btw data and fit
- Bump Hunter



ATLAS-CONF-2012-148



PAS EXO12016

- Uses particle flow jets R=0.5
- p_T > 30 GeV, |η| < 2.5</p>
- combines particle-flow jets into "wide jets" with R = 1.1
- two wide jets satisfy
 - |η_{jj}| < 1.3
 - **|**η| < 2.5
 - M_{jj}>890 GeV





PAS EXO12016





$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}/\mathrm{d}(\cos\hat{\theta}) \propto \sin^{-4}(\hat{\theta}/2) \quad \text{t-channel Spin-1 exchange} \\ &\chi = \frac{1+|\cos\hat{\theta}|}{1-|\cos\hat{\theta}|} \sim \frac{1}{1-|\cos\hat{\theta}|} \propto \frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{t}} \\ &\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}}{\mathrm{d}\chi} \propto \frac{\alpha_s^2}{\hat{s}} \quad (\hat{s} \text{ fixed}) \quad \hat{s} = m_{jj} \quad \text{Constant in } \chi \text{ for fixed } m_{jj} \end{split}$$





C. Issever, University of Oxford

arXiv:1210.1718



arXiv:1210.1718

$$F_{\chi}(m_{jj}) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{central}}/\mathrm{d}m_{jj}}{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{total}}/\mathrm{d}m_{jj}},$$





Models and Limits:

 Quark contact interaction (quark compositeness)

Λ>7.6 TeV (7.7 TeV)

Quantum Blackholes
 M_D>4.1 TeV (4.2 TeV) n=6

Boosted Top Event Candidate with m_{ttbar}=2.5 TeV



Top Reconstruction @ LHC: 3 Regimes



M. Villaplana (IFIC) - Boost2012 - Valencia

27/07/12 • 4

Heavy Resonances Search: Ttbar

ATLAS-CONF-2012-136

- Lepton+jets channel
- Models: e.g. bulk-RS (esp. KK gluons) and Leptophobic Z'
 - Large Branching Ratio to top-antitop
- Taking full advantage of boosted techniques
- Combining resolved and boosted reconstructions





Heavy Resonances Search: Ttbar

Event Selection

	resolved	boosted	
trigger	single lepton trigger	fat jet (AKT10) trigger	
leptons	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		
Ęτ	e $^{\pm}$: $ ot\!\!\!/ _{T} > 30 ext{GeV}, \ \mu^{\pm}$: $ ot\!\!\!/ _{T} > 20 ext{GeV}$		
m_T^W	e $^{\pm}$: $M_{\mathcal{T}}(W) >$ 30 GeV, μ^{\pm} : $M_{\mathcal{T}}(W) + \not\!\!\!E_{\mathcal{T}} >$ 60 GeV		
jets	\geq 4(3) jets (if one jet $m_{jet} > 60 \text{GeV}$)	"leptonic jet": AKT4 jet "hadronic jet": AKT10 jet	
b-tag	\geq 1 b-tag using AKT4 jets ($arepsilon_{ extbf{b}}=$ 70 %)		

S. Fleischmann, Top2012
Improve efficiency at high t-tbar mass with:

- Lepton "mini-isolation":
 - cone shrinks at high momentum
- Trigger:
 - use Fat Jet trigger (anti-kt jet R=1.0, pT>240 GeV)
 - Better efficiency than lepton trigger at high mass

Combine resolved and boosted selection:

If event is reconstructed by both methods, use the boosted one (better mass resolution)



C. Issever, University of Oxford

• m_{tt^-} resolved + boosted in e+jets and μ +jets



C. Issever, University of Oxford



Heavy Resonance Search: ttbar hadronic channel



4th Generation and Heavy Quarks



Fine-Tuning Problem in Electromagnetism



$r_e \lesssim 10^{-17}$ cm \implies $\Delta E \gtrsim 10$ GeV

0.511 = -9999.489 + 10000.000 MeV

Fine tuning!

Murayama hep-ph/9410285

C. Issever, University of Oxford

Fine-Tuning Problem in Electromagnetism

Picture not complete:

- Positron cancels 1/r_e term
- New symmetry:
 - particle/anti-particle

$$(m_e c^2)_{\text{observed}} = (m_e c^2)_{\text{bare}} \left[1 + \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} \log \frac{\hbar}{m_e c r_e} \right]$$

Correction to bare mass becomes small

Supersymmetry

Same problem with Higgs



125 GeV = (huge number)-(huge number) even more fine tuned!



Composite Higgs

But there is another way....look at QCD



Why?

It is a composite particle!

Pion mass is not divergent.

Assume Higgs is a composite particle

- Changes couplings
- Introduces new partners to top quarks
- Vector-like quarks...
- Solves fine-tuning problem....



4th Generation and Heavy Quarks

- 4th generation would significantly enhance Higgs production cross section
 - (almost) excluded by observed Higgs crosssection
 - t't' \rightarrow WbWb (100%): just like t-tbar but heavier
 - b'b' \rightarrow WtWt (100%): just like ttbar but messier
 - Beyond 4th generation: Vector-Like Quarks in Composite Higgs theories
 - More diverse phenomenology
 - T': Decays to Wb, Zt, Ht
 - B': Decays to Wt, Zb, Hb
- Loose constraints on CKM4 → decays to light quarks possible!



W

W



Search for Heavy Quarks



Vector-like Quarks Coupling to Light Generations

- Mixing to first generations is not excluded
- Benchmark model: degenerate VLQ doublets (U^{2/3} , Y^{2/3} , D^{-1/3} , X^{5/3})
- Single Production



ATLAS-CONF-2012-137

Exotic Same-Sign Dilepton Signatures: b', T_{5/3}

ATLAS-CONF-2012-130



4 events observed expected background of 5.6±1.7

C. Issever, University of Oxford

Exotic Same-Sign Dilepton Signatures: b', T_{5/3}

ATLAS-CONF-2012-130



Inclusive Same-Sign Dilepton Search

Model independent approach

Limit presented in terms of fiducial cross-section limit



σ^{fid} is (almost) model-independent

- Can turn σ^{fid} into σ^{total} with generator-level information only
- Caveat: not exactly model-independent \rightarrow must be conservative

		Electron requirement	Muon requirement		
Particle-level definition	Leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 25~{\rm GeV}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$		
	Sub-leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$		
	Lepton η	$ \eta < 1.37$ or $1.52 < \eta < 2.47$	$ \eta < 2.5$		
of acceptance	Isolation	$m^{\text{cone0.3}}/mm < 0.1$	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm cone0.4}/p_{\rm T} < 0.06$ and		
	Isolation	p_{T} / $p_{\mathrm{T}} < 0.1$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{cone0.4}} < 4 \ \mathrm{GeV} + 0.02 \times p_{\mathrm{T}}$		

1210.4538

Inclusive Same-Sign Dilepton Search

<u>1210.4538</u>



Inclusive Same-Sign Dilepton Search

<u>1210.4538</u>

95% upper limits				Mass	ee		eµ		μμ	
1.7 fb and 64 fb					ехр	obs	exp	obs	ехр	obs
						. 9	5% C.L. up	per limit [f	b]	
				Mass range	expected e^{\pm}	observed e^{\pm}	expected e^{\pm}	observed μ^{\pm}	expected μ^{\pm}	observed μ^{\pm}
				$m>15~{\rm GeV}$	46^{+15}_{-12}	42	56^{+23}_{-15}	64	$24.0^{+8.9}_{-6.0}$	29.8
	Fiducial cross		$m>100~{\rm GeV}$	$24.1^{+8.9}_{-6.2}$	23.4	$23.0^{+9.1}_{-6.7}$	31.2	$12.2^{+4.5}_{-3.0}$	15.0	
	upper limits			$m>200~{ m GeV}$	$8.8^{+3.4}_{-2.1}$	7.5	$8.4^{+3.4}_{-1.7}$	9.8	$4.3^{+1.8}_{-1.1}$	6.7
				$m > 300 { m ~GeV}$	$4.5^{+1.8}_{-1.3}$	3.9	$4.1^{+1.8}_{-0.9}$	4.6	$2.4^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$	2.6
				$m>400~{\rm GeV}$	$2.9^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$	2.4	$3.0^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	3.1	$1.7^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	1.7
					e^+e^+		$e^{+}\mu^{+}$		$\mu^+\mu^+$	
	e ⁻	e^{-}		$m > 15 { m ~GeV}$	$29.1^{+10.2}_{-8.6}$	22.8	$34.9^{+12.2}_{-8.6}$	34.1	$15.0^{+6.1}_{-3.3}$	15.2
				$m > 100 { m ~GeV}$	$16.1^{+5.9}_{-4.3}$	12.0	$15.4^{+5.9}_{-4.1}$	18.0	$8.4^{+3.2}_{-2.4}$	7.9
m > 15 GeV	$23.2^{+8.6}$	25.7		m > 200 GeV	$7.0^{+2.9}_{-2.2}$	6.1	$6.6^{+3.5}_{-1.8}$	8.8	$3.5^{+1.6}_{-0.7}$	4.3
	-5.8			$m>300~{\rm GeV}$	$3.7^{+1.4}_{-1.0}$	2.9	$3.2^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$	3.2	$2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	2.1
m > 100 GeV	$12.0^{+5.3}$	18.7		$m>400~{\rm GeV}$	$2.3^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$	1.7	$2.4^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$	2.5	$1.5^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$	1.8
					e ⁻	e-	e^{-}	μ^-	μ^{-}	μ_
m > 200 GeV	$4.9^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$	4.0		$m>15~{\rm GeV}$	$23.2^{+8.6}_{-5.8}$	25.7	$26.2^{+10.6}_{-7.6}$	34.4	$12.1^{+4.5}_{-3.5}$	18.5
	-1.2			$m>100~{\rm GeV}$	$12.0^{+5.3}_{-2.8}$	18.7	$11.5^{+4.2}_{-3.5}$	16.9	$6.0^{+2.3}_{-1.9}$	10.1
m > 300 GeV	$2.9^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$	2.7		$m>200~{\rm GeV}$	$4.9^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$	4.0	$4.6^{+2.1}_{-1.2}$	4.5	$2.7^{+1.1}_{-0.7}$	4.4
	-0.0			$m>300~{\rm GeV}$	$2.9^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$	2.7	$2.7^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$	3.5	$1.5^{+0.8}_{-0.3}$	1.7
m > 400 GeV	$1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.4}$	2.3		m > 400 GeV	$1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.4}$	2.3	$2.3^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	2.5	$1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.0}$	1.2

C. Issever, University of Oxford

Inclusive Same-Sign Dilepton Search: H++/-- Limits

- Models explaining non-zero neutrino masses predict H^{++/--}
 - e.g. minimal type II seesaw model
 - additional scalar field
 - triplet (under SU(2)_L with Y=2): H^{++/--}, H^{+/-}, H⁰



pair production

associate production

Signature: same-sign leptons

Doubly Charged Higgs Limits

arXiv:1210.5070

Used e.g. limits on doubly charged Higgs



C. Issever, University of Oxford

Doubly Charged Higgs Limits

Example of more optimized search

arXiv:1207.2666

Includes also τ-channel and associate production.



Mono Jet Event Display



Search for Dark Matter and Extra Dimensions

ATLAS-CONF-2012-147

Mono jet

Mono photon

arXiv:1209.4625



Analyses are not optimized for benchmark models

Mono jet & Mono Photon Limits on Extra Dimensions

Mono jet

Mono photon



Limits on Dark Matter (DM)

Effective theory with only 2 parameters

- $pp \rightarrow \chi \chi + X$
- M^{*}: characterize interaction strength of the interactions with SM particles
- m_x : mass of dark matter candidate

Effective interactions coupling DM to SM quarks or gluons

		•	•	
Name	Initial state	Type	Operator	q
D1	qq	scalar	$rac{m_q}{M_\star^3}ar\chi\chiar q q$	0000000000
D5	qq	vector	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \bar{q} \gamma_\mu q$	
D8	qq	axial-vector	$\frac{1}{M_\star^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \chi \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 q$	χ
D9	qq	tensor	$\frac{1}{M_{\star}^2} \bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q$	
D11	gg	scalar	$\frac{1}{4M_{\star}^3}\bar{\chi}\chi\alpha_s(G^a_{\mu\nu})^2$	γ́ χ

- Pair production of DM:
 - Events with ME_T , recoiling against additional hadronic radiation

C. Issever, University of Oxford

DM-nucleon scattering cross sections

Mono jet analysis



limits competitive with than limits by direct and indirect experiments

DM-nucleon scattering cross sections

arXiv:1209.4625

Mono photon analysis



Displaced Muonic Lepton Jets from Light Higgs

- Search for long-lived neutral particles
- Limits on

arXiv:1210.0435

- $H \rightarrow hidden-sector neutral long-lived particles$
- Focus on 100 GeV to 140 GeV mass range
 - Derive constraints on additional Higgs-like bosons

placing bounds on BR of discovered 126 GeV resonance into a hidden sector

- Relevant for other distinct models
 - heavier Higgs boson doublets,
 - singlet scalars
 - Z' that decay to a hidden sector



Displaced Muonic Lepton Jets from Light Higgs

arXiv:1210.0435

- Neutral particles
 - with large decay lengths
 - with collimated final states
 - challenge for the trigger and for the reconstruction



ATLAS Exotics Summary

Limits pushed into 1 TeV regime



C. Issever, University of Oxford

...tps://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/ExoticsPublicResults

CMS Exotics Summary





Black Holes

15

6

12





Conclusion (1)

- New Physics BSM was not "around the corner"...
 - ... unless the Higgs is not a SM Higgs...
 - Continue exploration beyond TeV regimes
 - Push σ-limits at **low invariant masses** down.
- Role of models in Exotics
 - Models are used map our search reach
 - They give us some guidenance where to look
 - But, Exotics searches are mainly model-independent.
- Exotics searches coverage
 - Vast range of final states
 - Vast range of models

Conclusion (2)

- Exotics searches will continue broad range of searches
 - Technicolor and SM4 are in trouble.
 - Most other models live well with a light Higgs.
 - Interesting searches after Higgs boson discovery
 - ■Invisible Higgs : Higgs → LSP's (cf monojet analysis) interesting
 - Higgs to exotic objects.
 - ■E.g. Hidden Valley dark photon → LLP's or leptonjets arXiv:1210.0435
 - From now on we must consider heavy particle decays to Higgs systematically (esp. Heavy Quarks, e.g. t' \rightarrow tH)



Z' in 2011 Data?



Mono Jet Signal Region Definions

Signal regions	SR1	SR2	SR3	SR4		
Common requirements	Data quality + trigger + vertex + jet quality + $ \eta^{\text{jet1}} < 2.0 + \Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}, \mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}^{\text{jet2}}) > 0.5 + N_{\text{jets}} \leq 2 +$					
	lepton veto					
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet1} >$	120 GeV	$220~{\rm GeV}$	$350 {\rm GeV}$	$500 \mathrm{GeV}$		

"Although the results of this analysis are interpreted in terms of the ADD model and WIMP pair production, the event selection criteria have not been tuned to maximise the sensitivity to any particular BSM scenario. To maintain sensitivity to a wide range of BSM models, four sets of overlapping kinematic selection criteria, designated as SR1 to SR4, are defined (table 2)."


Limits on Dark Matter – Mono Jet



Limits on the annihilation rate of WIMPs



Majorana Neutrino Search in same-sign leptons

ATLAS-CONF-2012-139

- Two same-sign muons
- ≥2 jets and low ME_{T}





observed limits range from 28 to 3.4 fb for heavy neutrino masses between 100 and 300 GeV

Search for Heavy Resonance: dilepton channel

Limits as a function of RS graviton mass and coupling m(RS graviton, k/MPI = 0.1) > 2.16 TeV at 95% CL



Exotic Same-Sign Dilepton Signatures: b', T^{5/3}



⁷⁸

Jet Grooming

- "Pruning":
- Start with a fat jet (R ~ 1 or more)
- Run k_t or C/A algorithm on clusters within the fat jet
- At each step, if merging of two clusters fails, remove cluster with smallest pT



- "Trimming":
- Start with a fat jet (R ~ 1 or more)
- Run k_t algorithm on clusters within the fat jet
- Keep only jets with pT > pT(fat jet) . f_{cut}



HEPTopTagger (Filtering)



- 1 Decompose until $m_{j_i} < 30 \text{ GeV}$ with mass drop requirement $m_{j_i} < \mu m_{\text{large jet}}$
- 2 Investigate 3 subjets and their constituents
- 3 Re-cluster using C/A with parameter $R = \min(0.3, \min_{ij} \Delta R(j_i, j_j)/2)$

S. Fleischmann

- 4 Use only 5 hardest subjets of last step
- 5 Built exactly 3 subjets from the selected constituents

