

Check of LA in BPIX



(Using MillePede track based alignment)

Nazar Bartosik DESY, Hamburg Tracker Alignment Meeting 6.11.2012

Introduction

- Discrepancies were found in time dependence of LA in BPIX between results from Pixel group and from trackbased alignment.
 - LA in I-st layer changes with time differently in results from 2 methods;
 - Difference between layers is significantly larger in results from track-based alignment;
- First thing to check is the correctness of parameterization of signal shift due to LA effect in the code for all layers of BPIX.



Description of testing method

- Latest results from MillePede are stored in alignment mp1253;
 - Time dependence: 58 IOVs (~100 pb⁻¹ each);
 - Module granularity: 3 layers x 2 Z-halves = 6 parameters/IOV;
- Mobility values are stored in geometry sqlite file under the tag: SiPixelLorentzAngle;
- This geometry was copied and all mobility values in it were increased by 0.02, which is:
 - ~20% of mobility from database corrected with MillePede;
 - ~I40% of mobility correction from MillePede;
- New alignment was run with the same setup as in mp1253 but using input mobility values from modified geometry (1-st IOV).
- Aligned geometry (mp1266) is expected to have the same mobility values and corrections from MillePede should be -0.02.

Results of alignment

Geometry comparison of original mp1253 and mp1266 (with modified input mobility):

Corrections from MillePede in test alignment:

-0.020013 -0.019609



Minor difference between geometries. Corrections from MillePede are all about -0.02, as expected.

-0.019909

Results of alignment



Original alignment: mp1253

Test alignment: mp1266

Final corrected mobility values are exactly the same between two alignments.

This proves that there is no wrong sign or multiplication factor in derivatives for all layers of BPIX.