# Geometry for 2-Form Gauge Fields

Konrad Waldorf Department Mathematik Universität Hamburg

Joint project with Urs Schreiber, Christoph Schweigert and Danny Stevenson

SFB-Tagung in Zeuthen, Februar 2008

Before we come to geometry for 2-form gauge fields:
What is a 1-form gauge field?
What is geometry for a 1-form gauge field?

Before we come to geometry for 2-form gauge fields:

What is geometry for a 1-form gauge field?

What is a 1-form gauge field?

▶ It describes a gauge theory for point-like particles, for

example electrodynamics.

Before we come to geometry for 2-form gauge fields:

What is a 1-form gauge field?

► It describes a gauge theory for point-like particles, for example electrodynamics.

What is geometry for a 1-form gauge field?

► A hermitian line bundle with connection.

# Electrodynamics on $\mathbb{R}^n$

#### Relevant:

- a metric
- ▶ a field strength F (2-form) satisfying Maxwell's equations

$$dF = 0$$
 and  $d \star F = J$ .

# Electrodynamics on $\mathbb{R}^n$

#### Relevant:

- a metric
- $\triangleright$  a field strength F (2-form) satisfying Maxwell's equations

$$dF = 0$$
 and  $d \star F = J$ .

### Auxiliary structure:

- ▶ gauge potential A (1-form) satisfying dA = F.
- ▶ different choices of *A* are related by a gauge transformation,

$$A' = A + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \,\mathrm{d} g g^{-1}$$

for a function  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to U(1)$ .

# Example: Charged Particle

We describe the particle by a curve

$$\phi: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

For simplicity, we assume  $\phi(0) = \phi(1)$ .

▶ The particle gathers a contribution of

$$S_F(\phi) = \oint \phi^* A$$

to its action.

By Stokes' Theorem, this contribution is gauge invariant.

# Electrodynamics on Curved Spacetime

What is different when one replaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by a general manifold M?

▶ depending on the topology of *M* it may be that no **global** gauge potential *A* exists.

# Electrodynamics on Curved Spacetime

What is different when one replaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by a general manifold M?

depending on the topology of M it may be that no global gauge potential A exists.

We can still work locally:

Cover the manifold by open sets,

$$M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}.$$

▶ The sets  $U_{\alpha}$  can be chosen topologically so good that there exist **local** gauge potentials  $A_{\alpha}$  with  $dA_{\alpha} = F|_{U_{\alpha}}$ .

▶ On two-fold intersections  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$  **two** local gauge potentials are present:  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $A_{\beta}$ . They differ by a gauge transformation

$$A_{\beta} = A_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{i} \, \mathrm{d} g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}.$$

On three-fold intersections, we demand a consistency condition:

$$g_{\alpha\gamma}=g_{\beta\gamma}\cdot g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The particle is now described by a curve

$$\phi: [0,1] \rightarrow M$$
.

Can we define the contribution to its action?

The particle is now described by a curve

$$\phi: [0,1] \rightarrow M$$
.

Can we define the contribution to its action?

▶ In general, no.

The particle is now described by a curve

$$\phi : [0,1] \to M$$
.

Can we define the contribution to its action?

- ▶ In general, no.
- ▶ What we **can** define is the **exponential** of this contribution:

$$\exp\left(\mathrm{i} S_L(\phi)\right) := \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(\mathrm{i} \int_{t_i-1}^{t_i} \phi^* A_{\alpha(i)}\right) \cdot g_{\alpha(i)\alpha(i+1)}(\phi(t_i))$$

This is still enough to derive the **equations of motion**!

The particle is now described by a curve

$$\phi: [0,1] \rightarrow M$$
.

Can we define the contribution to its action?

- ▶ In general, no.
- ▶ What we can define is the exponential of this contribution:

$$\exp\left(\mathrm{i} S_L(\phi)\right) := \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(\mathrm{i} \int_{t_i-1}^{t_i} \phi^* A_{\alpha(i)}\right) \cdot g_{\alpha(i)\alpha(i+1)}(\phi(t_i))$$

This is still enough to derive the **equations of motion**! Is this contribution still gauge invariant?

▶ It is invariant under **local** gauge transformations

$$A'_{lpha} = A_{lpha} + rac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \, \mathrm{d} h_{lpha} h_{lpha}^{-1} \qquad \qquad g'_{lphaeta} = g_{lphaeta} h_{eta}^{-1} h_{lpha}$$

# Geometry: Line Bundles with Connection

#### **Definition:**

- 1. The collection  $L := \{A_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is a hermitian line bundle with connection of curvature F.
- 2. The collection  $\{h_{\alpha}\}$  is an **equivalence**  $L \to L'$ .

### Geometry: Line Bundles with Connection

#### **Definition:**

- 1. The collection  $L := \{A_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is a hermitian line bundle with connection of curvature F.
- 2. The collection  $\{h_{\alpha}\}$  is an **equivalence**  $L \to L'$ .

### Upshot:

- ► A 1-form gauge field is an equivalence class of hermitian line bundles with connection.
- ▶ The curvature of the connection is the field strength.
- The holonomy of the connection describes the coupling of charged particles to the field.

For a fixed a field strength $F$ , are there non-equivalent choices of
line bundles with connection of curvature <i>F</i> ?

For a fixed a field strength F, are there non-equivalent choices of line bundles with connection of curvature F?

- In general, yes.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Equivalence classes of hermitian line bundles with connection of curvature F are parameterized by the cohomology group H¹(M, U(1)).

For a fixed a field strength F, are there non-equivalent choices of line bundles with connection of curvature F?

- In general, yes.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Equivalence classes of hermitian line bundles with connection of curvature F are parameterized by the cohomology group H¹(M, U(1)).

If F is any field strength, is there a line bundle with curvature F?

For a fixed a field strength F, are there non-equivalent choices of line bundles with connection of curvature F?

- In general, yes.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Equivalence classes of hermitian line bundles with connection of curvature F are parameterized by the cohomology group H¹(M, U(1)).

If F is any field strength, is there a line bundle with curvature F?

- ▶ In general: no.
- ► **Theorem:** There exists a line bundle with connection of curvature F if and only if

$$\int_{B} F \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for any 2-dimensional submanifold  $B \subset M$ .

### Relevance of Line Bundles

### Dirac's magnetic Monopoles:

**Question**: Why quantizes the existence of a sole magnetic monopole the electric charge?

**Answer**: For the field F of a monopole, no global gauge potential can be chosen. We thus need an hermitian line bundle with connection of curvature F. The existence of such line bundles quantizes F.

### Relevance of Line Bundles

### Dirac's magnetic Monopoles:

**Question**: Why quantizes the existence of a sole magnetic monopole the electric charge?

**Answer**: For the field F of a monopole, no global gauge potential can be chosen. We thus need an hermitian line bundle with connection of curvature F. The existence of such line bundles quantizes F.

#### Aharonov-Bohm effect:

**Question**: Electrons are affected by an "infinitely long and thin" solenoid although the field strength is zero. Why?

**Answer**: The line bundle is, though flat, non-trivial.

We leave <b>particles</b> and their gauge theories and come to <b>strings</b> .
What is a 2-form gauge field?
What is geometry for a 2-form gauge field?

We leave particles and their gauge theories and come to strings.

What is a 2-form gauge field?

▶ It describes a gauge theory for strings.

What is geometry for a 2-form gauge field?

We leave particles and their gauge theories and come to strings.

What is a 2-form gauge field?

▶ It describes a gauge theory for strings.

What is geometry for a 2-form gauge field?

► A hermitian gerbe with connection.

# Strings in Curved Spacetime

#### Relevant:

- a metric
- ► a field strength *H* (3-form)

# Strings in Curved Spacetime

#### Relevant:

- a metric
- ▶ a field strength H (3-form)

#### Simplified Situation:

- ▶ there is a global gauge potential B (2-form) with dB = H.
- ▶ a charged string  $\phi: \Sigma \to M$  couples to the gauge field by

$$S_{H}(\phi) := \int_{\Sigma} \phi^{*} B$$

In general, however, global gauge potentials do not exist.

If no  ${\it global}$  gauge potential B can be chosen, we work locally:

- ▶ We cover M with open sets  $U_{\alpha}$  with good topology. Then, we can choose local gauge potentials  $B_{\alpha}$ .
- ▶ On two-fold intersections, there are two potentials present:  $B_{\alpha}$  and  $B_{\beta}$ . They differ by a (1-form) gauge potential  $A_{\alpha\beta}$ :

$$B_{\beta} = B_{\alpha} + \mathrm{d}A_{\alpha\beta}.$$

▶ On three-fold intersections, three gauge potentials are present:  $A_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $A_{\beta\gamma}$  and  $A_{\alpha\gamma}$ : they differ by a gauge transformation

$$A_{\alpha\gamma} = A_{\beta\gamma} + A_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \,\mathrm{d} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{-1}$$

▶ On four-fold intersections, we demand that these gauge transformations satisfy the consistence condition

$$\mathsf{g}_{\beta\gamma\delta}\cdot\mathsf{g}_{\alpha\beta\delta}=\mathsf{g}_{\alpha\gamma\delta}\cdot\mathsf{g}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

# Geometry: Gerbes with Connection

**Definition:** The data  $\{B_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$  is a hermitian gerbe with connection of curvature H.

# Geometry: Gerbes with Connection

**Definition:** The data  $\{B_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$  is a hermitian gerbe with connection of curvature H.

### Upshot:

- ► A 2-form gauge field is an equivalence class of hermitian gerbes with connection.
- ▶ The curvature of the connection is the field strength *H*.
- The holonomy of the connection describes the coupling of charged strings to the field.

### Example: Wess-Zumino-Witten Models

ightharpoonup M = G is a compact Lie group, and the field strength H is given by

$$H:=\frac{k}{12\pi}\mathrm{tr}(g^{-1}\mathrm{d}g)^3.$$

### Example: Wess-Zumino-Witten Models

▶ M = G is a compact Lie group, and the field strength H is given by

$$H:=\frac{k}{12\pi}\mathrm{tr}(g^{-1}\mathrm{d}g)^3.$$

- Question: Do gerbes with this curvature exist? Answer: Depends on k:
  - if G is simple and simply-connected for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - if G = SO(3) only for  $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Example: Wess-Zumino-Witten Models

► M = G is a compact Lie group, and the field strength H is given by

$$H:=\frac{k}{12\pi}\mathrm{tr}(g^{-1}\mathrm{d}g)^3.$$

- Question: Do gerbes with this curvature exist? Answer: Depends on k:
  - if G is simple and simply-connected for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - if G = SO(3) only for  $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ .
- Question: Are there inequivalent choices? Answer: Depends on the topology of the group G:
  - if *G* is simple and simply-connected, no.
  - if  $G = SO(4n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , yes: two.

# Recent Results that use the Geometry of Gerbes

- ▶ D-branes:
  - twisted vector bundles (Kapustin, hep-th/9909089)
  - gerbe modules (Gawędzki, hep-th/0701071)
- Unoriented string theories:
  - Jandl structures (Schreiber-Schweigert-KW, hep-th/0512283)
  - Classification of unoriented WZW models (Gawędzki-Suszek-KW, hep-th/0701071)
- ► Topological defect lines:
  - Gerbe bimodules (Fuchs-Schweigert-KW, hep-th/0703145)
- (in progress:) D-branes in unoriented string theories (Gawędzki-Suszek-KW).